A. Applicant/Subapplicant Information

Soil Stabilization: Application

*The following information is intended for guidance only and is not a request for information. The following template is only intended to help the reader understand FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) application process.

| 1. | Applicant/Subapplicant Legal Na | me: | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 2. | Organizational Unit: | | _ |
| 3. | Project Title: | | |
| 4. | Applicant/Subapplicant Type: | Local Government | State Government |
| | | Private Nonprofit (attach copy of Form 501c3) | Other: |
| | | ☐ Territory/Commonwealth | |
| | | Federally Recognized Triba | al Government |
| 5. | Proposed Project Total Cost: | \$ | |
| | Federal Share (%): | \$Local Share | (%): \$ |
| 6. | Certifications | | |
| orograi knowle assista | dersigned assures fulfillment of all rem guidelines, and affirms that all information. The governing body of the application. The documented in this application. The APPROVAL is granted. | rmation contained herein is true cant duly authorized the docume | nt, and hereby applies for the |
| | Typed Name of Authorized Representative/Applicant Agent | Title | Phone Number |
| | Signature of Authorized Represent | ative/Applicant Agent | |



| 7. | Does your community or Tribe have | e a current FEMA app | proved hazard n | nitigation plan? |
|-------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| | Title of the Plan: | Adop | otion date: | |
| | Location of proposed project in mitigat | tion plan strategies: | Page | Section |
| | Does the project align with the State/T | Territorial/Tribal Hazaı | rd Mitigation Plan | ? |
| | Yes Page Section | 1 | | |
| 8. | Does the community participate in | the National Flood I | nsurance Progra | am? |
| 9. | Tax ID Number: | _ FIPS Code (5 digits | s): | |
| | Community ID Number (6 digits): | DUN | S Number (9 ch | naracters): |
| 10 | .U.S. Congressional District: | | | |
| 11 | .State Legislative District: | | | |
| lf th | Primary Point of Contact ne project is awarded, person responsibilities process. | ble for coordinating th | e implementation | of this grant throughout the |
| | First Name: | _ Last Name: | | _ |
| | Title: | | | |
| | Address Line 1: | | | _ |
| | Address Line 2: | | | _ |
| | City:State: | | Zip: | _ |
| | Office Phone: | Mobile Phone: | | _ Fax Number: |
| | Email Address: | | | |
| 13 | .Alternate Point of Contact | | | |
| | First Name: | _ Last Name: | | _ |
| | Title: | | | |
| | Address Line 1: | | | _ |
| | Address Line 2: | | | _ |
| | City: State: | | Zip: | _ |
| | Office Phone: | _ Mobile Phone: | | _ Fax Number: |
| | Email Address: | | | |

14. Authorized Applicant/Subapplicant Agent

| MUST be the chief executive office | er, mayor, or person of comparable status who is authorized to sign cor | ntracts |
|------------------------------------|---|---------|
| authorize funding allocations or p | ayments, etc. | |

| First Name: | Last Name: | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Title: | | | |
| Address Line 1: | | | |
| Address Line 2: | | | |
| City: | State: | Zip: | 4 |
| Office Phone: | Mobile Phone: | | Fax Number: |
| Email Address: | | | |
| B. Project Narrative | and Scope of Work | | |
| | itigated, including a damage history in the project will reduce/eliminate the ris ucture. | | |
| Explain how the project will r | mitigate the identified risk(s). | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| activity and the mechanis mitigated and past events | e clearly describing the existing condit m(s) to stabilize the slope. Include a s that have affected the properties pro deral disaster declaration number, if a | clear description oposed for miti | on of the project purpose, risk being |
| • | roposed project. Provide a detailed de a detailed de a activity, and the mechanism(s) to sta | • | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 3. Provide a detailed scope of work. |
|---|
| Describe in detail the proposed activity and tasks to implement the soil stabilization project. |
| 4. Describe how the scope of work solves a problem independently or is a functional portion of a solution where there is verification that the overall project is being completed. |
| Describe in detail. Provide supporting material, if needed. |
| 5. Provide technical data to support the scope of work. Describe any engineering analyses, drawings or plans included in the project documentation. Specify if signed/stamped design drawings matching the project scope and level of protection are provided, or are conceptual designs (to be finalized later) provided? Briefly describe the documentation attached to support the scope of work; list the names and relevant page numbers for each attachment. |
| Describe and provide copies of technical data to support the proposed level of protection. |
| 6. Describe if there will be upstream and downstream impacts due to the project being implemented. |

| Describe in detail. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| C. Alternatives Considered |
| Include details for one No Action Alternative and consequences of at least one Alternative Action. Include a |
| |
| description of why the selected project was chosen. |
| No Action Alternative and resulting consequences, and why this alternative was not selected. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Y Y |
| |
| Alternative Action considered but not selected, and why. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Additional Alternative Actions, if applicable. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Explain why the selected project was the best alternative. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| D. Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation Considerations |
| FEMA recommends incorporating bioengineering techniques into soil stabilization projects (i.e., use of vegetation or a combination of vegetation and construction materials; the use of living and non-living plant materials in combination with natural and synthetic support materials). |
| Provide a geographic information system (GIS), computer-aided design, Google Earth files (.kmz), or map or image that clearly shows the boundaries of the project area. If your project area has a complex boundary, a GIS or .kmz file if preferred. The information provided should show the boundaries of all temporary and permanent project activities including staging areas, access routes, any vegetation removal, and the affected structure(s) or infrastructure. |
| 1. What length of system is being modified/improved? distance in linear feet |
| 2. What is the acreage and maximum depth of ground disturbance? acres, feet |
| List the affected and/or surrounding buildings that are at risk from slope instability identified in this application in the table below. |
| Structure |
| Provide Latitude & Longitude and/or Address Provide Date Each Structure was Built |
| Example: Culvert - constructed in 2005, outlet location (39.6490, -104.0010) |
| Example: outstrated in 2000, outstroodson (03.0430, 104.0010) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 4. Is the project part of an upgrade to an existing system or new construction? |
|--|
| ☐ Upgrade ☐ New Construction |
| Is the project area previously disturbed or improved? Yes No |
| If yes, what type of disturbance has occurred (pavement, existing underground utility lines, existing vegetation, landscape grass, shrubs, trees, etc.). |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| 5. Describe the vehicles and equipment that would be used to implement the project. Describe any local restrictions on equipment use (seasonal or daily restrictions, work hours, local noise ordinance). |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 6. Describe how the project area would be accessed. Show the boundaries of the access routes or points on a map or plan view of the project area and describe the surface type (asphalt, dirt gravel). If any new access routes would need to be created for the work to be completed, show where the routes would be located on a map or plan view of the project area. |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 7. Describe where materials and equipment would be stored and staged during construction. Show the boundaries of the staging areas on a map or plan view of the project area and describe the existing surface type (asphalt, dirt, gravel). |
|--|
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 8. Has the public been notified or provided input? Is so, provide dates and methods of outreach. If not, describe any planned public engagement activities for the project. |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 9. Describe any coordination and permits obtained for the project. |
| Explain. |
| |
| 10. Provide any environmental or historic studies that have been conducted for the project. |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 11. Describe the project activities in the floodplain, if applicable. |
|--|
| Explain. If not applicable, write N/A. |
| |
| |
| |
| 12. Describe any surface waters in or near the project area (ponds, lakes, rivers, streams, wetlands, other waterbodies). Describe any measures that would be used to avoid waterbodies or avoid impacting water (setbacks, cofferdams, silt fence). |
| Explain. If not applicable, write N/A. |
| |
| |
| |
| 13. What are the soil and topographic conditions in the project area? Describe any erosion conditions in the project area or conditions that may lead to erosion or slope failure. |
| Explain. |
| |
| |
| |
| 14. Describe any hazardous or contaminated materials at the project site. If the project requires the use of hazardous materials, describe their use and best management practices to minimize environmental exposure. |
| |
| |

| Explain. If not applicable, write N/A. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 15. Does your project involve the use of imported fill? |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| If yes, describe the type and source of the fill material. |
| Explain. If not applicable, write N/A. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 16. If the project would remove vegetation for any reason, describe the type and amount or area of vegetation (two |
| oak trees, one-quarter acre of turf grass). Describe how vegetation would be removed, if applicable (root ball removal, flush cut, dug up, chemical weed killer). If using herbicides, describe best management practices for |
| their use. |
| Explain. If not applicable, write N/A. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

17. List any best management practices that would be used during project construction.

| Explain. | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| E. Estimated Work Schedule | | | |
| Enter the estimated duration for each listed a sequential, the total grant timeline cannot exceed the sequential of the | - | ne activities liste | ed may not be necessarily |
| Task/Activity | Start Month | End Month | Timeline |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total timelin | e (must not excee | d 36 months): | |
| F. Budget Estimating | | | |
| L. Costing Methodology | | | |
| The method(s) used to estimate project costs | is (provide backu | p documentatio | n for method(s) used): |
| ☐ Estimates obtained from contractors/cons | sultants and simila | ar vendors | |
| ☐ Historical data from previous projects/acti | ivities with an infla | ation factor, as r | needed |
| ☐ Public Works personnel or other qualified experience or field associate experience | staff from local jui | risdiction provid | ed estimates based on |
| RS Means, Marshall & Swift or other natio | nal cost estimatin | g service | |
| Other, please explain. | | | |

| Enter explanations, as needed. | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

2. Cost Estimate

The Applicant/Subapplicant must ensure that all project costs are reasonable and necessary for the activity according to 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

| Line Item | Unit Quantity | Unit Measure | Unit Cost | Line-Item Cost |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Quantity | Measure | | |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| | | | | \$ |
| Total costs | | | | \$ |
| Total federal share (%) | | | | \$ |
| Total nonfederal share (%) | | | | \$ |

Note: If project is to be phased, note which phase a budget line item refers to in the table above. Indicate any pre-award line items in the table above.

3. Budget Narrative

Provide a budget narrative with explanations, justifications, and line-item details of the project costs noted in the table above. Attach an additional sheet, if necessary.

| Define cost line items, pr the values used. | ovide information of how they were estimated, and disclose any assumptions to justify |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| 4. Describe the items incl | uded in the contingency cost, if applicable. |
| Explain. | |
| | |

G. Nonfederal Funding Share (25% of Total Project Costs)

List all sources and amounts used in the nonfederal share, including all in-kind services. In-kind services may not exceed the 25% nonfederal share. Attach letters of funding commitment for each source.

| Source Name of Source Agency | Type of Funding | Amount | Commitment Letter Attached |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| | | \$ | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | | \$ | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| | | \$ | ☐ Yes ☐ No |

H. Operations and Maintenance

1. Who will be responsible for maintenance of the project once it is complete, and what will they do to maintain the soil stabilization project?

| Describe the maintenance activities of the project post-construction and who will perform them. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 2. The jurisdiction will be responsible for maintenance of the soil stabilization project estimated at \$ |
| per year for the entire useful life of the project. The cost estimate is based on: |
| Describe how costs were estimated. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I. Cost-Effectiveness |
| i. Cost-Enectiveness |
| 1. Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) Toolkit: Cost-effectiveness for the project was calculated using the FEMA-approved |
| BCA software. The Benefit-Cost Ratio has been determined to be |
| BOA 301tware. The Benefit cost Natio has been determined to be |
| An export of the BCA Tool and .pdf of the BCA report from the toolkit is to be included with this application as |
| required documentation. It is recommended that the application includes a BCA narrative describing the |
| methodology, assumptions, and justifications for all inputs to the subapplication documentation. Provide a brief |
| explanation of the BCA methodology below and list the documents attached to this application that are provided |
| in support of the application. |
| |
| Describe the BCA methodology and list the documents attached to the application that support the BCA. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 2. | dif | If the FEMA standard project useful life (PUL) was not used, was documentation provided to justify usage of a different value? (The PUL value cannot be higher than the highest acceptable limits as indicated in the PUL table in the BCA Toolkit Help Menu.) | | | | |
|----|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Yes | ☐ No, the FEMA standard PUL was used | | | |
| 3. | Maii | ntenand | ce Costs: Annual maintenance costs for the soil stabilization project are \$ | | | |
| | • | | an assurance letter from the signature authority that indicates the annual maintenance costs, what on or department will be responsible for maintenance, and how often it will be performed. | | | |
| | • | | nnual maintenance cost should cover the necessary annual maintenance for the soil stabilization to functional for the entire PUL. | | | |
| J. | Re | equire | ed Documentation Attached | | | |
| |] Site | Photog | graphs (see Step 7 of the Technical Job Aid) | | | |
| |] Figu | ure of si | ite layout | | | |
| |] Vici | nity ma | p with project location clearly marked (see Step 5 of the Technical Job Aid) | | | |
| Ce | _ | | vith project location(s) clearly marked. FIRMetts can be accessed in the FEMA Flood Map Service //msc.fema.gov/portal/home) | | | |
| |] Ехр | ort of th | ne BCA Tool and .pdf of BCA Report from the toolkit, if applicable, and supporting documentation | | | |
| |] BC/ | A narrat | ive (recommended) | | | |
| |] Eng | ineerin | g studies, if applicable | | | |
| |] Pro | ject dra | wings, if available | | | |
| |] Det | ailed bu | udget with budget narrative and documentation to support all costs, including: | | | |
| | • | Estima | ates or quotes from construction contractors and similar vendors | | | |
| | • | Histori | cal data from previous projects/activities | | | |
| | • | Estima | ates from Public Works personnel or personnel with experience on similar projects | | | |
| | • | Copies | s of information from national cost estimating services or guides | | | |
| |] Sch | edule | | | | |
| |] Cor | sultatio | on documentation (Hazar Mitigation Assistance Guidance Addendum, A.6.6) | | | |
| | • | | E – the jurisdiction must demonstrate that it has consulted with USACE regarding each subject ty's potential use for the construction of a flood levee system (including berms, floodwalls, and dikes) | | | |
| | • | Depart | tment of Transportation – the jurisdiction must demonstrate that it has consulted with the relevant | | | |

state Department of Transportation to ensure that plans do not contain any improvements or enhancements

to federal aid systems or other state transportation projects that would affect the proposed project area under consideration.

- Other Federal Agency the jurisdiction must demonstrate that it has consulted with other federal agencies as appropriate, regarding other program requirements and/or activities, and have identified the relationship between them to FEMA mitigation grant activities and funding.
- Other consultation

| State Historic Preservation Office Consultation |
|--|
| State Historic Preservation Office response needed if: (1) structure is or will be 45 years or older at the time of FEMA application review (2) new ground is being disturbed (3) project is located in a Historic District. This applies to all properties including alternates. |
| ☐ Fund commitment letter(s) that list(s) the sources and amounts used in the nonfederal share requirement, including all in-kind services. |
| ☐ Completed and signed assurances (FEMA Form 112-0-3C or 20-16c (Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements), and SF-LLL (Disclosure of Lobbying Programs) if applicable) |
| ■ FEMA Form 112-0-3C will also be accepted in place of 20-16c. |
| SF-424 (Application for Federal Assistance) (optional for subapplications in HMGP) |
| SF-424d (Construction Programs) (if required by the Grantee; contact applicant agency) |
| SF-424c (Budget Information for Construction Programs) (if required by the Grantee; contact applicant agency) |
| Designated Authorized Agent Documentation, designating the Chief Executive Officer or Mayor to be able to sign contracts, authorize funding allocations or payments, etc., and signed by the ruling body of the applicant |
| ☐ Public Notice documentation, if working in the floodplain (date and media outlet) |
| Other comments, information, or explanation: |

| Enter explanations, justifications, and other details, as needed. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

