Record of Environmental Consideration REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL - LOUISIANA - April 2007

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number:	ne/Number: Repairs to New Orleans Women's Prison /PW #5010-2			
orleans Parish Criminal Sheriff's Office				
Project Location:	2626 Tulane Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana, Orleans Parish, 70119 (29.96160N, 90.08960W)			
Project Description: The Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) is to mitigate flood damage to the 150kw diesel generator and other related items by raising the base floor 6' high above adjacent ground to eliminate future flooding damage to this generator.				
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination				
Programmatic Categorica Categorical Exclusion - C No Extraordinary C Are project condition Extraordinary C Are project condition Extraordinary C Are project condition Environmental Assessm Supplemental Environm Environmental Impact S	ircumstances exist. ons required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) mstances exist (see Section IV). Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments) nditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ent ental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)			
This project has conditions and which are listed under the NEP scope of work will require subswork, for compliance with the	the criteria for an alternative arrangement (detention centers - jailhouses) type of project. I requires mitigation under the other environmental and historic preservation (EHP) laws A level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved mission to, and evaluation and approval by, the state and FEMA prior to initiation of any national environmental policy act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all and requirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the			
	nt (see attached documentation justifying selection).			
Reviewer and Approvals				
Signature FEMA Environmental Lia Name: Bridget Zachary, De Signature	Date O6 C0 2007 Dison Officer or Delegated Approving Official: legated Approving Official, FEMA LA TRO Date 16-6-07 Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)			
A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)				

Not type of activity with potential to affect historic structures or archaeological resources (Review Concluded) Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)

Reviewer Name: LeSchina Holmes FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: N.O. Women's Prison/PW#5010-2 Parish: Orleans
☐ Activity meets Programmatic Agreement, Dec Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (se ☐ Programmatic Agreement not applicable for h Review (see below). ☐ Other Programmatic Agreement dated insert of	e Section V)
□ Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or □ Determination of No Historic Proper Are project conditions required? □ Determination of Historic Properties □ Property a National Historic during the consultation proc □ No Adverse Effect Determinate □ Adverse Effect Determinate □ Resolution of Adverse of Determination □ Resolution of Adverse □ Adverse Effect Determination □ Resolution of Adverse □ Adverse □ Resolution of Adverse □ Determination □ Resolution of Adverse □ Resolutio	years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) older in project area and activity not exempt from review. ties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Yes (see Section V) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) to Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification tess. If not, explain in comments nation (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) fired? \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) on (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) terse Effect completed (MOA on file) tions required \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \)
(Review Concluded) ☐ Project area has potential for present ☐ Determination of no histor Are project conditions rec ☐ Determination of historic p ☐ NR eligible resource Are project cond ☐ NR eligible resource ☐ No Adverse ☐ Are project cond ☐ Re project cond ☐ Adverse Effer	and. (Review Concluded) associated with an historic structure sence of archeological resources c properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) the of archeological resources c properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) series affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) squired Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
required by criteria consideration g of the national historic places. Scope of work indicates no group properties. If during the course of work, archaeo the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant w State Historic Preservation Office. In addition, in Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (r.s. 8:671 of the jurisdiction where the remains are located	O-year-criterion, nor does it possess the level of exceptional importance all register guidelines to be considered eligible for the national register of and disturbing activities and the proposed work should not affect historic logical artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, a discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA ill not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with The funmarked graves are present, compliance with The Louisiana Unmarked at seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify gy at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to ceipt of FEMA funding.

B. Endangered Species Act

No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded)

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Dana Linck, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist

Reviewer Name: LeSchina Holmes FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: N.O. Women's Prison/PW#5010-2 Parish: Orleans
☐ No effect to species or designated critical habit Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see ☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affect statementation/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or designate ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Biolemann and the second	Section V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) pecies or designated critical habitat (FEMA b) (Review Concluded) Section V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \)
Comments: Project is located in an urban or previously de near this site, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to the Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS emerg September 15, 2005.	
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherw ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise file) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3: ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 3: ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see	Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on 505.a.6 (Review Concluded) 505.a.6.
Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holr	nes, Environmental Specialist
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or of ☐ Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Waincluding qualification under Nationwide Perra Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see ☐ Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to the U.S. of the U.S	U.S. there exemption. (Review Concluded) ter Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit, nits. e Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Hol	mes, Environmental Specialist
Concluded).	ne coastal zone ring agency does not require consistency review. (Review affect a waterway, state administering agency requires
Resources (LDNR) Coastal Management Division Joint Pe	and and does not require a Louisiana Department of Natural rmit. Management Division emergency consultation guidance and
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act ☐ Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/b ☐ Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body o ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted	

No Recommendations provided by USFWS. Review Concluded	Reviewer Name: LeSchina Holmes FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: N.O. Women's Prison/PW#5010-2 Parish: Orleans	
Comments: Corespondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist			
G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. Project is located in an on-attainment area. Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. Project is located in an on-attainment area. Project conditions required? Ptes (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project doctorespondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist Project doctorespondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist Project doctorespondence/Consultation that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded) Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. Project does unique farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project not located make with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Permanentation) (Jeweword) Project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are projec	Commonis		
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. Project is located in an antainment area. Project is located in an antainment area. Project is located in an on-attainment area. YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act	Project will not result in permanent air emissions. Project is located in an attainment area. Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state	e administering agency.	
Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded) Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Service required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Review Concluded No (Review Concluded) No (Review Concluded)		Holmes, Environmental Specialist	
Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded) Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Service required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) Project clocated within a flyway zone. Project clocated within a flyway zone. Project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) ▷ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS □ Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS □ Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005. J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act □ Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) □ Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) □ Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) □ Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) □ NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded) □ NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)	Project will not affect undisturbed ground. (Review Project has a zoning classification that is other than Project does not affect designated prime or unique for Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion Coordination with Natural Resources Con Farmland Conversion Impact Rate	agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded) farmland. (Review Concluded) on of designated prime or unique farmland. servation Service required. ting, Form AD-1006, completed.	
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) □ Project located within a flyway zone. □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005. J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act □ Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see Section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) □ NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded) □ NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) □ NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)	· ·	Holmes, Environmental Specialist	
USFWS migratory bird management program. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005. J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)	☐ Project not located within a flyway zone (Review C) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take mig ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (☐ Project has potential to take migratory bird ☐ Contact made with USFWS	ratory birds (Review Concluded) (see section V) No (Review Concluded) s.	
 ☑ Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) ☑ Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. ☑ Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) ☑ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see Section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) ☐ NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see Section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) 	USFWS migratory bird management program.		
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments:	Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Are project conditions required? Yes (Project adversely affects Essential Fish Ha NOAA Fisheries provided no reconditions required? NOAA Fisheries provided recommits NOAA Fisheries Project conditions required?	Fish Habitat (Review Concluded) (see Section V) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) (bitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (commendation(s) (Review Concluded). (concluded) (determination V) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) (determination V) \(\subseteq No (Review	

Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
 ☑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. <u>FEMA cannot fund the action</u> . (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required?
Comments:
Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes, Environmental Specialist
L. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
Unusable equipment, debris, and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
M. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John The Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa And Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana department of agriculture and forestry or his designee(s).
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
 ✓ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels ✓ No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? ✓ Yes (see Section V) ✓ No (Review Concluded) ✓ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment
 □ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? □ YES (see Section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) □ A Final Public Notice is required
Comments: New Orleans / Orleans Parish are enrolled in The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) as of 8/3/70. Per flood insurance rate map (firm) panel number 225203 0160 e, dated 3/1/84, facility is located within an "A4" zone, area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and flood hazard factors as determined project is repair to structure building and facility equipment to pre-disaster footprint which is not likely to affect any floodplain. As per 44 CFR 9.11 (d) (9), all building contents, materials and equipment components shall be either flood proofed or elevated above flood of record to the extent possible and practicable. Applicant shall coordinate with the local floodplain administrator for floodplain ordinances and permit requirements. Applicant is responsible for meeting all requirements of the permit Correspondence/Consultation/References: FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, Community Panel No. 2252030095 E dated 03/01/1984.

B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands

No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: LeSchina Holmes FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Project Name/Env Database No: N.O. Women's Prison/PW#5010-2 Parish: Orleans
_	Turion, Oricans
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)	
☐ Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Conclude) ☐ Possible adverse effect associated with constructing	
Review completed as part of floodplain re	
8 Step Process Complete - documentation	
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq \text{YES}	S (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes	Environmental Specialist
Consequence Communication Property Control	, Environmental Specialist
C E O 12000 Empiremental Institution for	. I am Inaama and Minasita Danalations
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for	
Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact. No Low income or minority population in, near or affected	
reference] (Review Concluded)	by the project based on information gathered from [add a
Low income or minority population in or near project area	
	low income or minority population (Review Concluded)
Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low	
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq\) YES (see Sec	tion V) \(\subseteq \text{NO (Review Concluded)} \)
Comments:	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes	, Environmental Specialist
III. Other Environmental Issues	
	
Comments: Although this PW was reviewed under the NEPA	Alternative Arrangements, no public involvement plan is
required. Correspondence/Consultation/References: LeSchina Holmes,	Environmental Specialist
Correspondence Consumunou References. Desemble 110mies,	Environmental opecialist
IV Extraordinary Circumstances	
IV. Extraordinary Circumstances	
Yes	
(i) Greater scope or size than normally experience	d for a particular category of action
(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy	
(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight,	
conditions;	
 (iv) Employment of unproven technology with pot unique or unknown environmental risks; 	ential adverse effects or actions involving
(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species	or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
cultural, historical or other protected resources;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at regulations or standards requiring action or attention	
(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special sta	
such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge an	
sole or principal drinking water aquifers;	
(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safe	
(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tripprotection of the environment.	bal law or requirement imposed for the
(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact who	en the proposed action is combined with
other past, present and reasonably foreseeable futu	
proposed action may not be significant by themsel	
[a	
Comments:	

Project Conditions:

- In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John The Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa And Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana department of agriculture and forestry or his designee(s).
- Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In
 the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project
 applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic
 waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal
 agencies.
- Scope of work indicates no ground disturbing activities and the proposed work should not affect historic properties. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA hp completes consultation with The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with The Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (r.s. 8:671 et seq.) Is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and The Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.
- All building contents, materials and equipment components shall be either flood proofed or elevated above flood of record to the extent possible and practicable. Applicant shall coordinate with the local floodplain administrator for floodplain ordinances and permit requirements. Applicant is responsible for meeting all requirements of the permit.