Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5 / PW 11781

Project Location: 2214 Bobolink Drive St. Bernard Parish 70085 (N29.87043 W-89.88900)

Project Description: Project activities include replacing the bookcases (4), cabinets (170), carpet (4,860 SF), counters (588 SF), cavity wall (1,152 SF), fiberglass insulation ceiling (4,178 SF), gypsum board (20,796 SF), metal toilet partitions (10 stalls), aluminum windows (18), shelves (2016 LF), acoustical tile ceiling (43,102 SF), plumbing fixtures (124), wood doors (88), vinyl composite tile flooring (27,758 SF), havac system, electrical system and repaint wall and ceiling (45,088 SF). Hazard mitigation will be achieved by compliance with current codes and standards and 5 hazard mitigation proposals: HMP1: replacing 5 each damaged toilet partitions (metal) with Solid Plastic HDPE (High Density Polyethylene) partitions with stainless steel fittings and hardware. HMP2: by raising the basemounted gradient level of 39 each HVAC compressor units to a level one (1) foot above the ABFE mandate. HMP3: by replacement of 27,758 SF of finished flooring with a 1/8" application of epoxy composition flooring. HMP4: by replacing 88 each damaged solid wood doors with 88 hollow core metal doors, size 3068, on metal frames and HMP5: by replacing 800 SF of plate glass with 800 SF of 3/8 inch thick Plexiglas.

Documentation Requirements No Documentation Required (Review Concluded) (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) **Environmental Assessment** Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) **Environmental Impact Statement**

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5

Comments: This project meets the criteria for an alternative arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other EHP laws.

F	8	e	vi	e	w	er	ar	ıd	A	D	p	r	V	al	S
_	_	_		_							_	_			

	Project is Non-C	ompliant (See a	ttached document	ntation just	ifying selection).
	A Environmental		al Specialist		
Signa	ture Cath	y Ro	Y	Date	7/28/2006 .
	A Regional Envir e: Don Fairley, El	the second secon	er or delegated a	approving o	official.
Signa	ture /	13	P	Date	7/28/2006 .
I.				nmental	Laws (other than NEPA)
⊠ No		h potential to affect ogrammatic Agree Programmatic All	t historic properties ment(lowance #	Otherwise, co	oncluded) onduct standard Section 106 review. o (Review Concluded)
	historic properties tilding or structure list Determination Are project co Determination Propedurin No A Are p	hat are listed or 45, sted or 45,50 years of No Historic Pronditions required? of Historic Property a National Historic Effect Deteroject conditions rese Effect Determition of Resolution of	or older in project apperties Affected (F	n project area area and active EMA finding etion V) A finding/SH National Parain in comme finding/SHPC (see section Ving/SHPO/Tippleted. (MO)	O/THPO concurrence on file). // No (Review Concluded) HPO concurrence on file)
	oject affects only pre oject affects undistur Project area ha Deter consu Project area ha Dete Are Dete	bed ground. as no potential for mination of no his altation on file). (Responsible to the project conditions remination of history of NR eligible resonance of the project conditions are project conditions of history of the project conditions are project conditions of history of the project conditions are project conditions of history of the project conditions are project conditions.	presence of archeolotoric properties affeeview Concluded) sence of archeologics foric properties affer required Yes (spric properties affected properties affected reces not present (Fonditions required [ogical resources ected (FEMA cal resources ected (FEMA eee section V) ed EMA finding	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR 16031	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5
☐ No Adver Are projec	rse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) ct conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Comments: 07/28/2006 – Original constructio Catherine Jones, Environmental Specialist. Correspondence/Consultation/References:	on was approximately 1964. Subsequent construction 1991 and 1999.
B. Endangered Species Act	I habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical ha No effect to species or designated	abitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. d critical habitat. (See comments for justification)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adver determination/USFWS/NMFS concur	Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ersely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA errence on file) (Review Concluded)
Likely to adversely affect species Formal consultation conc	☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) s or designated critical habitat cluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) equired? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit of file) ☐ Proposed action an exception und ☐ Proposed action not excepted und	Init or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on the Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded) der Section 3505.a.6. YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
D. Clean Water Act	
Project would not affect any waters of the Project would affect waters, including wetl	lands, of the U.S. cement or other exemption. (Review Concluded)
under Nationwide Permits.	or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any Correspondence/Consultation/References:	waterways of the US.
E. Coastal Zone Management	Act
Project is not located in a coastal zone area Project is located in a coastal zone area and	a and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) d/or affects the coastal zone not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).
	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA/Hurricane F	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Catrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5
	istent with the Coastal Zone Management Act and the Louisiana
correspondences consumations references.	
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/b Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of	
☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted ☐ No Recommendations offered by USF ☐ Recommendations provided by USF	
	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any wat Correspondence/Consultation/References:	terways of the US.
G. Clean Air Act	
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Revi	
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Conc	luded)
Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state address.	ministering agency
Are project conditions required? YES (see	
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emission Correspondence/Consultation/References:	ns.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act	1.
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farm	
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of Coordination with Natural Resource Conserva	
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating,	
	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Con	cluded)
Project located within a flyway zone.	
Project does not have potential to take migrato	
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Project has potential to take migratory birds.	section V) 🖾 No (Review Concluded)
Contact made with USFWS	
	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
	with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has
	ources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other in the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyv	vav.gov/Documents/Mississinni man.ndf
	- Maria and Maria and Maria and Maria

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) Project is along or affects WSR Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: 07/24/2006 The Parish of St. Bernard is enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) as of 3/13/1970. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 2252040460b dated 5/1/1985, project is located in zone "B", area protected from the 100-yr flood by levee, dike or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger floods. Project is repair of building and replacement of equipment and electrical components. A hazard mitigation proposal is attached. Per flood recovery guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the highest adjacent grade elevation. K. Roof, floodplain management specialist. Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5 B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: III. Other Environmental Issues Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions: (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;

	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0126 J.F. Gauthier School K-5
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers.
	sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the
	proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments:	None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

 Per flood recovery guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the highest adjacent grade elevation.

Monitoring Requirements: None