Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: ESC0026 Maintenance Building / PW 3022

<u>Project Location</u>: 111 Ellington Avenue, Luling, Louisiana, St. Charles Parish 70070 (N29.93302, W-90.3659)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project scope of work includes restoring the facility to its pre-disaster condition and upgrading to current codes and standards. Project activities include replacing damaged contents, locating and terminating all site utilities including suspended electrical and gas services, demolishing existing brick building and ancillary structures with concrete slab-on grade and foundations, and removing demolished materials including asbestos floor tiles.

Documentation Requirements			
	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)		
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)		
\boxtimes	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.		
<u>Na</u>	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)		
	Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (xv) (Review Concluded)		
	Categorical Exclusion - Category		
	No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.		
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)		
	Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).		
	Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)		
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment		
	Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)		
	Environmental Impact Statement		
Comr	nents: Refer to Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE)-Restoration and/or Improvement of Internal Facility		
Syste	ms or Components and PCE-Restoration and/or Improvement of External Systems or Components dated 09/01/2005.		

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Ka	atrina / Public Ass	Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools sistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building
Reviewer and Approvals		
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached docu	ımentation j	ustifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Speciali	st	
•	5.	
Signature Brandon M. Clark	Date	11/14/2006 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or dele Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	gated appr	oving official.
Signature Signature	Date	11/14/2006 .
I. Compliance Review for Envi	ronment	tal Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act		
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic prope	rties. (Review	Concluded)
Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement	Otherwise	e, conduct standard Section 106 review.
Activity meets Programmatic Allowance #		TNI- (Davidor Constatuto
Are project conditions required? Yes (see	section v)	No (Review Concluded)
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTUR	RES	
No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or old		rea. (Review Concluded)
Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in proj		
Determination of No Historic Properties Affecte		
Are project conditions required? Yes (see		
Determination of Historic Properties Affected (F		
Property a National Historic Landmark	and National	Park Service was provided early notification
during the consultation process. If not,	explain in com	nments
☐ No Adverse Effect Determination (FEN		
Are project conditions required?	es (see sectio	n V) No (Review Concluded)
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA		
Resolution of Adverse Effect		
Are project conditions require	ed 🔀 Yes (see	e section V) No (Review Concluded)
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review	v Concluded)	
Project affects undisturbed ground.	Concluded	
Project area has no potential for presence of arch	neological reso	ources
Determination of no historic properties		
consultation on file). (Review Conclud	•	
Project area has potential for presence of archeol		ces
Determination of no historic properties	affected (FEN	MA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required Ye	es (see section	V) No (Review Concluded)
Determination of historic properties aff		
☐ NR eligible resources not presen	t (FEMA find	ing/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).
		e section V) No (Review Concluded)
	project area.	(FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on
file)	mination (EF)	MA finding/SUDO/TUDO
Are project conditions re	mination. (FE)	MA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) es (see section V) \(\sum \) No (Review Concluded)
		finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		et completed. (MOA on file)
		ired? Yes (see section V) No
(Review Con-		

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building Comments: 11/14/2006 - FEMA, in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), has determined that the structure associated with the proposed demolition is listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Properties (see attached correspondence dated 1/24/06) and has determined that this scope of work will have an adverse effect on historic properties. As a result, the structure was addressed in accordance with Stipulation VIII of the Programmatic Agreement executed 12/3/04, and FEMA prepared a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), stipulating the measure(s) to address the adverse effect. FEMA has currently satisfied its responsibilities in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act by execution of the agreement and implemented treatment measure(s) (see attached correspondence dated 9/9/06). Failure to comply may jeopardize federal funding. The additional costs incurred by treatment measures as addressed in the MOA will be included in Version "1" of this PW. -V. Gomez, Historic Preservation Specialist 6/12/2006 - Archaeological review complete: Scope of work indicates ground disturbing activities associated with the demolition and rebuild of the structure within its pre-disaster footprint. Upon consultation of SHPO data, there are known archaeological sites within .5 miles of the project area. Demolition must follow the lower impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the request for proposal. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA historic preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA historic preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building. -Katherine Zeringue, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist Correspondence/Consultation/References: **B.** Endangered Species Act No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on file)
☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6.
Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: None

Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public A	Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building
D. Clean Water Act	
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)	
Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.	
Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Bingrape	(Review Concluded)
Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and under Nationwide Permits.	Harbors Act) permit, including qualification
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V)	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is unlikely to affect waters of the United States.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
E. Coastal Zone Management Act	
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coast	tal zone area (Paview concluded)
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone	e
State administering agency does not require consistency revi	
State administering agency requires consistency review.	_
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq YES \) (see section V)	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 11/14/2006 - This project is located within the Louisiana Co	pastal Management Zone I A DNR has determined
that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal	
zone may still require a coastal use permit or other authorization from D	NR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting
LA DNR at 1-800-267-4019. Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Special	ist
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water.	(Daview Constraint)
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.	(Review Concluded)
Coordination with USFWS conducted	
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review	Concluded)
Recommendations provided by USFWS.	
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq\) YES (see sect	ion V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is unlikely to affect waters of the United Stat	es.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
G. Clean Air Act	
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Conclude) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)	<u>d)</u>
Project is located in a non-attainment area.	
Coordination required with applicable state administering ag	ency
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V)	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
H. Formland Duotaction Dollar, Act	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review)	(Concluded)
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated pr	ime or unique farmland
Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commiss	
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-100	
Are project conditions required? YES (see sect	tion V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)	
Project located within a flyway zone.	
Record of Environmental Consideration 4	11/14/06

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building
Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi map.pdf,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). ☐ Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment ☐ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 03/08/2006 - The Parish of St. Charles enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program 05/02/1983. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map 220160 0150 c, dated 06/16/1992, project is located in the shaded x zone, area protected from the 100-yr flood by levee, dike or other structure subject to failure or over topping during larger floods. Project is repair of building which is generally not regulated by local floodplain ordinance. A. Spann, FPM Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Disaster/Er	Name: Brandon M. Clark mergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hur	Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools ricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building					
	D. 11990 - Wetlands	Bocove Municipality					
No Ef	fects on Wetland(s) and project located out:	side Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)					
Locate	ed in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)						
Į.	Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Possible adverse effect associated with c	Concluded) Onstructing in or near wetland					
_	Review completed as part of flo						
	8 Step Process Complete - docu	mentation on file					
	Are project conditions required	? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)					
Comments							
Correspon	Correspondence/Consultation/References:						
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded)							
Comments	s. None						
1	ndence/Consultation/References:						
	HI 04						
	III. Other	Environmental Issues					
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).							
0.1100.1111	corder (see environmental concerns s	scoping enecklist for guidance).					
Comments	:: None	scoping checklist for guidance).					
Comments		scoping checklist for guidance).					
Comments	s: None adence/Consultation/References:	dinary Circumstances					
Comments Correspon	s: None idence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraol the review of compliance with other						
Comments Correspon Based on considera *	IV. Extraol the review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may requi	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which wersy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated.					
Comments Correspon Based on considera *	IV. Extraol the review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require the applied in conjunction with control	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which wersy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated.					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh	IV. Extraol the review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require nould be applied in conjunction with controllease explain in comments. If no, leave blant (i) Greater scope or size than normally ex	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh	IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol Ithe review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require a mould be applied in conjunction with controllease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (i) Greater scope or size than normally ex (ii) Actions with a high level of public co	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh	IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol Ithe review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require a mould be applied in conjunction with controllease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (i) Greater scope or size than normally ex (ii) Actions with a high level of public co	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh	IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol Ithe review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may requinould be applied in conjunction with controllease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (i) Greater scope or size than normally extended in the conjunction with controllease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (ii) Actions with a high level of public conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which versy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action introversy the slight, of already existing poor environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving					
Based on considera * Sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol Ithe review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require nould be applied in conjunction with control lease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (i) Greater scope or size than normally extended (ii) Actions with a high level of public conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology unique or unknown environm (v) Presence of endangered or threatened	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which wersy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy h slight, of already existing poor environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving mental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. Extraol IV. Extraol Ithe review of compliance with other ation of other environmental factors, A "Yes" under any circumstance may require nould be applied in conjunction with control lease explain in comments. If no, leave bland (i) Greater scope or size than normally extended (ii) Actions with a high level of public conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology unique or unknown environm (v) Presence of endangered or threatened cultural, historical or other provents.	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy the slight, of already existing poor environmental environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving ental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources;					
Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. E	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy the slight, of already existing poor environmental entrained with potential adverse effects or actions involving the neutral risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources; ances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local					
Comments Correspon Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. E	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ink. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy in slight, of already existing poor environmental environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving ental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources; ances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local iring action or attention; pecial status areas adversely or other critical resources					
Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. E	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which eversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy in slight, of already existing poor environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving ental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources; ances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local iring action or attention; becial status areas adversely or other critical resources es, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,					
Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. E	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which versy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy in slight, of already existing poor environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving ental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources; ances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local iring action or attention; pecial status areas adversely or other critical resources es, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, drinking water aquifers;					
Based on considera * sh pl	IV. Extraol IV. E	environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which versy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, nk. The perienced for a particular category of action entroversy in slight, of already existing poor environmental with potential adverse effects or actions involving ental risks; species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, rotected resources; ances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local iring action or attention; pecial status areas adversely or other critical resources es, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, drinking water aquifers;					

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katri	Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Publics	
(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact wh other past, present and reasonably for	en the proposed action is combined with reseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the be significant by themselves.	
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:		

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

- 1. This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion.
- 2. Demolition must follow the lower impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the request for proposal. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA historic preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA historic preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building. Per flood recovery guidance, dated 04/12/2006, all contents, materials and equipment shall, where possible, be elevated at least 3 ft. above the highest adjacent grade elevation.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. Ensure that if damaged ac unit or refrigerator contains refrigerant that is an ozone depleting substance subject to clean air act requirements, that this refrigerant is removed and recovered by certified chlorofluorocarbon (cfc) technician per 40 CFR Part 82, and that documentation of appropriate disposal is obtained.
- 5. Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC Title 33 Part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites.
- 6. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- 7. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure that may contain surfaces coated with lead-based paint (LBP). Activities involving abrading (sanding, scraping, etc.),

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: St. Charles Parish Public Schools

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESC0026 Maintenance Building

heating, stripping, or otherwise concentrating LBP shall comply with applicable provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1910 and 1926 (OSHA - worker safety), and 40 CFR 260 through 268 (EPA - hazardous waste). The applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for abatement activities and is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced regulations.

- 8. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of emergency and administrative order" dated June 30, 2006., incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative order.
- 9. This project potentially involves the disposal of metallic mercury containing electronic devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.
- 10. The above NEPA determination is for repair to pre-disaster footprint including upgrades to current codes and standards only at its current location (111 Ellington Avenue, Luling, Louisiana, St. Charles Parish 70070 (N29.93302, W-90.3659). If an alternate or improved project is proposed, project must be re-submitted for re-evaluation under the National Environmental Policy Act. This approval is not for an alternate or improved project.

Monitoring Requirements: None