

## Risk Management Series

# Design Guide

for Improving School Safety in Earthquakes, Floods, and High Winds

January 2004



#### FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### **BACKGROUND**

ur society places great importance on the education system and its schools, and has a tremendous investment in current and future schools. Currently, approximately 53 million kindergarten to grade 12 (K-12) students attend over 92,000 public schools and it is estimated that the public student population will have reached 54.3 million by 2004<sup>1</sup>; to this figure must be added the substantial population of private school students. The sizes of these school facilities range from one-room rural schoolhouses to citywide and mega schools that house 5,000 or more students. The school is both a place of learning and an important community resource and center.

This publication is concerned with the protection of schools and their occupants against natural hazards. These hazards must be recognized as part of the natural environment and as extensions of phenomena that designers have always considered. Natural hazards can be reduced to extreme phenomena related to the four elements (i.e., earth, water, wind, and fire). Earthquakes are highly accelerated and exaggerated forms of motion that are always occurring in the earth and floods occur when rivers overflow or the wind stirs up the ocean along coastal waters. High winds and tornadoes are an extreme form of the beneficial breezes that freshen the air. Fire has been a threat to buildings for centuries and was one of the first threats to be the subject of regulation. Because of its familiarity and the extensive provisions for fire protection in building codes, it is not a subject for detailed consideration in this publication. However, some considerations relating to the fire protection of schools are presented in Chapter 3, Section 3.4.

Architects and engineers deal with these natural elements all the time; building codes always have provisions for protection against fire and wind and the local building code (if adopted by the com-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Baby Boom Echo Report, 2000.

munity) will also dictate whether earthquakes or floods must be considered as design parameters. However, the major decisions in reducing flood damage may be in site selection and layout, not in building design.

This manual introduces two core concepts: multihazard design and performance-based design. Neither is revolutionary, but represents an evolution in design thinking that is in tune with the increasing complexity of today's buildings and also takes advantage of developments and innovations in building technology:

- The concept of multihazard design is that designers need to understand the fundamental characteristics of hazards and how they interact, so that design for protection becomes integrated with all the other design demands.
- Performance-based design suggests that, rather than relying on the building code for protection against hazards, a more systematic investigation is conducted to ensure that the specific concerns of building owners and occupants are addressed. Building codes focus on providing life safety and property protection is secondary: performance-based design provides additional levels of protection that cover property damage and functional interruption within a financially feasible context.

This publication stresses that identification of hazards and their frequency and careful consideration of design against hazards must be integrated with all other design issues, and be present from the inception of the site selection and building design process. Although the basic issues to be considered in planning a school construction program are more or less common to all school districts, the processes used differ greatly, because each school district has its own approach. Districts vary in size, from a rural district responsible for only a few elementary schools, to a city district or statewide system overseeing a complex program of all school types and sizes, including new design and construction, renovations, and additions. A district may have had a

long-term program of school construction and be familiar with programming, financing, hiring designers, bidding procedures, contract administration, and commissioning a new building, but another district may not have constructed a new school for decades, and have no staff members familiar with the process.

#### SCOPE

This publication is intended to provide design guidance for the protection of school buildings and their occupants against natural hazards, and concentrates on grade schools (K-12); the focus is on the design of new schools, but the repair, renovation, and extension of existing schools is also addressed. It is intended as the first of a series of publications in which hospitals, higher education buildings, multifamily dwellings, commercial buildings, and light industrial facilities will be addressed.

The focus of this publication is on the safety of school buildings and their occupants, and the economic losses and social disruption caused by building damage and destruction. The volume covers three main natural hazards that have the potential to result in unacceptable risk and loss: earthquakes, floods, and high winds. A companion volume, *Primer to Design Safe School Projects in Case of Terrorist Attacks* (FEMA 428), covers the manmade hazards of physical, chemical, biological, and radiological attacks.

The intended audience for this manual includes design professionals and school officials involved in the technical and financial decisions of school construction, repair, and renovations. A short brochure based on this manual will also be available for school district and school board decision-makers.

#### ORGANIZATION AND CONTENT OF THE MANUAL

Chapters 1-3 present issues and background information that are common to all hazards. Chapters 4-6 cover the development of specific risk management measures for each of the three main natural hazards.

Chapter 1 opens with a brief outline of the past, present, and future of school design. Past school design is important because many of these older, and even historic, schools are still in use and their occupants must be protected.

Chapter 2 introduces the concepts of performance-based design in order to obtain required performance from a new or retrofitted facility. Chapter 3 introduces the concept of multihazard design and presents a general description and comparison of the hazards, including charts that show where design against each hazard interacts with design for other hazards. This latter section includes fire and building security in its considerations.

Chapters 4, 5, and 6 outline the steps necessary in the creation of design to address risk management concerns for protection against earthquakes, floods, and high winds, respectively. Information is presented on the nature of each hazard and its effect on vulnerability and consequences of building exposure. Procedures for risk assessment are outlined, followed by descriptions of current methods of reducing the effects of each hazard. These vary, depending on the hazard under consideration. A guide to the determination of acceptable risk and realistic performance objectives is followed by a discussion to establish the effectiveness of current codes to achieve acceptable performance.

Appendix A contains a list of acronyms that appear in this manual.

The information presented in this publication provides a comprehensive survey of the methods and processes necessary to create a safe school, but is necessarily limited. It is not expected that the reader will be able to use the information directly to develop plans and specifications. The information is intended to help designers and facility decision-makers, who may be unfamiliar with the concepts involved, to understand fundamental approaches to risk mitigation planning and design. By so doing, they can move on to the implementation phase of detailed planning, involving consultants, procurement personnel, and project administration, from a firm basis of understanding.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

#### **Principal Authors:**

Christopher Arnold, Building Systems Development, Inc.

Jack Lyons, School Facilities Consultant

James Munger, James G. Munger and Associates

Rebecca C. Quinn, Consultant

Thomas L. Smith, TLSmith Consulting

#### **Contributors:**

Milagros Kennett, FEMA, Project Officer, Risk Management Series Publications

Eric Letvin, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc., Consultant

**Project Manager** 

John Plisich, FEMA

Mike Robinson, FEMA

Joe Agron, American School and University

Connie Deshpande, Department of Education

Randy Haslam, Jordan, Utah, School District

Danny Kilcollins, Florida Department of Community Affairs

Fred Krimgold, World Institute for Disaster Risk

Management

Tom Kube, Council of Educational Facility Planners

International

Bill Modzeleski, Department of Education

Jack Paddon, Williams and Paddon Architects and Planners

Bebe Pinter, Harris County Department of Education

John Sullivan, Portland Cement Association

Jon Traw, Traw Associates

French Wetmore, French and Associates

Deb Daly, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc.

Wanda Rizer, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc.

Julie Liptak, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc.

Bob Pendley, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc.

This primer will be revised periodically and EP&R welcomes comments and feedback to improve future editions. Please send comments and feedback via e-mail to riskmanagementseriespubs@dhs.gov

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	kgroundkground	
	oe	
_	anization and Content of the Manual	
Ackı	nowledgments	iv
CHAP PROC	PTER 1— AN OVERVIEW OF THE SCHOOL DESIGN AND CONSTRUC	TION
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.2	School Construction: The National Picture	1-1
1.3	Past School Design	1-2
1.4	Present School Design	1-9
1.5	Future School Design	1-10
1.6	The Design and Construction Process	1-12
1.7	School Design and Construction	1-16
	1.7.1 Structure	1-16
	1.7.2 Nonstructural Systems and Components	1-17
CHAP	PTER 2 – DESIGNING FOR PERFORMANCE	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Definitions of Performance-based Design	2-1
2.3	The Prescriptive Approach to Codes	2-2
2.4	The Performance-based Approach	2-3
2.5	Hazard, Risk, and Probability	2-6
2.6	Acceptable Risk and Performance Levels	2-9
2.7	Correlation Between Performance Groups	2-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS vii

2.8	Roles of Designers, Code Officials, and the School District	
2.9	_	es to a Building Designed for mance2-14
2.10	Currer	nt Performance-based Codes 2-15
2.11		&M Manual and the Occupants'
2.12		mance-based Design for ll Hazards2-19
	2.12.1	Performance-based Seismic Design 2-23
	2.12.2	Performance-based Flood Design2-30
	2.12.3	Performance-based High Wind and Tornado Design
СНАР	TER 3 – <i>N</i>	NULTIHAZARD DESIGN
3.1	Introd	uction 3-1
3.2	The Ha	zards Compared3-1
	3.2.1	Location: Where are They? 3-2
	3.2.2	Warning: How Much Time is There?
	3.2.3	Frequency: How Likely are They to Occur?
	3.2.4	Risk: How Dangerous are They? 3-8
	3.2.5	Cost: How Much Damage Will They Cause?
3.3	Compa	arative Losses
3.4	Fire an	d Life Safety3-16
3.5		l Protection Methods Comparisons: rcements and Conflicts

viii TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAF</b> 4.1		NAKING SCHOOLS SAFE AGAINST EARTHQUAKES
4.2	The N	Tature and Probability of Earthquakes4-1
	4.2.1	Earthquakes and Other Geologic Hazards 4-1
	4.2.2	Earthquakes: A National Problem 4-3
	4.2.3	Determination of Local Earthquake Hazards4-11
4.3		rability: What Earthquakes Can Schools4-15
	4.3.1	Vulnerability of Schools4-15
	4.3.2	Earthquake Damage to Schools 4-20
	4.3.3	Significant School Damage in Recent U.S. Earthquakes
	4.3.4	Consequences: Casualties, Financial Loss, and Operational Disruption
4.4	Scope	, Effectiveness, and Limitations of Codes 4-33
	4.4.1	The Background of Seismic Codes 4-34
	4.4.2	Seismic Codes and Schools
	4.4.3	The Effectiveness of Seismic Codes 4-37
4.5		nting Existing Schools for Seismic nd Specific Risk Reduction Methods4-38
	4.5.1	Rapid Visual Screening
	4.5.2	Systems Checklist for School Seismic Safety Evaluation
	4.5.3	The NEHRP Handbook for the Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings (FEMA 178/310)
4.6	Eartho	quake Risk Reduction Methods448

TABLE OF CONTENTS ix

	4.6.1	Risk Reduction for New Schools 4	-49
	4.6.2	Risk Reduction for Existing Schools 4	-61
4.7	The So	chool as a Post-earthquake Shelter4	-69
4.8	Refere	ences and Sources of Additional Information 4	-71
4.9	Glossa	ry of Earthquake Terms4	-72
СНАР		MAKING SCHOOLS SAFE AGAINST FLOODS	
5.1	Introd	uction	5-1
5.2	Nature	e and Probability of Floods	5-1
	5.2.1	Characteristics of Flooding	5-3
	5.2.2	Probability of Occurrence	5-7
	5.2.3	Hazard Identification and Flood Data	5-8
	5.2.4	Design Flood Elevation	-13
5.3	of Bui	, Effectiveness, and Limitations lding Codes and Floodplain gement Requirements5	-14
	5.3.1	Overview of the NFIP5	
	5.3.2	Summary of the NFIP Minimum Requirements	-16
	5.3.3	Model Building Codes and Standards 5-	-18
5.4	Risk R	eduction: Avoiding Flood Hazards 5-	-19
	5.4.1	Benefits/Costs: Determining Acceptable Risk	-20
	5.4.2	Identifying Flood Hazards at School Sites 5-	-22
5.5	Risk R	eduction: Flood-resistant New Schools 5-	-26
	5.5.1	Site Modifications	-26
	5.5.2	Elevation Considerations 5-	-28

x TABLE OF CONTENTS

	5.5.3	Floodproofing Considerations	5-31
	5.5.4	Accessory Structures	5-33
	5.5.5	Utility Installations	5-33
	5.5.6	Potable Water and Wastewater Systems	5-34
	5.5.7	Storage Tank Installations	5-34
	5.5.8	Access Roads	5-35
5.6		ability: What Floods Can Do to g Schools	5-36
	5.6.1	Site Damage	5-36
	5.6.2	Structural Damage	5-37
	5.6.3	Saturation Damage	5-40
	5.6.4	Utility System Damage	5-42
	5.6.5	Contents Damage	5-45
5.7	Risk Ro	eduction: Protecting Existing Schools	5-46
	5.7.1	Site Modifications	5-48
	5.7.2	Additions	5-51
	5.7.3	Repairs, Renovations, and Upgrades	5-52
	5.7.4	Retrofit Dry Floodproofing	5-53
	5.7.5	Utility Installations	5-53
	5.7.6	Potable Water and Wastewater Systems	5-56
	5.7.7	Other Damage Reduction Measures	5-57
	5.7.8	Emergency Measures	5-57
5.8	The Sc	chool as an Emergency Shelter	5-59
5.9		nces and Sources of onal Information	5-60
5 10		ry of Flood Protection Terms	5-69

TABLE OF CONTENTS xi

CHAPTER 6 - MAKING SCHOOLS SAFE AGAINST WINDS 6-1			
6.1	Introd	luction	6-1
6.2	The N	Tature and Probability of High Winds	6-2
	6.2.1	Wind/Building Interactions	6-6
	6.2.2	Probability of Occurrence	6-15
6.3	Vulne	rability: What Wind Can Do to Schools	6-17
6.4		, Effectiveness, and Limitations lding Codes	6-23
	6.4.1	Scope	6-24
	6.4.2	Effectiveness	6-25
	6.4.3	Limitations	6-25
6.5	Priorit	ties, Costs, and Benefits: New Schools	6-27
	6.5.1	Priorities	6-27
	6.5.2	Cost, Budgeting, and Benefits	6-28
6.6		ies, Costs, and Benefits: Existing	6-30
	6.6.1	Priorities	6-31
	6.6.2	Cost, Budgeting, and Benefits	6-32
6.7	Evalua	nting Schools for Risk from High Winds	6-33
	6.7.1	Tornadoes	6-35
	6.7.2	Portable Classrooms	6-36
6.8	Risk R	Reduction Design Methods	6-36
	6.8.1	Siting	6-36
	6.8.2	School Design	6-37
	6.8.3	Peer Review	6-42

xii TABLE OF CONTENTS

	6.8.4	Construction Contract Administration	6-42
	6.8.5 Mainte	Post-occupancy Inspections, Periodic enance, Repair, and Replacement	6-43
6.9	Structu	ural Systems	6-44
6.10	Exterio	or Doors	6-48
	6.10.1	Loads and Resistance	6-48
	6.10.2	Durability	6-48
	6.10.3	Exit Door Hardware	6-49
	6.10.4	Water Infiltration	6-49
	6.10.5	Weatherstripping	6-50
6.11		oad Bearing Walls, Wall Coverings, Soffits, nderside of Elevated Floors	6-53
	6.11.1	Loads and Resistance	6-53
	6.11.2	Durability	6-55
	6.11.3	Wall Coverings	6-55
	6.11.4	Underside of Elevated Floors	6-59
6.12	Roof S	ystems	6-59
6.13	Windo	ws and Skylights	6-68
	6.13.1	Loads and Resistance	6-68
	6.13.2	Durability	6-69
	6.13.3	Water Infiltration	6-69
6.14		or-mounted Mechanical, Electrical,	6-71
	6.14.1	Loads and Attachment	6-72
	6.14.2	Equipment Strength	6-74
	6 14 9	Durahility	6-76

TABLE OF CONTENTS xiii

6.15	School	ls Located in Hurricane-prone Regions 6-79
	6.15.1	Design Loads
	6.15.2	Structural Systems
	6.15.3	Exterior Doors
	6.15.4	Non-load Bearing Walls, Wall Coverings, and Soffits
	6.15.5	Roof Systems 6-81
	6.15.6	Windows and Skylights6-84
	6.15.7	Emergency Power
	6.15.8	Construction Contract Administration 6-86
	6.15.9	Periodic Inspections, Maintenance, and Repair
6.16	Design	for Tornado Shelters6-88
6.17	Remed	dial Work on Existing Schools6-91
6.18		nces and Sources of Additional nation6-94
6.19	Glossa	ry of Wind Terms6-96
APPEI	NDIX A –	ACRONYMS
TAB	LES	
CHAP	TER 2	
Table	e 2-1:	ICC Performance Code Criteria for Seismic, Flood, and Wind Events 2-8
Table	e 2-2:	Performance Groups and Tolerated Levels of Damage
Table	e 2-3:	Seismic Expectations Checklist 2-26
Table	e 2-4:	Damage Control and Building Performance Levels

xiv TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 3	
Table 3-1	HAZUS-MH Earthquake, Hurricane, and Flood Losses
Table 3-2	HAZUS-MH Estimated Losses by Percentage of School Building and Contents Inventory
Table 3-3	Multihazard Design System Interactions 3-20
CHAPTER 4	
Table 4-1:	Known Historic (1568-1989) Earthquakes in 47 U.S. States
Table 4-2:	School Seismic Safety Evaluation Checklist 4-42
Table 4-3:	Roofing Maintenance and Repair/ Re-roofing
CHAPTER 5	
Table 5-1:	Flood Hazards at School Sites 5-22
Table 5-2:	Characteristics of Existing School Buildings
CHAPTER 6	
Table 6-1:	Summation of Risk Reduction Design Methods
Table 6-2:	Summation of Design of Schools Used for Hurricane Shelters and/or for Emergency Response After a Storm
Table 6-3:	Summation of Design for Tornado Shelters
Table 6-4:	Summation of Remedial Work on Existing Schools 6-99

TABLE OF CONTENTS xv

#### **FIGURES**

CHAPTER 1	
Figure 1-1	One-room schoolhouse,
	Christiana, DE, 1923 1-3
Figure 1-2	High school, New York City, 1929 1-3
Figure 1-3	Elementary school, Washington, DC, 1930 1-4
Figure 1-4	Typical finger plan school, 1940s. In California, the access hallways would be open to the air. The cross-section diagram shows the simple and effective day lighting and ventilation
Figure 1-5	Compact courtyard plan, 1960s 1-5
Figure 1-6	Fountain Valley High School, Huntington Beach, CA, 1964 (330 students) 1-6
Figure 1-7	Open enclosure plan teaching area, with movable screens and storage, Rhode Island, 1970
Figure 1-8	Typical modular classrooms, 1980s, still in use
Figure 1-9	Elementary school, Fairfield, PA, 1980s 1-8
Figure 1-10	Private high school, Palo Alto, CA, located in a remodeled industrial building.  Note the exterior cross bracing; the building required extensive retrofitting to meet school seismic requirements
Figure 1-11	West High School, Aurora, IL, 2000 1-10
Figure 1-12	Elementary school, Oxnard, CA, 2000 1-11
Figure 1-13	The design and construction process

xvi TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 2	
Figure 2-1	Performance-based design approach flow chart
CHAPTER 3	
Figure 3-1	Peak accelerations (%g) with 10 percent probability of exceedance in 50 years.  Color code shows %g for areas between contour lines. These values are used for seismic design
Figure 3-2	Presidential Disaster Declarations for floods, January 1965 to November 2000.  The incidence of declarations is shown by counties
Figure 3-3	Presidential Disaster Declarations for hurricanes, January 1965 to November 2000. The incidence of declarations is shown by counties
Figure 3-4	Presidential Disaster Declarations for tornadoes, January 1965 to November 2000. The incidence of declarations is shown by counties
CHAPTER 4	
Figure 4-1	School, Anchorage, AK, 1964, severely damaged by earthquake-induced landslide 4-3
Figure 4-2	Map of the continental United States that shows counties and probabilities of earthquakes of varying magnitude
Figure 4-3	Map showing older seismic zones in part of the United States, from the 1997 Uniform Building Code. The area in the box corresponds to the area in Figure 4-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS xvii

Figure 4-4	Portion of an earthquake ground motion map used in the International Building Code 2003 that shows contours that identify regions of similar spectral response accelerations to be used for seismic design. Spectral response acceleration includes both ground acceleration and effect of building period
Figure 4-5	These maps compare the seismicity of the Southeast U.S. and California.  The larger acceleration values for the latter are symbolized by the darker colors
Figure 4-6	These maps show a comparison for the Southeast U.S. between the acceleration values for a 1-second (long) and a 0.2-second (short) building period
Figure 4-7	Ductility4-15
Figure 4-8	Collapse of portion of nonductile concrete frame school structure, Helena, MT, 1935
Figure 4-9	Modular classrooms pushed off their foundations; note stairs at left,  Northridge, CA, 1994
Figure 4-10	Fallen filing cabinets and shelves, Northridge, CA, 1994
Figure 4-11	Fallen shop equipment, Coalinga, CA, 1983418
Figure 4-12	Fallen light fixtures, library, Coalinga, CA, 19834-19
Figure 4-13	Fallen heavy lath and plaster ceiling across auditorium seating, Northridge, CA, 1994

xviii TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 4-14	Damage to the John Muir School, Long Beach, CA, 1933 4-20
Figure 4-15	Damage to shop building, Compton Junior High School, Long Beach, CA, 19334-21
Figure 4-16	A dangerous passage way between two buildings, Polytechnic High School, Long Beach, CA, 1933
Figure 4-17	A heavy corridor lintel ready to fall, Emerson School, Bakersfield, Kern County, CA, 1952
Figure 4-18	Overturned shop equipment and failed light fixtures, Kern County, CA, 1952 4-23
Figure 4-19	Destroyed exit corridor, Bakersfield, Kern County, CA, 1952
Figure 4-20	Typical school damage, Helena, MT, 1935 4-25
Figure 4-21	The student body president was killed here by falling brickwork, Seattle, WA, 1949
Figure 4-22	Another dangerous entry collapse, Seattle, WA, 1949
Figure 4-23	Collapse of roof over stage, Seattle, WA, 1949
Figure 4-24	Damage to library shelving, Seattle, WA, 1949
Figure 4-25	Severe structural damage to the West Anchorage High School, Anchorage, AK, 1964
Figure 4-26	Brittle failure at nonductile concrete column, West Anchorage High School, 1964

TABLE OF CONTENTS xix

Figure 4-27	Ceiling damage, Northridge, CA, 1994 4-30
Figure 4-28	Damage to ceramic kiln, including fractured gas line, Northridge, CA, 1994 4-31
Figure 4-29	Line of suspended light fixtures fallen on teacher's station, Northridge, CA, 1994
Figure 4-30	Example of rapid visual screening information form
Figure 4-31	The structural and nonstructural components
Figure 4-32	Suspended ceiling and light fixture bracing and support
Figure 4-33	Bracing tall shelving to the structure 4-60
Figure 4-34	Connection of nonstructural masonry wall to structure to permit independent movement
Figure 4-35	Bracing for existing unreinforced masonry parapet wall
Figure 4-36	Design strategies for seismic retrofit of existing buildings
Figure 4-37	Retrofit of B.F. Day Elementary School, Seattle, WA
_	Sections and plans of the B.F. Day School: existing at bottom, retrofitted at top 4-65
CHAPTER 5	
Figure 5-1	The riverine floodplain 5-3
Figure 5-2	The coastal floodplain 5-4
Figure 5-3	Riverine flood hazard zones 5-12
Figure 5-4	Definition sketch – flood elevations 5-13

xx TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 5-5	A high school in Bloomsburg, PA, elevated on fill
Figure 5-6	Elementary school in Jefferson County, OH, elevated on columns
Figure 5-7	Hydrostatic force diagram 5-39
Figure 5-8	Fractured concrete basement floor, Gurnee, IL, 1986
Figure 5-9	Damaged walls and cabinets, Peoria County, IL
Figure 5-10	Basement damage at a grade school in Gurnee, IL, 1986
Figure 5-11	Schematic of typical earthen levee and permanent floodwall
Figure 5-12	Masonry floodwall with multiple engineered closures at Oak Grove Lutheran School, Fargo, ND
Figure 5-13	Elevated electric transformer at an elementary school in Verret, LA 5-54
Figure 5-14	Elevated utilities behind an elementary school in Wrightsville Beach, NC 5-55
CHAPTER 6	
Figure 6-1	Hurricane-prone regions and special wind regions
Figure 6-2	Tornado occurrence in the United States based on historical data
Figure 6-3	Design wind speeds for community tornado shelters
Figure 6-4	Schematic of wind-induced pressures on a building 6-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS xxi

Figure 6-5	Schematic of internal pressure condition when the dominant opening is in the windward wall
Figure 6-6	Schematic of internal pressure condition when the dominant opening is in the leeward wall
Figure 6-7	Relative roof uplift pressures as a function of roof geometry, roof slope, and location on roof, and relative positive and negative wall pressures as a function of location along the wall
Figure 6-8	The aggregate ballast on this single-ply membrane roof was blown away in the vicinity of the corners of the wall projections at the window bays. The irregular wall surface created turbulence, which led to wind speed-up and loss of aggregate in the turbulent flow areas
Figure 6-9	The metal roof is over a stair tower.  The irregularity created by the stair tower caused turbulence that resulted in wind speed-up
Figure 6-10	This high school in northern Illinois was heavily damaged by a strong tornado 6-17
Figure 6-11	A portion of the built-up membrane at this school lifted and peeled after the metal edge flashing lifted. The cast-in-place concrete deck kept a lot of water from entering the school. Virtually all of the loose aggregate blew off the roof and broke many windows in nearby houses.  This school was being used as a hurricane shelter at the time of the blow-off

xxii TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 6-12	The outer panes of these windows were broken by aggregate from a built-up roof.  The inner panes had several impact craters.  In several of the adjacent windows, both the outer and inner panes were broken.
	The aggregate had a flight path in excess of 245 feet. The wind speed was less than the design wind speed
Figure 6-13	The metal wall covering on this school was applied to plywood over metal studs.  The metal stud wall collapsed in this area, but, in other areas, it was blown completely away. The CMU wall behind the studs did not appear to be damaged. This school was on the periphery of a violent tornado
Figure 6-14	The unreinforced CMU wall at this school collapsed during a storm that had wind speeds that were less than the design wind speed
Figure 6-15	The roof and all the walls of a wing of this elementary school were blown away by a violent tornado
Figure 6-16	This portable classroom was blown up against the main school building during a storm that had wind speeds that were less than the design wind speed. Depending upon the type of exterior wall, an impacting portable classroom may or may not cause wall collapse
Figure 6-17	This newly-constructed gymnasium had a structural metal roof panel (3-inch trapezoidal ribs at 24 inches on center) applied over metal purlins. The panels detached from their concealed clips. A massive quantity of water entered the school and buckled the wood gym floor

TABLE OF CONTENTS xxiii

Figure 6-18	A portion of the roof structure blew off of this school, and a portion of it collapsed into classrooms. Because of extensive water damage, a school such as this can be out of operation for a considerable period of time	6-23
Figure 6-19	The HVAC unit in the parking lot in the photo's lower right corner blew off the curb during a storm that had wind speeds that were less than the design wind speed.  A substantial amount of water entered the building before a temporary covering could be placed over the opening.	6-30
Figure 6-20	This figure illustrates load path continuity of the structural system. Members are sized to accommodate the design loads and connections are designed to transfer uplift loads applied to the roof, and the positive and negative loads applied to the exterior bearing walls down to the foundation and into the ground.	6-40
Figure 6-21	View of a steel joist after the metal decking blew away	6-46
Figure 6-22	View of another weld near the weld shown in Figure 6-21	6-46
Figure 6-23	Portions of this waffled precast concrete roof deck were blown off. Bolts had been installed to provide uplift resistance; however, anchor plates and nuts had not been installed.	6-47
Figure 6-24	Several of the precast twin-Tee roof and wall panels collapsed. The connection between the roof and wall panels provided very little uplift load resistance.	6-47

xxiv TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 6-25	Door sill pan flashing with end dams, rear leg, and turned-down front leg 6-50
Figure 6-26	Drip at door head and drip with hook at head 6-50
Figure 6-27	Door shoe with drip and vinyl seal 6-51
Figure 6-28	Neoprene door bottom sweep 6-51
Figure 6-29	Automatic door bottom 6-51
Figure 6-30	Interlocking threshold with drain pan 6-52
Figure 6-31	Threshold with stop and seal 6-52
Figure 6-32	Adjustable jamb/head weatherstripping 6-53
Figure 6-33	This suspended metal soffit was not designed for upward-acting wind pressure
Figure 6-34	The interior walls of this classroom wing were constructed of unreinforced CMU 6-55
Figure 6-35	Failure of brick veneer 6-56
Figure 6-36	EIFS blow-off near a wall corner 6-57
Figure 6-37	The metal edge flashing on this modified bitumen membrane roof was installed underneath the membrane, rather than on top of it and then stripped in. In this location, the edge flashing is unable to clamp the membrane down
Figure 6-38	This metal edge flashing had a continuous cleat, but the flashing disengaged from the cleat and the vertical flange lifted up.  However, the horizontal flange of the flashing did not lift
Figure 6-39	This coping was attached with ¼-inch diameter stainless steel concrete spikes at 12 inches on center. When the fastener is placed in wood, #14 stainless steel screws with stainless steel washers are recommended 6-65

TABLE OF CONTENTS xxv

Figure 6-40	Continuous bar near the edge of edge flashing or coping. If the edge flashing or coping is blown off, the bar may prevent a catastrophic progressive failure	-63
Figure 6-41	On this school, the fastener rows of the mechanically attached single-ply membrane ran parallel to the top flange of the steel deck. Hence, essentially all of the row's uplift load was transmitted to only two deck fasteners at each joist	-66
Figure 6-42	View of the underside of a steel deck.  The mechanically attached single-ply membrane fastener rows ran parallel to the top flange of the steel deck	-66
Figure 6-43	The parapet on this school was sheathed with metal wall panels. The panels were fastened at 2 feet on center along their bottom edge, which was inadequate to resist the wind load	-67
Figure 6-44	This air terminal ("lightning rod") was dislodged and whipped around during a windstorm. The single-ply membrane was punctured by the sharp tip in several locations.	-68
Figure 6-45	Two complete windows, including their frames, blew out. The frames were attached with an inadequate number of fasteners, which were somewhat corroded	-69
Figure 6-46	View of a typical window sill pan flashing with end dams and rear legs. Windows that do not have nailing flanges should typically be installed over a pan flashing	<b>-7</b> 0
Figure 6-47	Protection of sealant with a stop. The stop retards weathering of the sealant and reduces the wind-driven rain demand on the sealant 6	-71

xxvi TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 6-48	The rooftop mechanical equipment on this school was blown over. The displaced equipment can puncture the roof membrane and, as in this case, rain can enter the school through the large opening that is no longer protected by the equipment
Figure 6-49	This HVAC equipment had two supplemental securement straps. Both straps are still on this unit, but some of the other units on the roof had broken straps
Figure 6-50	The communications mast on this school was pulled out of the deck, resulting in a progressive peeling failure of the fully adhered single-ply membrane. There are several exhaust fans in the background that were blown off their curbs, but were retained on the roof by the parapet
Figure 6-51	To overcome blow-off of the fan cowling, which is a common problem, this cowling was attached to the curb with cables. The curb needs to be adequately attached to carry the wind load exerted on the fan
Figure 6-52	These wire-tied tiles were installed over a concrete deck. They were attached with stainless steel clips at the perimeter rows and all of the tiles had tail hooks. Adhesive was also used between the tail and head of the tiles
Figure 6-53	At this school, a missile struck the fully adhered low-sloped roof and slid into the steep-sloped reinforced mechanically attached single-ply membrane. A large area of the mechanically attached membrane was blown away due to progressive membrane tearing

TABLE OF CONTENTS xxvii

Figure 6-54	This fully adhered single-ply membrane was struck by a large number of missiles during a hurricane
Figure 6-55	View of a metal shutter designed to provide missile protection for windows 6-85
Figure 6-56	A violent tornado passed by this high school and showered the roof with missiles
Figure 6-57	View of an elementary school corridor after passage of a violent tornado. Although corridors sometimes offer protection, they can be death traps as illustrated in this figure (fortunately the school was not occupied when it was struck).
Figure 6-58	This school had a cementitious wood- fiber deck (commonly referred to by the proprietary name "Tectum")

xxviii TABLE OF CONTENTS