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General Observations
Application – Common Pitfalls

- Scope Conflicts with Industry Standards
- Before/After-Mitigation Damages Conflict
- Insufficient Documentation
  - Supporting Assumptions
  - Lack of a Vulnerability Assessment
- Inconsistencies Across Application – Especially Related to Level of Protection
BCA – Common Pitfalls

- Basis for Estimating Damages
  - Focus on Benefitting Area
  - Document Building / Infrastructure Features
    - Building Type, Number of Stories, First/Lowest Floor Elevation
    - Infrastructure Capacity, Population Served
  - Damages Align with Event Severity

- Recurrence Intervals (RI)
  - RI Increase with Event Severity
  - Period Between Events
  - User-Entered Analysis Durations

- Unsupported Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) Inputs
Flood Risk Reduction
Best Practices

- Include pre- and post-project hydrologic and hydraulic (H&H) data with water surface elevations for multiple recurrence intervals and documented lowest floor elevations for structures (or elevation of vulnerable infrastructure – roads)
- Include at least 30% design and detailed cost estimate, clearly defined level of service
- Include a BCA narrative with detailed description of methodology, assumptions, and organized documentation of past damages and/or loss of function
Common Pitfalls

- Historical Damages: No supporting documentation of damages, downtime, and/or recurrence intervals
- Assumptions are unjustified and/or undocumented
- Lack of post-project damages, or after-mitigation damage inconsistent with level of protection in scope of work
- Not clearly defined/documented as a stand-alone solution
- Lack of documentation of upstream/downstream impacts
Acquisition and Elevation
Best Practices and Common Pitfalls

- Identify Flood Risk
- Properly Apply Efficiencies
- Select Correct Lowest Floor Elevation
Wind Retrofit
Best Practices

- Confirm that the building envelope and structural system can resist current code level wind speeds
- Provide a wind vulnerability assessment report indicating components in need of a wind retrofit
- Verify that impact protective systems are rated to wind speeds and missile impacts for building location
- Process for inspecting and certifying retrofit
Common Pitfalls

- Using impact protective systems with known vulnerabilities, such as screens and films
- Not performing a wind vulnerability assessment
  - Addressing only the windows and doors, but not other building components
- Insufficient documentation for Annual Operating Budget, Building Replacement Value (BRV), and Loss of Function (LOF)
Wildfire Mitigation
Best Practices and Common Pitfalls

- Treatment area and proximity to other structure
  - Maps with clear project site boundaries
  - Identification of benefiting structures
  - Project clearly meets FEMA eligibility requirements and aligns with recommended best practices
Best Practices

- Identify emergency power needs in critical facilities
- Complete (transfer switch, fuel, etc.) multi-hazard (flood, wind, etc.) solution
- Establish clear basis for risk
  - Probability (recurrence interval)
  - Exposure (loss of function impact)
Common Pitfalls

- Lack of documentation/explanation to support
  - Probability/recurrence interval
  - Loss of function impact
  - Criticality
  - Basis for required emergency power generation capacity
  - Components required to implement (e.g., fuel storage capacity, transfer switch)
  - Existing conditions
- No residual risk

---

FEMA P-55, Coastal Construction Manual, Volume 1, August 2011
Seismic Retrofit
Best Practices

- Include Seismic Evaluation Report compiled by an engineer and performed in accordance with American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)/Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) 41
- Provide drawings with details of retrofit approach
- BCA: Document loss of service validation (number of customers, coverage area).
  - For hospitals, and police and fire stations, clearly indicate the nearest available location (or the station next in line if the nearest is known to be unavailable or vulnerable)
- BCA: For historical damage seismic (e.g., utility) projects: Provide recurrence interval based on thorough analysis
Common Pitfalls

- Historical Damages: Determining seismic recurrence interval based on time between seismic events
  - Each event has its own recurrence interval
- Including land value in BRV
- Assuming 100% probability of dual probability events occurring, such as uncontrolled fire and loss of potable water utility
Safe Rooms
Best Practices

- Statement that the safe room will be designed and constructed in accordance with the current edition of FEMA P-361
- Statement that doors and any opening will meet the wind pressure and wind-borne debris missile impact requirements of FEMA P-361
- Provide conceptual floor plan that identifies usable and unusable areas, restrooms with fixtures, MEP rooms, emergency power systems
- Use an internal pressure coefficient of ±0.55
Common Pitfalls

- Use of Historical Damages module for Safe Room BCA

- Identifying potential protected occupants from a 0.5-mile radius instead of the 0.5-mile travel distance.

- Not providing sufficient planning factors or logistics (e.g., parking spaces, usable area) for large occupancy safe rooms
  - Not providing an analysis or statement indicating that an existing residential area road network is able to handle a sudden influx of traffic
Dry Floodproofing
Best Practices

- Provide the flood vulnerability assessment report, prepared by a design professional, that states components in need of mitigation
- Provide documentation indicating flood risk and design flood elevation
- Utilize closure systems that are ANSI/FM 2510 certified
Common Pitfalls

- Noncompliance with ASCE 24
  - Placing dry floodproofing in V flood zones or areas where water velocity exceeds 5 ft/sec
  - No sump pumps
  - No emergency power systems

- Only addressing protection to doors and not mitigation of other vulnerabilities, such as seepage though the building envelope and utility penetrations
Recommendations

- BCA Narrative
- Project Scoping
- Efficiency Mechanisms
- Review Memo Feedback
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