



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

## **FY 2012 Preparedness Grant Programs Overview**

**Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)  
Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) Program  
Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program (THSGP)  
Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)  
Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program  
Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)  
Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)**

**February 2012**



**Grant Programs Directorate**

## Homeland Security Preparedness Grant Programs Overview

On March 30, 2011, the President released Presidential Policy Directive - 8 (PPD-8), which focuses on strengthening the security and resilience of the United States through systematic preparation for the threats that pose the greatest risk to the security of the nation, including acts of terrorism, cyber attacks, pandemics, and catastrophic natural disasters. As defined in PPD-8, national preparedness refers to “*the actions taken to plan, organize, equip, train and exercise to build and sustain the capabilities necessary to prevent, protect against, mitigate the effects of, respond to, and recover from those threats that pose the greatest risk to the security of the Nation.*” National preparedness is the shared responsibility of all levels of government, the private and nonprofit sectors, and individual citizens. The objective of PPD-8 is to facilitate an integrated, all-of-Nation, capabilities-based approach to preparedness.

One of the core missions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is to enhance the ability of state, territory, local, and tribal governments to prevent, protect against, respond to, and recover from terrorist attacks and other disasters. DHS’ comprehensive suite of homeland security preparedness grant programs are an important part of the Administration’s larger, coordinated effort to strengthen homeland security preparedness. These programs implement objectives addressed in a series of post-*Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Act of 2007* (Public Law 110-53) (“hereafter “9/11 Act”) laws, strategy documents, plans, and presidential policy directives to include PPD-8.

The following is a summary of the DHS grant programs which are being announced on February 17, 2012. The following pages outline greater details and background information with respect to these programs.

### Funding Distribution – FY 2011 and FY 2012

Program	FY 2011	FY 2012
Homeland Security Grant Program	\$1,289,296,132	\$830,976,000
State Homeland Security Program	\$526,874,100	\$294,000,000
Urban Areas Security Initiative	\$662,622,100	\$490,376,000
Operation Stonegarden	\$54,890,000	\$46,600,000
Emergency Management Performance Grants Program	\$329,140,400	\$339,500,000
Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program	\$10,000,000	\$6,000,000
Nonprofit Security Grant Program	\$18,962,000	\$10,000,000
Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program	\$19,960,000	\$10,000,000
Port Security Grant Program	\$235,029,000	\$97,500,000
Transit Security Grant Program	\$200,079,000	\$87,500,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,190,570,008</b>	<b>\$1,381,476,000</b>

## Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)

The FY 2012 HSGP plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the *National Preparedness Goal* (NPG). The following are descriptions of each HSGP component programs. HSGP is comprised of three interconnected grant programs:

- State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
- Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI)
- Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)

Together, these grant programs fund a range of preparedness activities, including planning, organization, equipment purchase, training, exercises, and management and administration.

### State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$294,000,000

**Purpose:** SHSP supports the implementation of state Homeland Security Strategies to address the identified planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism and other catastrophic events. SHSP also provides funding to implement initiatives in the State Preparedness Report.

**Eligible Applicants:** The State Administrative Agency (SAA) is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for SHSP funds. Recipients include all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

**Program Awards:** The allocation methodology for FY 2012 SHSP is based on three factors: minimum amounts as legislatively mandated, DHS' risk methodology, and anticipated effectiveness based on the strength of the Investment Justification (IJ). Each State and territory will receive a minimum allocation under SHSP using the thresholds established in the 9/11 Act. All 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico will receive 0.35 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2003 and Section 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended by the 9/11 Act, for SHSP. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a minimum allocation of 0.08 percent of the total funds allocated for grants under Section 2003 and 2004 of the *Homeland Security Act of 2002*, as amended by the 9/11 Act, for SHSP.

## Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Program

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$490,376,000

**Purpose:** UASI program funds address the unique planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs of high-threat, high-density urban areas, and assists them in building an enhanced and sustainable capacity to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, and recover from acts of terrorism.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for UASI funds. A total of 31 high-threat, high-density urban areas are eligible for funding under the FY 2012 UASI program.

**Program Awards:** The allocation methodology for FY 2012 UASI is based on DHS' risk methodology and anticipated effectiveness based on the strength of the IJ. Eligible candidates for the FY 2012 UASI program have been determined through an analysis of relative risk of terrorism faced by the 100 most populous metropolitan statistical areas in the United States, in accordance with the 9/11 Act.

## Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$46,600,000

**Purpose:** OPSG funds are intended to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, tribal, territorial, state, and federal law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States' borders along routes of ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in States bordering Mexico and Canada, as well as states and territories with international water borders.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for OPSG funds. Local units of government at the county level and federally-recognized tribal governments in the states bordering Canada (including Alaska), southern states bordering Mexico, and states and territories with International water borders may apply for FY 2012 OPSG funds through their SAA.

**Program Awards:** FY 2012 OPSG allocations will be made competitively to designated localities within U.S. border states based on risk analysis and the anticipated feasibility and effectiveness of proposed investments by the applicants.

## Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) Program

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$339,500,000

**Purpose:** The purpose of the FY 2012 EMPG Program is to provide grants to states to assist state, local, tribal and territorial governments in preparing for all hazards, as authorized by the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.). Title VI of the *Stafford Act* authorizes FEMA to make grants for the purpose of providing a system of emergency preparedness for the protection of life and property in the United States from hazards and to vest responsibility for emergency

preparedness jointly in the federal government and the states and their political subdivisions. The federal government, through the EMPG Program, provides necessary direction, coordination, and guidance, and provides necessary assistance, as authorized in this title so that a comprehensive emergency preparedness system exists for all hazards. The FY 2012 EMPG plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the NPG.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA or the state's Emergency Management Agency (EMA) is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for the EMPG Program funds on behalf of state and local emergency management agencies, however only one application will be accepted from each state or territory. All 56 states and territories, as well as the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the Federated States of Micronesia, are eligible to apply for the FY 2012 EMPG Program funds.

**Program Awards:** The FY 2012 EMPG Program allocation methodology dictates that all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will receive a base amount of 0.75 percent (.75%) of the total available grant funding. Four territories (American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) will receive a base amount of 0.25 percent (.25%) of the total available grant funding. The balance of the EMPG Program funds will be distributed on a population-match basis. Pursuant to Article X of the Federal Programs and Services Agreement of the Compact of Free Association authorized by Public Law 108-188, funds are available for the Federated States of Micronesia, and for the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

In FY 2012, the Federal share of the cost of an activity carried out using funds made available under the program shall not exceed 50 percent of the total budget. State cost match (cash or in-kind) requirement, as authorized by the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (Public Law 93-288), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 5121-5207, specifically, Title VI, sections 611(j) and 613. Unless otherwise authorized by law, Federal funds cannot be matched with other Federal funds.

## Tribal Homeland Security Grant Program (THSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$6,000,000

**Purpose:** THSGP provides funding directly to eligible tribes to help strengthen the nation against risks associated with potential terrorist attacks. The FY 2012 THSGP plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the NPG.

**Eligible Applicants:** Per the 9/11 Act, a "directly eligible tribe" is defined as —  
(A) any Indian tribe—

- (i) that is located in the continental United States;
- (ii) that operates a law enforcement or emergency response agency with the capacity to respond to calls for law enforcement or emergency services;
- (iii)

- (I) that is located on or near an international border or a coastline bordering an ocean (including the Gulf of Mexico) or international waters;
  - (II) that is located within 10 miles of a system or asset included on the prioritized critical infrastructure list established under section 210E(a)(2) or has such a system or asset within its territory;
  - (III) that is located within or contiguous to 1 of the 50 most populous metropolitan statistical areas in the United States; or
  - (IV) the jurisdiction of which includes not less than 1,000 square miles of Indian country, as that term is defined in section 1151 of title 18, United States Code; and
- (iv) that certifies to the Secretary that a state has not provided funds under section 2003 or 2004 to the Indian tribe or consortium of Indian tribes for the purpose for which direct funding is sought; and
- (B) a consortium of Indian tribes, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

In summary, eligible tribes must meet the requirements set forth in (A) (i), and (A) (ii), and (A) (iv). Tribes must also meet one of the requirements set forth in (A) (iii); either (A) (iii) (I), or (A) (iii) (II), or (A) (iii) (III), or (A) (iii) (IV). Finally, (B) may also be satisfied, if each tribe satisfies the requirements of subparagraph (A).

**Program Awards:** FY 2012 THSGP funds will be allocated based on two factors: tribal eligibility per the 9/11 Act (self-certified) and the effectiveness of the applicant's THSGP Investment Justification (as determined through a peer review process).

## Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$10,000,000

**Purpose:** NSGP provides funding support for target hardening and other physical security enhancements and activities to nonprofit organizations that are at high risk of a terrorist attack and located within one of the specific FY 2012 UASI-eligible urban areas. The FY 2012 NSGP plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the NPG.

**Eligible Applicants:** The SAA is the only entity eligible to apply to FEMA for NSGP funds on behalf of eligible nonprofit organizations (as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986). Eligible nonprofit organizations determined to be at high risk of a terrorist attack and located within one of the specific FY 2012 UASI-eligible urban areas must apply for funding through their SAA.

**Program Awards:** FY 2012 NSGP funds will be allocated based on risk analysis, effectiveness, and integration with broader state and local preparedness efforts. Each nonprofit organization may apply through their SAA for up to a \$75,000 grant award.

## Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak) Program

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$10,000,000

**Purpose:** The Intercity Passenger Rail (IPR) - Amtrak Program provides funding to Amtrak to develop security enhancements for eligible intercity passenger rail operations. The FY 2012 IPR plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the NPG.

**Eligible Applicants:** The eligible applicant under the FY 2012 IPR Program is the National Passenger Railroad Corporation (Amtrak). Amtrak is the only entity eligible to apply for funding under the FY 2012 IPR Program.

**Program Awards:** DHS will partner with Amtrak to develop security enhancements for eligible intercity passenger rail operations in all eligible UASI areas Amtrak passes through or services.

## Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$97,500,000

**Purpose:** PSPG provides funding for transportation infrastructure security activities to implement Area Maritime Transportation Security Plans and facility security plans among port authorities, facility operators, and state and local government agencies required to provide port security services. The purpose of the FY 2012 PSGP is to support increased port-wide risk management; enhanced domain awareness; training and exercises; expansion of port recovery and resiliency capabilities; and further capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to, and recover from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IEDs) and other non-conventional weapons; and competitively award grant funding to assist ports in obtaining the resources required to support the NPG's associated mission areas and core capabilities.

**Eligible Applicants:** Seven port areas have been selected as Group I (highest risk), 48 port areas have been selected as Group II, and 35 port areas have been selected as Group III. Ports not identified in Group I, II, or III will compete for the funding identified for the "All Other Port Areas" Group. Ports that qualified under the "All Other Port Areas" category that are located within Group I, II, or III areas are allowed to receive grant funds from their geographically proximate higher group if the project has regional impact across the entire port area, but not from both funding groups for the same project.

**Program Awards:** DHS has apportioned a percentage of the total amount available to each of the port area groups. Port areas will compete for funding within their specific group.

## Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)

**Total Funding Available in FY 2012:** \$87,500,000

**Purpose:** TSGP provides funds to owners and operators of transit systems (which include intracity bus, commuter bus, ferries, and all forms of passenger rail) to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism and to increase the resilience of transit infrastructure. The FY 2012 TSGP plays an important role in the implementation of PPD-8 by supporting the development and sustainment of core capabilities to fulfill the NPG.

**Eligible Applicants:** Eligible transit agencies are determined based on daily unlinked passenger trips (ridership) and transit systems that serve historically eligible Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) jurisdictions. Certain ferry systems are eligible to participate in the FY 2012 TSGP and receive funds. However, any ferry system electing to participate and receive funds under the FY 2012 TSGP will not be eligible to participate under the FY 2012 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP), and will not be considered for funding under the FY 2012 PSGP. Likewise, any ferry system that participates in the PSGP will not be eligible for funding under the TSGP.

**Program Awards:** DHS intends to focus its available transit security grant dollars on the highest-risk systems through a competitive process.

DHS has identified critical infrastructure assets of national concern through the Top Transit Asset List (TTAL). Critical infrastructure assets are those vital to the functionality and continuity of a major transit system such that their incapacitation or destruction would have a debilitating effect on security, national economic security, public health or safety, or any combination thereof. With the creation of the TTAL, DHS can now target funding to the remediation of those assets on the list in an informed and risk-based approach.



## FY 2012 Funding Tables

**Table 1. FY 2012 SHSP Allocations**

State/Territory	FY 2012 Allocation	State/Territory	FY 2012 Allocation
Alabama	\$2,801,316	Montana	\$2,801,316
Alaska	\$2,801,316	Nebraska	\$2,801,316
American Samoa	\$640,301	Nevada	\$2,801,316
Arizona	\$3,310,348	New Hampshire	\$2,801,316
Arkansas	\$2,801,316	New Jersey	\$6,230,200
California	\$43,503,883	New Mexico	\$2,801,316
Colorado	\$2,801,316	New York	\$55,610,384
Connecticut	\$2,801,316	North Carolina	\$3,977,858
Delaware	\$2,801,316	North Dakota	\$2,801,316
District of Columbia	\$2,984,245	Northern Mariana Islands	\$640,301
Florida	\$8,839,003	Ohio	\$5,578,064
Georgia	\$4,932,320	Oklahoma	\$2,801,316
Guam	\$640,301	Oregon	\$2,801,316
Hawaii	\$2,801,316	Pennsylvania	\$7,265,475
Idaho	\$2,801,316	Puerto Rico	\$2,801,316
Illinois	\$11,852,469	Rhode Island	\$2,801,316
Indiana	\$2,801,316	South Carolina	\$2,801,316
Iowa	\$2,801,316	South Dakota	\$2,801,316
Kansas	\$2,801,316	Tennessee	\$2,801,316
Kentucky	\$2,801,316	Texas	\$15,820,512
Louisiana	\$2,801,316	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$640,301
Maine	\$2,801,316	Utah	\$2,801,316
Maryland	\$4,438,106	Vermont	\$2,801,316
Massachusetts	\$4,073,885	Virginia	\$5,372,259
Michigan	\$4,898,578	Washington	\$4,705,147
Minnesota	\$2,801,316	West Virginia	\$2,801,316
Mississippi	\$2,801,316	Wisconsin	\$2,801,316
Missouri	\$2,801,316	Wyoming	\$2,801,316
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$294,000,000</b>

**Table 2. FY 2012 UASI Allocations**

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Urban Area</b>	<b>FY 2012 Allocation</b>
Arizona	Phoenix Area	\$4,018,455
California	Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$4,455,106
	Bay Area	\$26,423,268
	Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$61,029,547
	Riverside Area	\$1,521,937
	San Diego Area	\$9,156,712
Colorado	Denver Area	\$2,527,525
District of Columbia	National Capital Region	\$51,839,027
Florida	Miami/Fort Lauderdale Area	\$5,401,304
	Orlando Area	\$1,447,416
	Tampa Area	\$2,595,211
Georgia	Atlanta Area	\$5,283,893
Illinois	Chicago Area	\$47,703,062
Indiana	Indianapolis Area	\$1,250,000
Louisiana	New Orleans Area	\$1,250,000
Maryland	Baltimore Area	\$4,116,111
Massachusetts	Boston Area	\$10,861,397
Michigan	Detroit Area	\$5,232,574
Minnesota	Twin Cities Area	\$3,270,673
Missouri	Kansas City Area	\$1,250,000
	St. Louis Area	\$2,908,188
Nevada	Las Vegas Area	\$1,826,923
New Jersey	Jersey City/Newark Area	\$21,663,035
New York	New York City Area	\$151,579,096
North Carolina	Charlotte Area	\$1,494,751
Oregon	Portland Area	\$2,157,259
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Area	\$14,268,859
Texas	Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$14,292,691
	Houston Area	\$23,936,523
	San Antonio Area	\$1,250,000
Washington	Seattle Area	\$4,365,457
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$490,376,000</b>

**Table 3. FY 2012 EMPG Program Allocations**

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>	<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>Allocation</b>
Alabama	\$5,643,567	New Hampshire	\$3,396,361
Alaska	\$3,012,335	New Jersey	\$8,235,067
Arizona	\$6,726,857	New Mexico	\$3,889,089
Arkansas	\$4,440,971	New York	\$15,099,475
California	\$26,853,993	North Carolina	\$8,773,722
Colorado	\$5,846,103	North Dakota	\$2,987,322
Connecticut	\$4,855,471	Ohio	\$9,991,660
Delaware	\$3,131,267	Oklahoma	\$4,991,417
District of Columbia	\$2,944,799	Oregon	\$5,043,236
Florida	\$14,836,576	Pennsylvania	\$10,764,216
Georgia	\$8,876,139	Rhode Island	\$3,224,241
Hawaii	\$3,432,873	South Carolina	\$5,563,914
Idaho	\$3,568,417	South Dakota	\$3,077,706
Illinois	\$10,845,713	Tennessee	\$6,675,812
Indiana	\$6,749,053	Texas	\$19,104,010
Iowa	\$4,521,152	Utah	\$4,363,094
Kansas	\$4,397,929	Vermont	\$2,950,239
Kentucky	\$5,364,075	Virginia	\$7,767,800
Louisiana	\$5,496,590	Washington	\$6,950,984
Maine	\$3,402,807	West Virginia	\$3,742,786
Maryland	\$6,304,949	Wisconsin	\$6,229,804
Massachusetts	\$6,794,592	Wyoming	\$2,912,659
Michigan	\$8,915,464	Puerto Rico	\$4,936,717
Minnesota	\$5,993,184	U.S. Virgin Islands	\$919,474
Mississippi	\$4,467,111	American Samoa	\$892,115
Missouri	\$6,422,580	Guam	\$966,952
Montana	\$3,189,995	Northern Mariana Islands	\$878,448
Nebraska	\$3,734,581	Republic of the Marshall Islands	\$50,000
Nevada	\$4,302,537	Federated States of Micronesia	\$50,000
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$339,500,000</b>

**Table 4. FY 2012 PSGP Target Allocations**

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2012 Target Allocation
I	California	Los Angeles-Long Beach Long Beach Los Angeles	\$58,500,000
		San Francisco Bay Carquinez Strait Martinez Oakland Richmond San Francisco Stockton	
	Louisiana	New Orleans Baton Rouge Gramercy New Orleans Plaquemines, Port of South Louisiana, Port of St. Rose	
	New Jersey / Pennsylvania / Delaware	Delaware Bay Camden-Gloucester, NJ Chester, PA Marcus Hook, PA New Castle, DE Paulsboro, NJ Philadelphia, PA Trenton, NJ Wilmington, DE	
	New York / New Jersey	New York, NY and NJ	
	Texas	Houston-Galveston Galveston	
	Washington	Puget Sound Anacortes	
	II	Alabama	
Alaska		Anchorage	
California		El Segundo	
		San Diego	
		Port Hueneme	
Connecticut		Long Island Sound	
		Bridgeport	
		New Haven	
		New London	
Florida		Jacksonville	
		Port Everglades	
		Miami	
		Tampa Bay	
		Port Manatee	
	Tampa		
	Port Canaveral		
	West Palm Beach		

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2012 Target Allocation	
II (cont.)	Georgia	Savannah	\$29,250,000 (cont.)	
	Guam	Apra Harbor		
	Hawaii	Honolulu Barbers Point, Oahu Honolulu, Oahu		
	Indiana / Illinois	Southern Tip Lake Michigan		
		Burns Waterway Harbor, IN		
		Chicago, IL		
		Gary, IN		
		Indiana Harbor, IN		
	Kentucky	Louisville		
	Louisiana	Lake Charles		
		Morgan City		
	Massachusetts	Boston		
	Massachusetts / Rhode Island	Narragansett/Mt. Hope Bays		
		Fall River, MA		
		Newport, RI		
		Providence, RI		
	Maryland	Baltimore		
	Maine	Portland		
	Michigan	Detroit		
	Minnesota	Minneapolis-St. Paul		
		Minneapolis		
		St. Paul		
	Minnesota / Wisconsin	Duluth-Superior, MN and WI		
	Missouri	Kansas City		
	Missouri/ Illinois	St. Louis, MO and IL		
	Mississippi	Pascagoula		
		Vicksburg		
	New Hampshire	Portsmouth		
	North Carolina	Wilmington		
		Morehead City		
	New York	Buffalo		
	Ohio	Cincinnati		
		Toledo		
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh			
Puerto Rico	San Juan			
South Carolina	Charleston			
Tennessee	Memphis			
	Nashville			
Texas	Sabine-Neches River			
	Beaumont			
	Orange			
	Port Arthur			
	Corpus Christi			
	Freeport			
Virginia	Hampton Roads			
	Newport News			
	Norfolk Harbor			

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2012 Target Allocation
II (cont.)	Washington / Oregon / Idaho	Columbia-Snake River System	\$29,250,000 (cont.)
		Kalama, WA	
		Longview, WA	
		Portland, OR	
		Vancouver, WA	
		Benton, WA	
		Clarkston, WA	
		Ilwaco, WA	
		Kennewick, WA	
		Pasco, WA	
		Walla Walla, WA	
		Whitman County, WA	
		Astoria, OR	
		Boardman, OR	
		The Dalles, OR	
		Hood River, OR	
		St. Helens, OR	
		Umatilla, OR	
	Lewiston, ID		
	West Virginia	Huntington - TriState	
Wisconsin	Green Bay		
III	Alaska	Valdez	\$4,875,000
	Alabama	Guntersville	
	Arkansas	Helena	
	California	Sacramento	
	Florida	Fort Pierce	
		Panama City	
		Pensacola	
	Georgia	Brunswick	
	Illinois	Peoria	
	Indiana	Mount Vernon	
	Louisiana	Port Fourchon/The LOOP	
	Michigan	Port Huron	
		Sault Ste Marie	
		Marine City	
		Muskegon	
		Monroe	
	Minnesota	Two Harbors	
	Mississippi	Gulfport	
		Greenville	
	New York	Albany	
	Ohio	Cleveland	
		Lorain	
	Oklahoma	Tulsa, Port of Catoosa	
	Oregon	Coos Bay	
	Pennsylvania	Erie	
	Puerto Rico	Guayanilla	
		Humacao	
Jobos			
Ponce			
Tennessee	Chattanooga		

Group	State/Territory	Port Area	FY 2012 Target Allocation
III (cont.)	Texas	Port Lavaca-Point Comfort	\$4,875,000 (cont.)
		Victoria	
	Virginia	Brownsville	
		Richmond	
Wisconsin	Milwaukee		
All Other Port Areas	<b>Eligible entities not located within one of the port areas identified above, but operating under an AMSP, are eligible to compete for funding within "All Other Port Areas" Group</b>		\$4,875,000
<b>Total:</b>			<b>\$97,500,000</b>