Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: Port of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Documentation Requirements

Project Name/Number: Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd Crane #2 / PW 6142

<u>Project Location:</u> France Rd Terminal, New Orleans, Louisiana Orleans Parish (N29.987478 W-90.021903)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project activities include replacing main equalizer (4), bogic assembly (16), electric motors (8), gear box (8), electrical wiring below sill beams (1 LS), pad eyes on crane (4), 4 tie down assemblies, 8 bumpers (2 per corner of crane), forestays (2), forestay gathers (2), Bolts at portal connection (4), 2 pin sockets in wharf at crane #2, and 2 pad eyes on waterside of wharf at crane #2. Hazard mitigation will be achieved by installing on the Wharf Edge new redesigned "Pin Sockets" and "Pad Eyes". The new redesigned configurations will include thicker steel plates and stronger anchors.

Documentation requirements
No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion − Category (XV & XVI) (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion − Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement
Comments: Refer to Programmatic Categorical Exclusion Restoration and/or Improvement of External Facility Systems and Components dated 09/01/2005. See attached.

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: Port of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd

Crane #2

Reviewer and App	<u>rovals</u>		
Project is Non-Comp	liant (See attached docum	entation jus	tifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Rev Name: Catherine Jones, En		,	
Signature Cashy	200	Date	10/30/2006 .
FEMA Regional Environm Name: Howard R. Bush, E		l approving o	official.
Signature P	$-\rho$	Date	10/30/2006 .
I. Compliance	Review for Enviro	onmenta	Laws (other than NEPA)
HISTORIC BUILD No historic properties that are Building or structure listed of Determination of No Are project conditio Determination of Hi Property a during the of No Adverse Are project Adverse Eff	rammatic Allowance # ns required? Yes (see sec INGS AND STRUCTURE e listed or 45/50 years or older r 45/50 years or older in project to Historic Properties Affected (ns required? Yes (see s storic Properties Affected (FE) National Historic Landmark ar consultation process. If not, exp e Effect Determination (FEMA conditions required? Yes fect Determination (FEMA fin esolution of Adverse Effect co	ction V) No.	A. (Review Concluded) vity not exempt from review. 2/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) No (Review Concluded) HPO/THPO concurrence on file) rk Service was provided early notification ents D/THPO concurrence on file). V) \[\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \] HPO concurrence on file) A on file)
ARCHEOLOGICA Project affects only previousl Project affects undisturbed gr Project area has no p Determinat consultation Project area has pote Determina Are proje NR	L RESOURCES y disturbed ground. (Review Cound.) cotential for presence of archeologion of no historic properties after on file). (Review Concluded ential for presence of archeological for presence of the first properties affect eligible resources not present of the project conditions required a ligible resources present in present in present of the project conditions required a ligible resources present in present in present of the project conditions required a ligible resources present in present in present of the project conditions required a ligible resources present in present of the present o	Concluded) clogical resour fected (FEMA gical resources ffected (FEMA (see section V cted (FEMA finding I Yes (see se project area. (F	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or a finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) No (Review Concluded) g/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). ection V) \[\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \] FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on
	Are project conditions requ	ired? 🔲 Yes	A finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) (see section V) \(\sum \) No \(\frac{(Review Concluded)}{(Review Concluded)} \) and \(\text{ord} \) A finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)

	Applicant: Port of New Orleans LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd
Crane #2	Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Comments: 10/30/06- This project involves the adverse effect on historic structures. E.S. This Correspondence/Consultation/References:	ne repair of a crane for the Port of New Orleans. Project activities will have no bodeaux, Historic Preservation Specialist.
(Review Concluded) ☐ Listed species and/or designated critical had been appropriated. ☐ No effect to species or designated. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species. ☐ Likely to adversely affect species. ☐ Formal consultation conditions.	abitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. abitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. d critical habitat. (See comments for justification) Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ersely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA arrence on file) (Review Concluded) Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) or designated critical habitat cluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) equired? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit of file) Proposed action an exception und Proposed action not excepted und	Init or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on the Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Project requires Section 404/401/ under Nationwide Permits.	
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any Correspondence/Consultation/References:	waterways of the US.
 ☑ Project is located in a coastal zone area an ☑ State administering agency does n ☑ State administering agency requirements 	a and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) d/or affects the coastal zone not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Applicant: Port of New Orleans Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd
Crane #2
Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. La DNR has determined that receipt of
federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still
require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-
800-267-4019.). Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Correspondence/Consultation/Rejerences.
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)
Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. [Review Concluded]
Coordination with USFWS conducted
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)
Recommendations provided by USFWS.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)
Project is located in a non-attainment area.
Coordination required with applicable state administering agency
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded)
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.
Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required.
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I Mignotomy Dind Treaty Act
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)
Project located within a flyway zone.
Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds.
Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
The project contained requires. The (over section 1)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has
determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other
fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana
i =
Endangered Species Summary are met.
Endangered Species Summary are met. Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf ,

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disseter/Francesony/Program/Project Title: DB 16031 A / Humin	Applicant: Port of New Orleans cane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd
Crane #2	and Radina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Pacifiles-France Rd
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.	
Project does not adversely affect Essential	
	(see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	abitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
	ommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
Are project conditions required? NOAA Fisheries provided recom	Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Fisheries recommendations completed.
	equired? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
	Annual C 125 (constant) C No Internation
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Sce	enic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
Project is along or affects WSR	
Project adversely affects WSR as determine	ned by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation or	
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (N	
Are project conditions required? YES	(see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Correspondence Consumations regardiness.	
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environ II. Compliance Review for	
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains	
☐ No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project of	outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
□ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Floodpl	ood levels
	lversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
	(see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy	
	vestment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
environment	
8 Step Process Complete - docum	
Are project conditions required?	XES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
on 08/03/1970. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) zone; area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and of facility, components, and equipment to pre-disaster a completed and attached. Elevation information, signed obtained and filed for verification of compliance with 4 shall be either flood proofed or elevated above the advi	ns Parish enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) 225203 0115E, dated 03/01/1984, site is located within an "A-13" diflood hazard factors determined. Project is repair and replacement footprint. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was and sealed by a licensed surveyor, engineer or architect must be 14 CFR 9.11; for the placement of contents, materials and equipment, isory base flood elevation. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a tion activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the La oject files. Casey Barefield, FPM.
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands	
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside	de Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)	ic wettatid(s) - (Meview Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Co	oncluded)
Record of Environmental Consideration	5 10/30/06

	ame: Catherine Jones Applicant: Port of New Orleans
Disaster/Em Crane #2	ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd
	Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
	Review completed as part of floodplain review
	8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
	Are project conditions required: [] TES (see section v) [] NO [Review Concluded)
Comments	· None
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
C F O	10000 E '
	2. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations
	w income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) come or minority population in or near project area
	No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)
	Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO_(Review Concluded)
Comments	None
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
	III. Other Environmental Issues
	111. Other Environmental issues
Identify of	other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or
-	order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
Comments	· None
	dence/Consultation/References:
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank.
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which would be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated,
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
considera *	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which rould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
considera	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which could be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: Port of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Public Buildings and Facilities-France Rd

Crane #2

Comments: None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

- 1. This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion.
- 2. Elevation information, signed and sealed by a licensed surveyor, engineer or architect must be obtained and filed for verification of compliance with 44 CFR 9.11; for the placement of contents, materials and equipment, shall be either flood proofed or elevated above the advisory base flood elevation. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the La GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. This project potentially involves the disposal of Metallic Mercury Containing Electronic Devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.

Monitoring Requirements: None