

Draft Environmental Assessment

Reid Hill Wireless Communications Tower

West Point, Clay County, Mississippi

Mississippi Interoperable Communications Grant Program

FEMA 2008-MS-MX-0001, MSWIN 20508

April 2011



FEMA

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20472

Document Prepared for DHS-FEMA

Prepared by:
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060
Project No.: JSE01P1002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED 1

3.0 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED 2

 3.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 2

 3.2 PROPOSED ACTION 2

 3.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND DISMISSED 2

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND POTENTIAL IMPACTS 3

 4.1 PHYSICAL RESOURCES 4

 4.1.1 Geology and Soils 4

 4.1.2 Air Quality 5

 4.2 WATER RESOURCES 6

 4.2.1 Wild and Scenic Rivers 6

 4.2.2 Water Quality 6

 4.2.3 Wetlands 6

 4.2.4 Floodplain Information 8

 4.3 COASTAL RESOURCES 8

 4.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES 9

 4.4.1 Threatened and Endangered Species 9

 4.4.2 Migratory Birds 9

 4.4.3 Wildlife and Fish 11

 4.4.4 General Vegetation 12

 4.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES 12

 4.5.1 ACHP Program Comment 13

 4.5.2 FCC Nationwide Programmatic Agreement 13

 4.5.3 FCC Tower Construction Notification System 13

 4.5.4 State Historic Preservation Officer 14

 4.5.5 Indian Tribal Consultation 15

 4.5.6 Inadvertent Discovery 17

 4.6 SOCIOECONOMIC CONCERNS 17

 4.6.1 Human Health and Safety 17

 4.6.2 Environmental Justice 17

 4.6.3 Noise 18

 4.6.4 Infrastructure, Utilities, Transportation, and Waste Management 18

 4.6.5 Aesthetics and Visual Impacts 18

 4.7 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 19

5.0 AGENCY COORDINATION, PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PERMITS	21
6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS	21
7.0 INFORMATION SOURCES	22

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Locator Map - State
Figure 2	Site Locator Map - County
Figure 3	Site Location Map
Figure 4	2004 Aerial Photograph
Figure 5 through 9	Site Photographs
Figure 10	National Wetland Inventory Map
Figure 11	Flood Insurance Rate Map

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Survey
Appendix B	Correspondence to and from the USDA NRCS
Appendix C	Correspondence to and from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Appendix D	Correspondence to and from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Appendix E	USFWS Interim Guidelines
Appendix F	Avian Mitigation Plan
Appendix G	TCNS Notice of Organizations Which Were Sent Proposed TCN Information
Appendix H	FCC Form 620
Appendix I	Correspondence to and from the Mississippi Department of Archives and History
Appendix J	Correspondence to and from Native American Indian Tribes/Organizations
Appendix K	Phase I ESA
Appendix L	Local Government/Public Notice

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The State of Mississippi created the Mississippi Wireless Communication Commission (MWCC) by statute in 2005 to oversee the construction and operation of the Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network (MSWIN) project. MSWIN is wireless voice and data capable infrastructure, providing all users with a public-safety grade, statewide, interoperable, seamless roaming radio system. This 700 MHz Public Safety System is intended to provide highly reliable, fast access, private (within groups and individuals) communications to a wide variety of government and first-responder users within the State of Mississippi. MSWIN is funded largely by federal funds administered through the Department of Homeland Security and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

This project is being funded using a FEMA grant (2008-MS-MX-0001) and the State of Mississippi's expenditures at this site would include construction of a telecommunications facility, purchase and installation of 700 MHz RF equipment and microwave telecommunication backbone network, equipment shelter, network integration, acceptance testing, communication hardware optimization and system exercising and piloting of interoperability capabilities of the network. As part of the MSWIN network, this tower would support a myriad of equipment that would provide emergency response communications for the population within approximately fifteen miles surrounding this proposed site.

2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the President's Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508), and FEMA's regulations implementing NEPA (44 CFR Part 10). FEMA is required to consider potential environmental impacts before funding or approving actions and projects. The purpose of this EA is to analyze the potential environmental impacts of the proposed construction of a communications tower facility. FEMA will use the findings in this EA to determine whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

The purpose of the MSWIN is to establish a better communications network for State system users, varying from public safety to governmental executive and administrative personnel to road maintenance crews. The MSWIN network would also be used extensively during life threatening conditions and emergency situations. Flooding, hurricanes, earthquakes, tornadoes, and other natural or man-made catastrophes often require effective wide-area, interoperable communications. Following Hurricane Katrina, there was a significant lack of communication or communication delays between government agencies due to inadequate coverage or inadequate capacity-handling capabilities. A high degree of redundancy and fail-safe design is essential to the success of this project since communications within the State of Mississippi are most critical when they are most susceptible to failure.

3.0 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The State of Mississippi considered six alternatives to meet the purpose and need stated in Section 2.0. These alternatives included the Proposed Action, No-Action Alternative, and four alternatives that were considered but dismissed for reasons discussed in greater detail below. Two alternatives, the No Action and Proposed Action, are evaluated in this EA.

3.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Under the No-Action Alternative the proposed project would not be constructed. The No-Action alternative is being included to provide a baseline for comparison purposes.

3.2 PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action would consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower and associated equipment compound to facilitate installation and operation of wireless communications antennae to provide integrated emergency communications between federal, state, and local agencies. These antennae would include microwave dishes that are to be used to send and receive information over long distances without the limitations associated with connection to land lines/cables (primarily interruptions in service due to damage to land lines/cables during emergencies or natural disasters).

3.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND DISMISSED

The State of Mississippi considered four additional alternatives to meet the purpose and need. These alternatives were collocation, satellite communications, commercial cellular communications, and use of the existing State operated networks; all were dismissed from further consideration for the reasons described below.

Collocation opportunities were considered as an alternative to the proposed action. However, the technical loading requirements for this project are for all used structures to be engineered and constructed to the latest tower standards of ANSI/TIA-222-G (class III supporting public safety and mission critical communications). As this is the latest engineering standard and the Class III (public safety) level is the most rigorous engineering standard in the tower industry, there are no existing towers within the coverage area for this project that can be modified to meet this standard and handle the loading requirements MSWIN would place on the tower.

Satellite communications are commercially available and are currently used as a backup communications method in the event the primary systems fail. Satellite communications are cost prohibitive for the 30,000 users who would be a part of the MSWIN radio network.

Commercial cellular communication services are available in much of the service area MSWIN would provide, but not all of the State of Mississippi is covered by a single cellular operator. MSWIN would

provide 97% radio coverage over the state, is more secure than commercial cellular service, is more survivable in the event of natural disasters, and is dedicated to public safety missions. Cellular is an adequate limited backup to the routine and emergency requirements of public safety, but is not adequate for daily operational usage and extreme emergency situations, as compared to the MSWIN system.

The existing State operated radio systems are aging and limited in their coverage reach. The field and dispatch radios are nearing obsolescence and are difficult to find new replacement parts for.

The needs of a growing Mississippi would best be met by the new technology the MSWIN network provides.

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle “Palo Alto, Mississippi,” dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41’ 38.7” north and longitude 88° 52’ 29.3” west (Figures 1 through 3). The site consists of a proposed 80-foot by 125-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements and an access road located off Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi 39744. The site is comprised of wooded land consisting of hardwood trees ranging from less than two inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) to more than ten inches dbh and pine trees up to eight inches dbh. The site slopes gently down to the east. The southern guy anchor easement crosses a drainage ditch that discharges into the pond southwest of the proposed tower compound. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower and associated compound, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, and covering the compound with gravel.

The proposed tower facility would be accessed via locked gate off of Enon Road. The tower would have two parking spaces at the entrance of the fenced tower compound. The compound surrounding the tower and equipment would consist of a seven-foot tall security fence with an additional foot of barbed wire surrounding the site. The tower would be built to withstand extreme weather conditions and engineered and constructed to the latest tower standards of ANSI/TIA-222-G (class III supporting public safety and mission critical communications). All radio equipment on the tower would be operated in compliance with all requirements of frequency and power output as regulated by the Federal Communications Commission. Additionally, the gates and fence would have attached no trespassing and other notice and warning signs as may be required by applicable local and federal laws.

Routine operations of the tower facility would have limited vehicular traffic excepting maintenance and routine periodic inspections. Running water or sanitary facilities would not be provided at the facility. Power facilities are available and would be routed in during construction. The tower would not interfere with local residence or the use of the surrounding properties. The increase of vehicular traffic into the area is anticipated to be negligible. The tower and communication systems located thereon would not interfere with other communication systems in the area.

The tower is designed to allow other users on the structure to promote collocation with up to three positions suitable for cellular telephone type wireless service providers. This would potentially reduce the need for additional towers in the area. In addition, the tower is designed to accommodate additional government communications equipment as needed to provide mission critical radio infrastructure increases in the future. A copy of the portion of the 2004 aerial photograph depicting the site layout has been included as Figure 4 and site photographs have been included as Figures 5 through 9. A copy of the site survey is included as Appendix A.

A table summarizing the potential impacts of the proposed action is included at the end of Section 4.

4.1 PHYSICAL RESOURCES

4.1.1 Geology and Soils

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to geologic resources or soils.

4.1.1.1 Geology

According to the Mississippi Geological Survey, Geologic Map of Mississippi, dated 1969 and reprinted 1985, the site is underlain by the Ripley Formation of Cretaceous Age. The Ripley Formation consist of gray to greenish-gray fine glauconitic sand, clay, and sandy limestone. Geologic resources may be minimally impacted by drilling or excavation of footings for the proposed communications tower and associated equipment. However, the proposed communications facility would have no significant or wide-spread impacts to geologic resources.

4.1.1.2 Soils

Prime farmland, unique farmland, and land of statewide or local importance is protected under the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) of 1981 (7 U.S.C. § 4201 *et seq.*). The intent of the FPPA is to minimize the impact Federal programs have on the irreversible conversion of farmland to non-agricultural uses. Prime farmland is defined as land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops and that is available for these uses. Prime farmland cannot be areas of water or urban or built-up land. Unique farmland is defined as land other than prime farmland that is used for the production of specific high value food and fiber crops such as citrus, tree nuts, olives, cranberries, fruit, and vegetables.

According to the Soil Survey of Clay County, Mississippi, issued November 1976, site soils are classified as Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly. This association consists of well-drained soils on rough, hilly uplands. Smithdale soils typically have a surface layer of dark grayish-brown fine sandy loam about five inches thick. The subsurface layer is yellowish-brown sandy loam about seven inches thick. The subsoil extends to a depth of 80 inches or more. The upper 16 inches is red sandy clay loam, and the lower 52 inches is red sandy loam.

Ruston soils have a surface layer of yellowish-brown fine sandy loam about four inches thick. The upper 17 inches of the subsoil is yellowish-red sandy clay loam. The next layer is yellowish-red loam about nine inches thick. Below this is yellowish-red sandy clay loam that extends to a depth of 80 inches.

Based on information available at the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey Internet website, Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly is classified as “Not prime farmland”.

EI submitted information regarding the proposed project to the USDA NRCS office in Jackson, Mississippi via letter dated June 23, 2010. The NRCS responded via letter dated July 12, 2010 stating “This project will require the completion of Form CPA-106 for the road site.” Form CPA-106 was completed as requested and the NRCS responded with a second letter on November 15, 2010 stating “We determined that the site is composed of a Smithdale-Ruston soil type and therefore does not contain any prime, unique or local important farmland.” Copies of the correspondence to and from the NRCS are included as Appendix B.

Soil at the proposed project site is not classified as prime farmland or farmland of statewide importance. In addition, the proposed communications facility would have no significant impact on soils protected by the FPPA because the NRCS does not consider the soil at the site to be prime, unique or locally important farmland.

4.1.2 Air Quality

The Clean Air Act (CAA) was established in 1970 (42 U.S.C. § 7401 *et seq.*) to reduce air pollution nationwide. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has developed primary and secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) under the provisions of the CAA. The EPA classifies the air quality within an air quality control region (AQCR) according to whether the region meets or exceeds Federal primary and secondary NAAQS. An AQCR or a portion of an AQCR may be classified as being in attainment, non-attainment, or it may be unclassified for each of the seven criteria pollutants (carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen dioxide, coarse particulates, fine particulates, ozone, and sulfur dioxide).

Under the no action alternative there would be no short or long term impacts to air quality.

According to information available through the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Internet website, the State of Mississippi is currently designated as attainment and meets all ambient air quality standards. Short-term impacts to air quality such as exhaust emissions from grading and equipment, and dust from grading activities may occur during site grading and construction activities. Equipment used for these activities would meet local, state, and federal requirements for air emissions, and dust would be controlled as necessary by wetting the surface of the work areas. The only long-term air emissions anticipated at the site would be from the emergency

generator. The generator would only operate briefly while being tested and during power failure events affecting the electrical power supply to the site. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no significant impact to air quality.

4.2 WATER RESOURCES

4.2.1 Wild and Scenic Rivers

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to wild or scenic rivers.

A review of information available through the Rivers.gov Internet website indicates that one Wild and Scenic River is located in Mississippi. This Wild and Scenic River is a section of Black Creek located in the DeSoto National Forest in southeastern Mississippi. The County in which the site is located is more than 200 miles northwest of the DeSoto National Forest. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no impacts to any designated Wild and Scenic River.

4.2.2 Water Quality

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA), also known as the Clean Water Act (CWA) was passed by congress in 1972 (33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*) with an objective of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of waters of the United States. The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) was established under the CWA and regulates wastewater discharges from point sources. NPDES regulations require that construction sites resulting in greater than one acre of disturbance obtain a permit from the EPA, or the corresponding state agency where the permitting role has been assumed by the state. The Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MSDEQ) is the state agency that has assumed this responsibility for Mississippi.

Under the no action alternative there would be no short- or long-term impacts to water quality.

No water bodies are located on or immediately adjacent to the proposed tower site. Land-disturbing activities at this facility would be approximately 0.77 acres, which is below the one acre threshold requiring an NPDES permit. However, appropriate best management practices (BMPs) would be implemented during site development to minimize sediment migration from the site into nearby water bodies. Examples of BMPs that may be used during site development to further minimize any impacts to nearby water resources include, but are not limited to, silt fence, hay or straw bales, hay or straw mulch, gravel, erosion control blankets, and riprap. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no significant short- or long-term impacts to water quality in the area of the site.

4.2.3 Wetlands

According to Executive Order (EO) 11990, wetlands are defined as "...those areas inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support and under normal circumstances does or would support a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil

conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds. EO 11990 requires that each federal agency take action to minimize the destruction, loss, or degradation of wetlands, and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial value of wetlands.

Section 404 of the CWA established a program to regulate the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands. Activities in waters of the United States regulated under this program include fill for development, water resource projects (such as dams and levees), infrastructure development (such as highways and airports) and mining projects. The United States Department of the Army Corps of Engineers administers the permitting process created under Section 404 of the CWA.

Under the no action alternative there would be no impacts to wetlands.

Information on the USFWS Wetlands Geodatabase website (digital NWI map) was reviewed to determine if any wetlands were delineated on or near the site. Based on a review of information available on this website, the site is not mapped within a jurisdictional wetland. A copy of a portion of the Digital National Wetlands Inventory map depicting the site location has been included as Figure 10.

A site reconnaissance which included observations to determine if the subject site or immediately adjacent property contained any jurisdictional wetlands (as defined by the United States Army Corps of Engineers) was conducted on February 4, 2010 by Environmental Engineers, Inc. Potential jurisdictional wetland indicators were noted within two of the guy easements at the time of site reconnaissance.

Based on the observation of potential wetland indicators, Environmental Engineers, Inc. completed a wetland delineation at the site on March 23, 2010. The results of the delineation indicated that jurisdictional wetlands were located within the western and southern guy easements. Alternate access plans are proposed for the western and southern guy anchor locations and hand clearing is proposed for the vegetation within the wetland areas. As a result of the alternate plans, no direct filing or significant soil disturbance would occur within the wetlands and wetland vegetation impacts would be limited to the guy easement clearing width (30 feet) and cumulative clearing length (285 feet), totaling approximately 0.20 acres.

Information regarding the proposed project and the findings of the wetland delineation was submitted to the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for review. The USACE responded via letter dated July 16, 2010 which stated “Based upon the information and plans you provided, we hereby verify that the work described above, which would be performed in accordance with the received drawings, is authorized by Nationwide Permit (NWP) 18 in accordance with 33 CFR Part 330 of our regulations.” Chad Stinnett of Environmental Engineers, Inc. contacted the COE for clarification regarding the NWP determination for the site. Mr. Taylor Bell, the USACE project manager, responded via electronic mail dated August 13, 2010 stating “The United States Army Corps of

Engineers has determined that this telecommunications project will not have a significant adverse impact on wetlands or waters of the US.” Copies of the correspondence submitted to and response from the USACE are included as Appendix C. The proposed communications facility would have a significant impact to wetlands.

4.2.4 Floodplain Information

According to EO 11988, the term floodplain refers to the lowland and relatively flat areas adjoining inland and coastal waters including flood prone areas of offshore islands, including at a minimum, that area subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year. This EO requires that each federal agency take action to reduce the risk of flood loss, to minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare, and to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains.

Under the no action alternative there would be no impacts to floodplains.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) “Panel 25 of 135, Clay County, Mississippi and Incorporated Areas” effective date July 16, 1990, the site is located in Zone X (no shading) which is described as areas outside the 500-year floodplain. Therefore, the site is not located in a floodplain. It should be noted that the towers that comprise the MSWIN system are considered critical facilities and project design requirements include that the communications equipment at each facility be elevated at least five feet above the 500-year flood elevation (where mapped). In areas where the 500-year floodplain is not mapped, the equipment will be elevated a minimum of five feet above the 100-year base flood elevation. The FIRM depicting the site location includes areas of 500-year flood. The support equipment at this facility would be elevated at least five feet above the 500-year base flood elevation. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no impacts to floodplains and would not be impacted by floodplains. The portion of the FEMA FIRM depicting the site is included as Figure 11.

4.3 COASTAL RESOURCES

The Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) was established in 1972 (16 U.S.C. § 1451 *et seq.*) to preserve, protect, and (where possible) restore or enhance the resources of the coastal zones of the United States.

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to coastal resources.

The Coastal Zone in Mississippi includes the three counties along the coast (Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson) and the adjacent coastal waters. The site is located more than 300 miles from the Gulf of Mexico and is not located in the Mississippi Coastal Zone. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no impacts to coastal resources.

4.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

4.4.1 Threatened and Endangered Species

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 – 1544) provides for the conservation of ecosystems upon which threatened and endangered species of fish, wildlife, and plants depend. The ESA prohibits actions that may harm or jeopardize the continued existence of any threatened or endangered species, or critical habitat.

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to threatened or endangered species.

Information regarding the proposed wireless telecommunications tower was submitted to the USFWS by Environmental Engineers, Inc. The USFWS responded via letter dated March 8, 2010 stating “Price’s potato bean, a threatened plant, is the only listed terrestrial species for Clay County. This herbaceous, twining vine is often found in wooded areas that grade into creek and river bottoms. It is unlikely that this listed species would be found at the proposed site as documented by photographs that you provided. Therefore, the Service anticipates no impacts to this listed species to occur as a result of the proposed project.” Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no impact on threatened or endangered species. Copies of the correspondence to and the response from the USFWS are included as Appendix D.

4.4.2 Migratory Birds

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703) established a Federal prohibition, unless permitted by regulations, to "pursue, hunt, take, capture, kill, attempt to take, capture or kill, possess, offer for sale, sell, offer to purchase, purchase, deliver for shipment, ship, cause to be shipped, deliver for transportation, transport, cause to be transported, carry, or cause to be carried by any means whatever, receive for shipment, transportation or carriage, or export, at any time, or in any manner, any migratory bird, or any part, nest, or egg of any such bird."

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to migratory birds.

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) developed voluntary recommendations regarding communications tower siting, construction, operation, and decommissioning. These recommendations include collocating of antennae on existing towers or other structures, limiting the height of new towers to less than 199 feet above ground level (AGL), if taller than 199 feet use of the minimum amount of pilot warning and obstruction avoidance lighting required (preferably white strobes), use of non-guyed towers (monopoles, self-supporting towers), consideration of cumulative impacts on migratory birds, locating towers within “antenna farms” where possible, use of the minimum lighting permissible, use daytime visual markers on guy wires, minimization of the footprint of the facility to avoid habitat loss, design of new towers to accommodate additional comparable antennae for at least two additional users, and down-shielding security lighting for on-ground facilities.

A copy of the USFWS communications tower siting, construction, operation, and decommissioning recommendations are included in Appendix E.

A basic principal of radio communication coverage is increasing the height extends signal range. Effective coverage is a function of height so to lower each site to less than 199 feet increases the potential tower count over 300 to accomplish the coverage requirements, resulting in roughly 3,000,000 square feet of ground disturbance, or well over twice the current footprint disturbance requirements. Such an increase in ground impact risks a much greater adversity to terrestrial based habitat such as animals and plants, plus the additional carbon footprint produced by the increased development and construction activities.

The build plan for the MSWIN project generally involves construction of one to three towers per county with a total of approximately 140 towers covering the 46,907 square miles (121,489 square kilometers) of land area in the state. This averages out to one tower for every 335 square miles (867 square kilometers) of land area in the state. No county will contain more than five MSWIN towers and many counties will contain only one tower. It is important to note that fewer towers are to be constructed in the delta along the Mississippi River due to the flat terrain and corresponding longer transmit and receive distances achieved. This would reduce potential impacts to migratory birds utilizing the Mississippi Flyway migratory route along the Mississippi River.

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has jurisdiction over all tower lighting and conducts aeronautical studies under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning the impact on arrival, departure, and en route procedures for aircraft operating under VFR/IFR conditions at existing and planned public use airports, as well as aeronautical facilities.

For purposes of MSWIN tower development, obstruction lighting may be one of three types:

- (1) Medium intensity flashing white obstruction lights (white strobes in both day and night (D-1 or D-2)); or
- (2) Dual lighting with red / medium intensity flashing white lights (white strobes in daylight and red strobes at night – E-1 or E-2); or
- (3) Marking and lighting with painted towers and red night beacons. This applies to towers over 500 feet in height (E-2 light system).

The proposed tower would be equipped with medium intensity flashing white obstruction lights (white strobes in both day and night).

Bird flight diverters will not be installed on the proposed tower. According to Towers of Mississippi, bird flight diverters are expensive and difficult to maintain over the life of the tower. Adding daytime warning devices to the remainder of the towers in this project would exceed \$5 million in additional

capital requirements.

As stated in Section 1.0, the proposed tower would be designed to accommodate equipment for up to three additional wireless communications providers thereby reducing the need for additional towers in the service area of the proposed project. Security lighting at this facility would consist of motion-activated wall-mounted lights on the equipment shelter at the site.

The construction of the proposed tower would not have a significant impact on migratory birds. However, this tower is part of the MSWIN program that may have the potential for cumulative impacts to migratory birds.

FEMA has identified that the statewide MSWIN program has the potential for cumulative impacts to migratory birds, as birds could be injured or killed by colliding into guy wires and/or the tower structure, or could be disoriented by the tower lighting. FEMA has worked with MWCC and Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks (MDWFP) to develop an Avian Mitigation Plan (Appendix F) to address this potential for cumulative impacts. The mitigation includes monitoring the presence of deceased birds at MSWIN tower sites and providing a collection kit on site to collect the remains and record the location of any deceased bird. The remains of the bird along with the data will be delivered to MDWFP and included in the state's Avian Mortality database. USFWS will also be given access to this database. If an injured bird is found, all efforts will be made to help the bird recover so that it can be released back into the wild. In addition, MDWFP and USFWS (Jackson, MS Ecological Services office) will be given access to the MSWIN tower sites for monitoring. If a particular tower is found to have adverse effects to migratory birds (greater than 10 kills per night) the towers will be reported to MDWFP, USFWS, and FEMA. MWCC will also provide an annual report documenting the number of avian deaths and provide that report to MDWFP, FEMA, and USFWS for five years after all towers have been constructed. This mitigation plan will contribute scientific data that can be used by MDWFP and USFWS in determining the significance of potential impacts of towers on migratory birds. The implementation of the Avian Mitigation Plan will lower the potential for the MSWIN program to have adverse cumulative impacts on migratory birds.

4.4.3 Wildlife and Fish

The Wilderness Act (16 U.S. C. 1131-1136) established the National Wilderness Preservation System to be composed of federally owned areas designated by Congress as "wilderness areas."

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to wilderness areas.

The proposed communications facility would not adversely affect wilderness areas. Based on a review of information available through the Wilderness.net Internet website, two wilderness areas are located in Mississippi – Black Creek Wilderness and Leaf Wilderness. The site is not located within the boundaries of, or adjacent to either wilderness area. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no impact on wilderness areas.

On October 9, 1997, President Clinton signed the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (P.L. 105-57) into law. This new law amended and built upon the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 to ensure that the National Wildlife Refuge System is managed as a national system of related lands, waters, and interests for the protection and conservation of the Nation's wildlife resources.

The 1966 Act provides guidelines and directives for administration and management of all areas in the system, including "wildlife refuges, areas for the protection and conservation of fish and wildlife that are threatened with extinction, wildlife ranges, game ranges, wildlife management areas, or waterfowl production areas."

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to wildlife refuges.

Based on a review of information available at the USFWS Internet website and at the Nationalatlas.gov Internet website, the site is not located within the boundaries of, or adjacent to, any wildlife refuges. Therefore, the proposed communications facility is expected to have no impacts to wildlife refuges.

4.4.4 General Vegetation

Impacts to general vegetation are anticipated to be limited to the areas that are to be excavated and/or graded in preparation of the site for construction of the proposed communications tower and access road. The site consists of wooded land consisting of hardwood trees ranging from less than two inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) to more than ten inches dbh and pine trees up to eight inches dbh. The total area of vegetation to be impacted at this site is approximately 2.36 acres. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no significant impact on general vegetation.

4.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Federal agencies are required to consider the impacts of their actions on historic properties. Historic properties are those that are listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and are defined as districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture. The goal of the NHPA is to have federal agencies act as responsible stewards of the nation's resources when their actions affect historic properties. The historic preservation review process mandated by Section 106 is outlined in regulations issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) (36 CFR Part 800). The ACHP is an independent federal agency that promotes the preservation, enhancement, and productive use of the nation's historic resources, and advises the President and Congress on national historic preservation policy. The ACHP is the only agency with the legal responsibility to encourage federal agencies to integrate historic preservation compliance considerations into their project requirements.

4.5.1 ACHP Program Comment

FEMA is required under Section 106 of NHPA to consider the impacts of its grant-funded projects on historic properties. Similarly, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is required under NHPA to consider the impacts to historic properties of communications facilities that receive an FCC license to operate. The FCC has executed two nationwide Programmatic Agreements (PA) under NHPA that streamline the Section 106 review process for new tower construction and collocation projects. On October 23, 2009, the ACHP issued a Program Comment for “Streamlining the Section 106 Review for Wireless Communication Facilities Construction and Modification Subject to Review Under the FCC Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and/or the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the Collocation of Wireless Antennas.” Under the ACHP’s Program Comment, FEMA is not required to conduct its own Section 106 review with regard to the effects of communication facilities construction or modification projects that have undergone Section 106 review by the FCC or that are exempt from Section 106 review by the FCC under the FCC Nationwide PA or the FCC Collocation PA. Therefore, the Section 106 review conducted for the proposed project to meet FCC requirements is described in this EA, but no separate 106 review was required for FEMA.

4.5.2 FCC Nationwide Programmatic Agreement

On March 7, 2005 the FCC implemented a Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) regarding Section 106 reviews (State Historic Preservation Officer and Indian tribal consultation) for wireless telecommunications tower sites. In summary, the NPA set forth rules regarding consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in each state where a proposed wireless telecommunications tower is to be constructed; consultation with Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) that would have been historically located in the area of the proposed wireless telecommunications tower or had indicated an interest in the geographical area containing the proposed wireless telecommunications tower; and involvement of the public and/or local government. As part of the process associated with the NPA the FCC developed the Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) and FCC Form 620. The TCNS is described in Section 4.5.3 and FCC Form 620 is described in Section 4.5.4.

The NPA requires that a response be received from each Indian tribe or NHO that has indicated an interest in the state or geographical area containing the proposed tower. If no response is received from a particular Indian tribe or NHO within a reasonable time (typically 30 days), the NPA requires that the non-responding Indian tribe or NHO be contacted a second time in an effort to obtain a response. If the Indian tribe or NHO continues to be unresponsive to the initial or follow-up inquiries, the FCC must be contacted to consult with the non-responding Indian tribe or NHO.

4.5.3 FCC Tower Construction Notification System

The TCNS is an Internet-based notification system developed by the FCC that allows input of basic information regarding the proposed location, type, and height of a new wireless telecommunications

tower. This information is then made available to Indian tribes and NHOs that have expressed an interest in the state or geographical location containing the proposed wireless telecommunications tower via electronic or regular mail. According to the FCC the TCNS can be used as the initial contact to Indian tribes or NHOs.

Information regarding the proposed wireless telecommunications tower was submitted to Indian tribes, NHOs, and SHPOs via the TCNS on May 19, 2010. The FCC assigned Notification I.D. #63881 to the notification submitted for this proposed wireless telecommunications tower. The FCC sent an electronic mail notification to our office on May 28, 2010 listing the Indian tribes, NHOs, and SHPOs that were contacted through the TCNS regarding the proposed tower. As noted in Section 4.5.2, the NPA requires a response be obtained from each Indian tribe or NHO that has indicated an interest in the geographical area or state containing the site.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. used the list of Indian tribes that had defined their area of geographical interest on the FCC Internet web site, conversations with Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs), Internet web sites for many of the Indian tribes and Alaskan villages, and the *Encyclopedia of North American Indians* by Frederick E. Hoxie (published in 1996 by Houghton Mifflin) to determine which Indian tribes included in the TCNS list would be interested in this wireless telecommunications tower site. This review indicated that the following Indian tribes would have a potential interest in this wireless telecommunications tower site: Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas, Chickasaw Nation, Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, Kialegee Tribal Town, Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Seminole Tribe of Florida, and the Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana. A description of the follow-ups to and responses from each of these Indian tribes are included in Sections 4.5.5.1 through 4.5.5.7. Copies of the TCNS notifications and list of Indian tribes and SHPOs are included in Appendix G.

4.5.4 State Historic Preservation Officer

MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc. completed the FCC Form 620 required for submittal to the SHPO and to those Indian tribes requesting additional information regarding the proposed wireless telecommunications tower. MRS Consultants, LLC personnel satisfy the United States Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards. A copy of the FCC Form 620 prepared for this site is included in Appendix H.

No historic resources were identified in the area of potential effect (APE) for this project.

The FCC Form 620 was submitted to the Mississippi Department of Archives and History (MDAH) for review. Based on the review of this report, the MDAH responded via letter dated June 18, 2010 stating "After reviewing the information provided, we concur that no cultural resources listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places will be directed or visually affected. Therefore, we have no reservations with the undertaking." Copies of the correspondence to and from the MDAH are included in Appendix I.

4.5.5 Indian Tribal Consultation

Environmental Engineers, Inc. followed up with each of the Indian tribes identified (as necessary) through a review of the TCNS listing provided by the FCC for this site. Sections 4.5.5.1 through 4.5.5.7 describe follow-up contacts to each of these Indian tribes and their responses.

4.5.5.1 Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas

Mr. Bryant Celestine of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas provided comment via electronic mail dated July 1, 2010 regarding TCNS #63881 stating “On behalf of Mikko Oscola Clayton Sylestine and the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe, our appreciation is expressed on your efforts to consult us regarding TCNS #63881 in Clay County...Upon review of your June 4, 2010 submission, no known impacts to religious, cultural, or historical assets of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas should occur in conjunction with this proposal. In the event of inadvertent discovery of human remains and/or archaeological artifacts, activity in proximity to the location must cease and appropriate authorities, including our office, notified without delay.” Copies of the correspondence to and from the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas are included in Appendix J.

4.5.5.2 Chickasaw Nation

Ms. Virginia Nail of the Chickasaw Nation responded via TCNS on June 17, 2010 stating “We are unaware of any specific historic properties or traditional cultural, religious and/or sacred sites at this time. However, in the event of inadvertent discoveries, we expect all construction activities to cease and we be notified according to all applicable state and federal laws.” A copy of the response from Ms. Nail of the Chickasaw Nation is included in Appendix J.

4.5.5.3 Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma

Ms. Caren Johnson of the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma provided comment via electronic mail on July 21, 2010 stating that “The Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has reviewed cell tower(s) FCC # 63881 and based on the information provided to the best of our knowledge it will have no adverse effect on any historic properties in the project’s area of potential effect. However, should construction expose buried archaeological or building materials such as chipped stone, tools, pottery, bone, historic crockery, glass or metal items, or should it uncover evidence of buried historic building materials such as rock foundations, brick, or hand poured concrete, this office should be contacted immediately.” Copies of the correspondence to and from the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma are included in Appendix J.

4.5.5.4 Kialegee Tribal Town

The TCNS listing (Appendix G) for this site included information from the Kialegee Tribal Town that states “If the Applicant receives no response from the Kialegee Tribal Town within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Kialegee Tribal Town has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the site. The Applicant, however, must immediately notify the Kialegee Tribal

Town in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction.” The TCNS notification for this site is dated May 28, 2010 and the end of the 30-day period indicated by the Kialegee Tribal Town was June 27, 2010. Environmental Engineers, Inc. did not receive a response from the Kialegee Tribal Town as of the date of this draft EA. Therefore, additional consultation with the Kialegee Tribal Town is not necessary.

4.5.5.5 Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians

The TCNS listing (Appendix G) for this site included information from the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians that stated “If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians within 30 days after you have e-mailed the [FCC Form 620]...then the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction...” The Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians was notified via electronic mail dated June 4, 2010 and the end of the 30-day period indicated by the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians was July 4, 2010. Environmental Engineers, Inc. did not receive a response from the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians as of the date of this draft EA. Therefore, additional consultation with the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians is not necessary. A copy of the electronic mail submitted to the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians is included in Appendix J.

4.5.5.6 Seminole Tribe of Florida

Ms. Jennifer Pietarila of the Seminole Tribe of Florida (STOF) provided comment via TCNS on June 7, 2010 in response to TCNS #63881 stating, “The STOF-THPO concurs with your findings of ‘no historic properties’. However, the STOF-THPO would like to be informed should any archaeological and/or historic resources be discovered inadvertently during the construction process.” Copies of the correspondence to and from the Seminole Tribe of Florida are included in Appendix J.

4.5.5.7 Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana

Mr. Earl Barbry of the Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana was contacted via electronic mail on May 3, 2005 regarding submittal of wireless telecommunications projects. Mr. Barbry responded via electronic mail on May 3, 2005 and indicated that he wanted to be notified regarding cell tower requests via electronic mail and that if he had not responded within 30 days of our contacting him, the project can proceed. Environmental Engineers, Inc. contacted Mr. Barbry regarding this site via electronic mail on June 4, 2010, and the end of the 30-day response period as indicated by Mr. Barbry was July 4, 2010. Environmental Engineers, Inc. has not received a response from Mr. Barbry as of the date of this report.

Copies of the electronic mail to and from Mr. Barbry are included in Appendix J.

Based on the information presented above, the proposed communications facility would have no impact on cultural resources.

4.5.6 Inadvertent Discovery

The personnel that would have a potential to be involved in land-disturbing activities must be instructed to stop work immediately in the event of an inadvertent discovery of human remains or cultural or archaeological materials and contact FEMA and SHPO. A copy of this information must be provided to all personnel that would have a potential to be involved in land-disturbing activities at the site.

4.6 SOCIOECONOMIC CONCERNS

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to socioeconomic resources.

No significant adverse impacts to socioeconomic resources, economic development, demographics, demand for public housing, or public services are anticipated. The emergency communications coverage provided by this project would benefit all populations in the coverage area.

4.6.1 Human Health and Safety

Under the no action alternative, there could be adverse impacts to human health and safety because of a lack of adequate communication between emergency response personnel during an emergency event.

The results of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) conducted at the site by EEI for the MSWIN 20508 communications tower site in February 2010 (EEI Project No.: JSE01P1002) did not indicate the presence of hazardous materials or petroleum products at the site at that time. The equipment (including the emergency generator and associated propane/natural gas tank) that would be installed at the site would meet local, state, and federal regulations regarding hazardous materials. The Phase I ESA is included in Appendix K. The antennae and equipment that would be installed at the site would meet local, state, and federal regulations regarding radiofrequency emissions. Lastly, this project is intended to provide better communications between emergency response personnel which would have a beneficial effect on human health and safety. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no significant impacts to human health and safety.

4.6.2 Environmental Justice

Section 1-101 of EO 12898 states “To the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, and consistent with the principles set forth in the report on the National Performance Review, each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States and its territories and possessions, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Commonwealth of the Mariana Islands.”

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to minority or low income populations.

No disproportionately high or adverse effects on minority or low-income populations are anticipated by development of the proposed communications facility. The proposed communications facility would benefit all populations in the project service area by providing better communications between emergency service personnel.

4.6.3 Noise

Noise is generally described as unwanted sound. Sound becomes unwanted when it either interferes with normal activities such as sleeping, conversation, or disrupts or diminishes one's quality of life.

Under the no action alternative there would be no noise generation.

Short-term noise generation is anticipated to result from grading and construction activities. Long-term noise generation is anticipated to be minimal and to result primarily from equipment used to cool electronic components and from testing or operation of an emergency generator at the site. However, the generator would only operate briefly when tested, and during power failure events affecting the electrical power supply to the site. Therefore, the proposed communications facility would not generate significant noise.

4.6.4 Infrastructure, Utilities, Transportation, and Waste Management

Under the no action alternative there would be no impact to infrastructure, utilities, transportation, or waste management.

No significant impacts are anticipated to infrastructure, utilities, transportation, or waste management from the proposed communications facility. Traffic to and from the site would be minimal and would be associated with maintenance and repair of equipment at the site. Minimal waste would be generated at the site during maintenance activities. All waste generated at the site would be disposed of in compliance with federal, state, and local regulations. The project is intended to provide enhanced communications services for emergency response personnel. This could have a beneficial effect on the ability to identify and correct problems with infrastructure, utilities, transportation, and waste management.

4.6.5 Aesthetics and Visual Impacts

Under the no action alternative there would be no aesthetic or visual impacts.

The proposed project will not impact national scenic or historic trails. There are no national scenic or historic trails located in Panola County, Mississippi.

The proposed tower would not be equipped with high intensity white lighting.

Lastly, the site is not located within the boundaries of any state or national park, national forest, or wildlife management area. No city or other community parks are depicted within 1,000 feet of the proposed project on the USGS Topographic Quadrangle “Palo Alto, Mississippi,” (Figure 3). Therefore, the proposed communications facility would have no significant impacts to aesthetics and visual resources.

4.7 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Under the no action alternative there would be no cumulative impacts.

Cumulative impacts are an incremental impact on either the natural environment or human environment by an action when added to past and anticipated future actions. No ongoing or proposed actions are known for the project area. According to information available through the FCC Antenna Structure Registration (ASR) System Internet website, there are 3,313 registered towers in the state of Mississippi (generally only those towers over 200 feet in height are included in this database). Construction of the towers comprising the MSWIN network would result in an increase of approximately 4.25% in the number of towers in the state of Mississippi. As described in Section 1.0 of this document, the proposed tower is designed to allow collocation of up to three additional cellular-type service providers, thereby potentially reducing cumulative impacts as new/changing technologies and increased demand for service, both public and private, create more pressure on existing infrastructure.

The statewide MSWIN program would not have cumulative impacts on geology, air quality, noise, water resources, cultural resources, fish and wildlife, threatened or endangered species, vegetation, or socioeconomics. However, cumulative impacts to migratory birds may result from the MSWIN program, as birds could be injured or killed by colliding into guy wires and/or the tower structure, or could be disoriented by the tower lighting. FEMA has worked with MWCC and MDWFP to develop an Avian Mitigation Plan (Appendix F) to address this potential for cumulative impacts to birds.

The mitigation includes monitoring the presence of deceased birds at MSWIN tower sites and providing a collection kit on site to collect the remains and record the location of any deceased bird. The remains of the bird along with the data will be delivered to the MDWFP and included in the state’s Avian Mortality database. USFWS will also have access to this database. If an injured bird is found, all efforts will be made to help the bird recover so that it can be released back into the wild. In addition, MDWFP and USFWS (Jackson, MS Ecological Services office) will be given access to the MSWIN tower sites for monitoring. If a particular tower is found to have adverse effects to migratory birds (greater than 10 kills per night) the towers will be reported to MDWFP, USFWS, and FEMA. MWCC will also provide an annual report documenting the number of avian deaths and provide that report to FEMA, USFWS (Jackson, MS Ecological Services office), and MDWFP for five years after all towers have been constructed. This mitigation plan will contribute scientific data that can be used by MDWFP and USFWS in determining the significance of potential impacts of towers on migratory

birds. The implementation of the Avian Mitigation Plan will lower the potential for the MSWIN program to have adverse cumulative impacts on migratory birds.

Table 1. Summary of Impacts				
Resource	No Impact	No Significant Impact	Significant Impact	Mitigation/Best Management Practices
Geology		X		None
Prime/unique farmland; farmland of statewide or local importance	X			None
Air Quality		X		Fugitive dust emissions from construction activities would be controlled by wetting the ground
Wild and Scenic Rivers	X			None
Water Quality		X		Examples of BMPs that may be used during construction activities include, but are not limited to, silt fence, hay or straw bales, hay or straw mulch, gravel, erosion control blankets, and riprap
Wetlands		X		Alternate guy anchor access and hand clearing vegetation within identified wetlands
Floodplains	X			None
Coastal Resources	X			None
Threatened and Endangered Species	X			None
Migratory Birds		X		Tower lighting would be in accordance with USFWS recommendations; tower design would allow for future collocation; requirements of the Avian Mitigation Plan would be followed.
Wildlife and Fish	X			None
General Vegetation		X		None
Cultural Resources	X			If any human remains or cultural or archaeological materials are discovered, grantee would stop work immediately and contact FEMA and SHPO.
Socioeconomic Resources		X		None

Table 1. Summary of Impacts, Continued				
Resource	No Impact	No Significant Impact	Significant Impact	Mitigation/Best Management Practices
Human Health and Safety		X		None – project would improve interoperable communications
Environmental Justice	X			None – project would benefit all communities
Noise		X		None
Infrastructure, Utilities, Transportation, and Waste Management		X		None
Aesthetics and Visual Impacts		X		None

5.0 AGENCY COORDINATION, PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PERMITS

The Clay County Board of Supervisors and Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society were contacted regarding the proposed wireless communications tower via letters dated February 22, 2010. No response has been received from the Clay County Board of Supervisors or Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society as of the date of this report. A public notice was published in the *Daily Times Leader* on May 23, 2010 requesting comment regarding potential impacts to historical or archaeological properties by the proposed wireless communications tower. No comments were received as of the date of this draft EA in response to the public notice. Copies of the correspondence to the Clay County Board of Supervisors and Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society and a copy of the public notice from the *Daily Times Leader* are included in Appendix L. In addition, notice of availability of this draft Environmental Assessment will be published in *The Clarion Ledger*.

6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

- Anne B. Gilbert, Environmental Engineers, Inc.
- Chad Stinnett, Environmental Engineers, Inc.
- Henry A. Fisher, Environmental Engineers, Inc.
- Jennifer Hirsch, FEMA
- Laura Shick, FEMA

7.0 INFORMATION SOURCES

Completion of this Draft Environmental Assessment included utilization of the following sources:

1. Review of the portion of the 2004 aerial photograph depicting the site location available through Maptech.
2. Review of the site survey prepared by SMW Engineering, Inc.
3. Review of information regarding National Scenic Trails and All-American Roads available on the Mississippi Department of Transportation Internet website.
4. State and county maps available through the Mississippi Department of Transportation Internet website.
5. Review of information regarding wild and scenic rivers in the vicinity of the proposed project available at Rivers.gov.
6. Review of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission.
7. Correspondence to and from the United States Army Corps of Engineers regarding potential impacts to jurisdictional wetlands by the proposed project.
8. Review of information available on the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory Internet website regarding potential jurisdictional wetlands on or adjacent to the site.
9. A review of information available on the USFWS Internet website, at Nationalatlas.gov, and on Wilderness.net regarding officially designated wilderness areas or wildlife refuges.
10. Correspondence from the USFWS regarding threatened and endangered species on or near the site.
11. Review of the FCC Form 620 prepared for the site by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.
12. Correspondence from the Mississippi Department of Archives and History regarding historical resources and properties listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places on or near the site.
13. Review of the Tower Construction Notification System Notice of Organizations Which Were Sent Proposed Tower Construction Notification Information provided by the FCC.

14. Correspondence and conversations with representatives of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas, Chickasaw Nation, Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, Kialegee Tribal Town, Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Seminole Tribe of Florida, and the Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana regarding wireless telecommunications projects.
15. Review of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map depicting the site location regarding flood zone designations for the site.
16. Information regarding the MSWIN system provided by Towers of Mississippi.
17. Soil information from the USDA's Soil Survey of Clay County, Mississippi, issued November 1976.
18. Correspondence to and from the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) office in Jackson, Mississippi regarding impacts to prime farmland, unique farmland, and land of statewide or local importance.
19. A reconnaissance of the subject property.

Figures





Figure 1 - Site Locator Map - State

MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill



Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
 MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 3
 Site Location Map
 Scale: 1" = 2,000'





Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 4
2004 Aerial Photograph





View from the center of the site toward the west.



View from the center of the site toward the north.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 5
Site Photographs





View from the center of the site toward the east.



View from the center of the site toward the south.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 6
Site Photographs





View along the northwestern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.



View along the southern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 7
Site Photographs





View along the northeastern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.



View from the southeast compound corner looking across the site to the northwest compound corner.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 8
Site Photographs





View from the access road turn looking toward the access road entrance.


Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002


Figure 9
Site Photographs



MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Tower



This map is a user generated static output from an Internet mapping site and is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.



APPROXIMATE SCALE IN FEET




NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

FIRM
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

CLAY COUNTY,
MISSISSIPPI AND
INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 25 OF 135

CONTAINS:
COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX
UNINCORPORATED AREAS 280036 0025 C



MAP NUMBER:
28025C0025 C
EFFECTIVE DATE:
JULY 16, 1990



Federal Emergency Management Agency

This is an official copy of a portion of the above referenced flood map. It was extracted using F-MIT On-Line. This map does not reflect changes or amendments which may have been made subsequent to the date on the title block. For the latest product information about National Flood Insurance Program flood maps check the FEIMA Flood Map Store at www.msc.fema.gov

Appendix A



DATE	6/7/20
REVISION	
CHANGE BY	
APPROVED BY	
DATE	
PROJECT NO.	10-0001

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS
 1578 S. HIGHWAY #11
 OKEMUN, OK 73120
 WWW.EEENR.COM

SMW Engineering Group, Inc.
 1500 Woods of Overchase Drive
 Suite 100
 Hoover, Alabama 35224
 Ph. 205-292-0885

LEGEND

- = 5/8" CAPTED REBAR SET (SAW)
- = FOUND PROPERTY MARKER
- = SET BY NAIL
- POB = POINT OF BEGINNING
- POE = POINT OF COMMENCEMENT
- POA = POINT OF ANCHOR
- ▲ = CALCULATED POINT
- ⊗ = RECORDED INFORMATION
- ⊕ = PUMP/TOTF
- ⊖ = GUY ANCHOR
- ⊞ = POWER BOX
- ⊟ = TELEPHONE FEEDSIAL
- ⊠ = RIGHT-OF-WAY
- ⊡ = OVERHEAD POWER



TOWER INFO

TOWER TYPE: 800' GUINCD @ 75%


ANTENNA: 31x41' 39.260' N

MOORING: 88°52'29.895" W

GROUND ELEVATION: 554.4' (ROUND BEAN SURFACE LEVEL (NAVD83))

SITE ADDRESS: 625N ROAD WEST POINT, MS 38724

COMPOUND DE PAIL



1 - SWEET L. WINTERS TRUST PARCEL #035 16 0010000 BOOK 255, PAGE 99

2 - EVERETT W. WINTERS TRUST PARCEL #035 10 0020000 BOOK 87, PAGE 455

3 - MARW LLC PARCEL #035 16 0010000 BOOK 255, PAGE 99

4 - PARENT TRACT ROBBLEY EVANS WOOTEN SR & BOBBIE JOE DALTON WOOTEN PARCEL #211 PAGE 506

5 - PARENT TRACT ROBBLEY EVANS WOOTEN SR & BOBBIE JOE DALTON WOOTEN PARCEL #035 15 0020000 BOOK 241, PAGE 506

6 - WYVIR/SHUTSHER COMPANY PARCEL #035 15 0020000 BOOK 130, PAGE 530

7 - ROBBLEY EVANS WOOTEN SR & BOBBIE JOE DALTON WOOTEN PARCEL #035 15 0040000 BOOK 228, PAGE 338

8 - ROBBLEY EVANS WOOTEN SR & BOBBIE JOE DALTON WOOTEN PARCEL #035 00 0030000 BOOK 241, PAGE 308

REID HILL
 20508
 NW 1/4 SEC 15, T-16-S, R-4-E
 CLAY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

Appendix B



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

June 23, 2010

Dr. Homer L. Wilkes, State Conservationist
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service
100 W. Capital Street
Suite 1321 Federal Building
Jackson, MS 39269

Subject:

**Request for Project Review
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi**

Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Dr. Wilkes:

Environmental Engineers, Inc. is requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project is being funded using a FEMA grant (2008-MS-MX-0001) and the State of Mississippi's expenditures at this site will include construction of a telecommunications facility, purchase and installation of 700 MHz RF equipment and microwave telecommunication backbone network, equipment shelter, network integration, acceptance testing, communication hardware optimization and system exercising and piloting of interoperability capabilities of the network.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude $33^{\circ} 41' 38.7''$ north and longitude $88^{\circ} 52' 29.3''$ west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. The proposed project is depicted on the Flood Insurance Rate Map Community Panel No. 28025C0025 C which bears an effective date of July 16, 1990 and is not in a special flood hazard area. I have included a site location map, site photographs, and the portion of the 2004 aerial photograph depicting the site for your review.

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

Environmental Engineers, Inc. has been retained by Towers of Mississippi and the State of Mississippi to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed project. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please contact me by telephone at (205) 629-3868, electronic mail at hfisher@envciv.com, or U.S. mail at the letterhead address if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H. Fisher', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Attachments Site Location Map, Site Photographs, Aerial Photograph

7010 0290 0003 5708 0837

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	6/23/10 Postmark Here JSE01P1002 JSE01P1005
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$6.49	

Sent to
 Dr. Homer L. Wilkes
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

PS Form 3800, August 2006

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Dr. Homer L. Wilkes, State Conservationist
 U.S. Department of Agriculture, NRCS
 100 W Capital Street
 Suite 1321 Federal Building
 Jackson, MS 39269

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature Agent
 Addressee
 B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
 J Ward 6/23/10

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

7010 0290 0003 5708 0837

United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service
Suite 1321, Federal Building
100 West Capitol Street
Jackson, MS 39269

Environmental Engineers Inc.
Environmental Engineers Inc.

JUL 23 2010

RECEIVED
RECEIVED

July 12, 2010

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Principal Engineer
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, AL 35120

Dear Mr. Fisher:

This is in response to your letter dated June 23, 2010, regarding the Request for Project Review Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower in West Point in Clay County, Mississippi. This project will require the completion of Form CPA-106 for the road site.

The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) requires that the Federal Agency involved with the project complete Part I and III of Form CPA-106. After completion of Part I and III, forward the form and a detail map showing the exact location of the road to Steve Holman, Supervisory District Conservationist, USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 517 Hwy 145 North, Suite 6, Aberdeen, MS 39730. The Supervisory District Conservationist will complete Part II, IV and V of the form and return the form to you.

If any questions, please contact Tom Kilpatrick at (601) 965-5205, ext. 160.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Delaney B. Johnson". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Delaney B. Johnson
State Soil Scientist

Enclosure

Cc: Steve Holman, SDC, NRCS, Aberdeen, MS
Tom Heard, AC, NRCS, Tupelo, MS



Natural Resources Conservation Service
122 N. Jackson St. Suite C
West Point, Ms. 39773
(662) 494-6344 ext. 3

Environmental Engineers Inc.

NOV 18 2010

RECEIVED

November 15, 2010

Mr. Henry Fisher
Environmental Engineers Inc.

Project Number, **JSE01P1002** Reid Hill Communications Tower

Dear Mr. Fisher,

I received your request from my supervisor Steve Holman, for the proposed communications tower in north central Clay County. I determined that the site is composed of a Smithdale-Ruston soil type and therefore does not contain any prime, unique or local important farmland. I am returning a copy of the 106 stating this, along with an aerial map with soils and a description of the soil type from Clay County's soil survey. If there is anything else I can help you with please call at the number listed above.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Calvin Boyd".

Calvin Boyd
Soil Conservation Technician
Natural Resources Conservation Service
United States Department of Agriculture
West Point, MS.

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 10-20-2010	4. Sheet 1 of 1
1. Name of Project MSWIN ZOSOB B REID HILL	5. Federal Agency Involved FEMA		
2. Type of Project COMMUNICATIONS TOWER	6. County and State CLAY COUNTY, MS		
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		1. Date Request Received by NRCS 11/4/2010	2. Person Completing Form John C Boyd
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size	
5. Major Crop(s)	6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: %	7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: %	
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	9. Name of Local Site Assessment System	10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 11/15/2010	

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Alternative Corridor For Segment			
	Corridor A	Corridor B	Corridor C	Corridor D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	0.54			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	0			
C. Total Acres In Corridor	0 0.54	0	0	0

PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information				
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland				
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted				
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value				

PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))	Maximum Points				
1. Area in Nonurban Use	15				
2. Penimeter in Nonurban Use	10				
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed	20				
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	20				
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	10				
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	25				
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services	5				
8. On-Farm Investments	20				
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	25				
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	10				
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS	160	0	0	0	0

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100			
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	0	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260	0	0	0

1. Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:	3. Date Of Selection:	4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------	---	-----------------------	--

5. Reason For Selection

Signature of Person Completing this Part: _____ DATE _____

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

brown concretions; few slickensides that do not intersect; very strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

Cg—44 to 62 inches, gray (5Y 6/1) clay; intersecting slickensides parting to wedge-shaped fragments; firm, very sticky and very plastic; few fine roots; medium acid.

Reaction is medium acid through very strongly acid in the upper part of the profile except for the surface layer in areas that have been limed. It is medium acid to moderately alkaline in the C horizon.

The Ap horizon is dark grayish brown or very dark grayish brown.

The B horizon is gray or dark-gray clay, silty clay, or silty clay loam that contains between 50 and 60 percent clay.

Sessum soils are near Brooksville and Kipling soils. Sessum soils are grayer and not so well drained as Brooksville and Kipling soils.

Se—Sessum silty clay. This poorly drained soil is on broad flats on uplands. Slopes are 0 to 2 percent. Included in mapping are small areas of Kipling soils.

Reaction is very strongly acid through moderately alkaline. Available water capacity is high. Water moves through the soil very slowly. Runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight. Tilth is not easily maintained. This soil shrinks and forms cracks as it dries and swells as it becomes wet. It can be worked within only a narrow range of moisture content without clodding and crusting. Shredding crop residue and leaving it on the surface helps improve tilth.

This soil can be rowcropped year after year if adequate conservation practices are used. Graded rows and surface field ditches are needed to remove excess surface water.

If this soil is adequately fertilized, it is suited to soybeans and pasture. About half of the acreage is cultivated or is used for pasture. The rest is in woodland. Capability unit IVw-2; woodland group 3c8.

Smithdale Series

The Smithdale series consists of well-drained soils on uplands. These soils formed in loamy material. Slopes range from 5 to 40 percent.

In a representative profile the surface layer is dark grayish-brown fine sandy loam about 5 inches thick. The subsurface layer is yellowish-brown sandy loam about 7 inches thick. The subsoil extends to a depth of 80 inches or more. The upper 16 inches is red sandy clay loam, and the lower 52 inches is red sandy loam.

Representative profile of Smithdale fine sandy loam, in an area of Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly, in a wooded area, 1 mile east of fire tower and 100 feet east of local road, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 27, T. 15 S., R. 4 E.

A1—0 to 5 inches, dark grayish-brown (10YR 4/2) fine sandy loam; weak, fine, granular structure; very friable; common fine roots; strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

A2—5 to 12 inches, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) sandy loam; weak, fine granular structure; very friable; few fine roots; strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

B21t—12 to 28 inches, red (2.5YR 4/6) sandy clay loam; moderate, fine and medium, subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common thin, continuous clay films on faces of ped; strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

B22t—28 to 40 inches, red (2.5YR 5/8) sandy loam; weak, medium, subangular blocky structure; friable; sand grains coated and bridged with clay; few fine mica flakes; strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

B23t—40 to 60 inches, red (2.5YR 5/8) sandy loam; common medium, distinct, strong-brown (7.5YR 5/8) mottles; weak, medium, subangular blocky structure; very friable; sand grains bridged and coated with clay; few pockets of uncoated sand grains; few fine mica flakes; strongly acid; clear, smooth boundary.

B24t—60 to 80 inches, red (2.5YR 5/8) sandy loam, weak, medium,

subangular blocky structure; very friable; sand grains bridged and coated with clay; few fine mica flakes; strongly acid.

Reaction is strongly acid or very strongly acid throughout the profile.

The A1 horizon is dark grayish brown, grayish brown, or dark brown. The Ap or A2 horizon is brown, grayish brown, pale brown, or yellowish brown.

The upper part of the Bt horizon is red or yellowish-red clay loam, sandy clay loam, or loam. Clay content in the upper 20 inches of the Bt horizon ranges between 18 and 32 percent, and silt content, between 15 and 50 percent. The lower part of the Bt horizon has colors similar to those in the upper part of the Bt horizon, except there are few to many pockets of uncoated sand grains. The lower part of the Bt horizon is loam or sandy loam.

Smithdale soils are near Ora, Ruston, and Sweatman soils. Smithdale soils lack the fragipan of Ora soils. They have less clay in the lower part of the B horizon and do not have B $\frac{2}{2}$ and A $\frac{2}{2}$ horizons of Ruston soils. They have less clayey Bt horizons than Sweatman soils.

SRE—Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly. This association consists of well-drained soils on rough, hilly uplands. Areas are 160 to 800 acres in size. Most areas are wooded and consist of long winding ridges and side slopes that are cut by natural drainageways. Slopes range from 5 to 40 percent. Composition of this unit is more variable than most others in the county. Mapping has been controlled well enough, however, for the anticipated use of the soils.

This association is 65 percent Smithdale soils and 25 percent Ruston soils. The remaining 10 percent is well-drained soils that have a subsoil of yellowish-red sandy loam or loam and soils that have a similar subsoil but that have a sandy surface layer 20 to 60 inches thick. The pattern and extent of Smithdale and Ruston soils are fairly uniform throughout the mapped area.

Included in mapping are a few small areas that are less than 160 acres and a few areas of eroded soils that were once cultivated.

The well-drained Smithdale soils are on the middle and lower slopes. They have the profile described as representative of the series. Reaction is strongly acid or very strongly acid. Available water capacity is medium. Water moves through the soil at a moderate rate. Runoff is rapid.

The well-drained Ruston soils are on ridgetops and upper slopes. The Ruston soils have a surface layer of yellowish-brown fine sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The upper 17 inches of the subsoil is yellowish-red sandy clay loam. The next layer is yellowish-red loam about 9 inches thick. Below this is yellowish-red sandy clay loam that extends to a depth of 80 inches. Reaction is strongly acid or very strongly acid. Available water capacity is medium. Water moves through the soil at a moderate rate.

Most areas are wooded. This unit is better suited to pine trees than other trees because of slopes. The dominant trees are loblolly and shortleaf pine. Capability unit VIIe-1; woodland group 3o1.

Stough Series

The Stough series consists of somewhat poorly drained soils on uplands. These soils formed in loamy material. Slopes are 0 to 2 percent.

In a representative profile the surface layer is dark grayish-brown sandy loam about 5 inches thick. The subsoil extends to a depth of about 57 inches or more. The upper 21 inches is yellowish-brown loam mottled with pale brown and light brownish gray. The lower 31 inches is loam



Be

OrB

Proposed Tower Site

RuC

Church

Enon Road

Hopewell Road

SRE

OrB

Be

OrC2

Buried Gas Line

RuC

Be

Appendix C

SAM-2010-00922-TMB Taylor SW

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.
11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120
Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

Environmental Engineers Inc.

JUN 30 010

RECEIVED

June 24, 2010

JUN 25 2010

USACE Regulatory Branch
Birmingham Field Office
Attn: Joe Tanko
218 Summit Parkway, Suite 222
Birmingham, AL 35209

SUBJECT:
Jurisdictional Evaluation Report and Request for Comment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Tanko:

On behalf of our clients, Towers of Mississippi and the State of Mississippi, Environmental Engineers, Inc. is requesting concurrence with the results of our wetland delineation and requesting comment regarding the proposed project activities. The enclosed report describes our wetland delineation findings and provides a summary of the proposed site activities.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. If you have any questions regarding this request or if you need any additional information, please contact us at (205) 629-3868.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Chad Stinnett
Chad Stinnett
Senior Project Scientist

Enc. Jurisdictional Evaluation Report

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

ET9D 8025 E000 0620 0702

Postage	\$
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 1.00

462410
 Postmark Here
 JSEU P1002
 JSEU P1005

Sent to Mr. Joe Jenko
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

PS Form 3800, August 2003 See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete Item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Mr. Joe Jenko
 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
 Regulatory Division
 Birmingham Field Office
 218 Summit Parkway
 Birmingham, AL 35209

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature Agent
 Addressee
CD House - Pearson


B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
CD House - Pearson 2/25/10

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 No
 If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

7010 0290 0003 5708 0813



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

**Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002**

Prepared for:
Towers of Mississippi
State of Mississippi
Spanish Fort, Alabama

June 11, 2010

Prepared by:
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.



Chad Stinnett
Senior Project Scientist



Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION.....1

 1.1 SITE LOCATION1

 1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION.....1

 1.3 SOILS1

2.0 FIELD EVALUATION METHODS.....1

3.0 FINDINGS.....2

 3.1 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS2

 3.1.1 Wetland A.....2

 3.1.2 Wetland B2

4.0 PROPOSED ACTIVITIES.....3

 4.1 GUY ANCHOR CLEARING METHODS3

5.0 CONCLUSION.....3

6.0 REFERENCES / INFORMATION SOURCES3

FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 Site Survey
- Figure 3 National Wetlands Inventory Map
- Figure 4 Soil Survey Map
- Figure 5 Jurisdictional Determination Map
- Figures 6-9 Site Photographs

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Wetland Delineation Data Forms
- Appendix B Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangles “Palo Alto, Mississippi” and “Montpelier, Mississippi,” dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33.694083° north and longitude 88.874806° west (Figure 1).

This site is referred to as the Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower and is located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi. The site is located in the Sand Creek area of the Tennessee-Tombigbee watershed.

1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with three associated guy anchor easements and an access road located off Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi (Figure 2). The site is comprised of wooded land consisting of mixed hardwood and pine trees.

1.3 SOILS

According to the USDA’s Web Soil Survey Internet website, site soils are classified as Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly. This association consists of well-drained soils on rough, hilly uplands. Smithdale soils typically have a surface layer of dark grayish-brown fine sandy loam about five inches thick. The subsurface layer is yellowish-brown sandy loam about seven inches thick. The subsoil extends to a depth of 80 inches or more. The upper 16 inches is red sandy clay loam, and the lower 52 inches is red sandy loam.

Ruston soils have a surface layer of yellowish-brown fine sandy loam about four inches thick. The upper 17 inches of the subsoil is yellowish-red sandy clay loam. The next layer is yellowish-red loam about nine inches thick. Below this is yellowish-red sandy clay loam that extends to a depth of 80 inches.

2.0 FIELD EVALUATION METHODS

Environmental Engineers, Inc. (EEI) personnel reviewed the USGS 7.5-minute “Palo Alto, Mississippi” and “Montpelier, Mississippi,” Topographic Quadrangles, the National Wetlands Inventory map (Figure 3), the USDA Web Soil Survey (Figure 4), and historical aerial photographs for the site. EEI personnel conducted the jurisdictional evaluation on March 23, 2010. The following briefly describes the field procedures conducted during site activities.



EEI conducted a reconnaissance of the property within the subject site boundaries as well as on adjacent properties to assist in describing representative vegetation and hydrology. The subject site was observed for jurisdictional wetland indicators and waters of the U.S.

The field delineation was performed in accordance with the guidelines established in the Field Guide for Wetland Delineation, 1987 Corps of Engineers Manual (Manual) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Manual for the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (October 2008). Delineation data forms taken from the Manual are included in Appendix A. Identified wetlands and waters of the U.S. were flagged in the field and classified in accordance with the memorandum regarding *CWA Jurisdiction Following The US Supreme Court Decision In Rapanos vs. United States* "Rapanos guidance" dated December 2008. As required by the Rapanos guidance, JD forms are included in Appendix B.

3.0 FINDINGS

It is EEI's professional opinion that the western and southern guy easements contain wetlands that would be considered jurisdictional by the US Army Corps of Engineers (Figure 5). It is also the opinion of EEI that the proposed tower compound, eastern guy easement, and access road do not contain wetlands.

3.1 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS

Name	Wetland Type	Project Area Location	Center Coordinates
Wetland A	Palustrine-scrub/shrub	Western Guy Easement	33.6943556°N 88.8769311°W
Wetland B	Palustrine-forested	Southern Guy Easement	33.6931133°N 88.8752238°W

3.1.1 Wetland A

Wetland A is classified as a Palustrine-scrub/shrub system and the main hydrologic input is provided by localized hillside groundwater seeps. Hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology were present throughout the delineated limits of this wetland.

3.1.2 Wetland B

Wetland B is classified as a Palustrine-forested system and the main hydrologic input is provided by a shallow groundwater table. Hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology were present throughout the delineated limits of this wetland.



4.0 PROPOSED ACTIVITIES

Proposed activities at the site consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower and associated compound, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. In addition, vegetation will be cleared from the guy anchor easements.

4.1 GUY ANCHOR CLEARING METHODS

As previously mentioned, two of the guy anchor easements cross areas delineated as jurisdictional wetlands. Towers of Mississippi is committed to completing this project without significant wetland disturbance and propose guy anchor easement clearing methods detailed in the following sentences. The guy anchor easements, outside of the delineated wetland limits, will be cleared via mechanical methods. The guy anchor easements within the delineated wetland limits will be cleared via hand methods and felled brush will be moved to an upland location. Additionally, guy anchor construction equipment and materials will utilize upland access routes.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Environmental Engineers, Inc. performed a jurisdictional evaluation in accordance with federal standards of the Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi. Based on the results of the field evaluation and project site research, it is EEI's opinion that the project area contains two wetlands that would be considered jurisdictional Waters of the US. After a review of the proposed construction methods provided by Towers of Mississippi, it is also the opinion of EEI that the project will not require fill and/or significant soil disturbance within wetland limits and therefore will not require a USACE permit.

6.0 REFERENCES / INFORMATION SOURCES

Research and evaluation of the environmental conditions at the site and surrounding properties included utilization of the following sources:


1. United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangles "Palo Alto, Mississippi" and Montpelier, Mississippi," dated 1987.
2. National Wetland Inventory Map available at U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Internet website.
3. Soil information from the USDA's Web Soil Survey Internet website.
4. Aerial photographs available at the USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA) office in West Point, and Mapcard.com Internet website.



5. US Army Corps of Engineers Field Guide for Wetland Delineation, 1987 Corps of Engineers Manual.
6. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Manual for the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain.



Figures



Environmental Engineers, Inc.


Subject:
 Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
 Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 1
 Site Location Map
 Scale: 1" = 2,000'



EVERETT L. WINTERS TRUST
 PARCEL #035 10 0020000
 BOOK B2, PAGE 439


ROBBIE JOE DALTON WOOTEN SR &
 PARCEL #035 10 0030000
 BOOK 241, PAGE 509



LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	S 71°50'58" E	125.00'
L2	S 18°09'02" W	80.00'
L3	N 71°50'58" W	125.00'
L4	N 18°09'02" E	80.00'
L5	S 18°09'02" W	40.00'
L6	S 71°50'58" E	62.50'
L7	S 18°09'02" W	40.00'
L8	N 71°50'58" W	62.50'
L9	N 18°09'02" E	40.00'
L10	N 18°09'02" E	15.00'
L11	S 71°50'58" E	125.56'
L12	S 67°34'33" E	90.84'
L13	N 76°46'40" E	78.94'
L14	N 63°41'21" E	62.85'
L15	N 51°58'20" E	88.27'
L16	N 68°40'54" E	109.92'
L17	N 79°21'08" W	152.08'
L18	S 63°48'49" E	67.46'
L19	S 71°50'58" E	101.47'
L20	N 71°50'58" W	51.22'
L21	S 18°09'02" W	24.12'

GUY WIRE INFORMATION FROM CENTER OF TOWER


	NW GUY	N 57°55'48" W	482.33'	ANCHOR EL. 322.06'
	NE GUY	S 62°24'12" W	411.74'	ANCHOR EL. 375.15'
	SOUTH GUY	S 02°24'12" W	481.71'	ANCHOR EL. 334.88'



GRID TO TREE NORTH
 CONVERSION
 -0.01'
 TREE NORTH TO
 GRID NORTH
 1.28" W
 OBLIQUE
 0.99950184

LEGEND
 ○ 5/8" CAPPED REBAR SET
 (SMW)
 ○ FOUND PROPERTY MARKS
 □ SET FOR PAUL
 ● POINT OF BEGINNING
 ● POINT OF COMMENCEMENT
 ● POINT OF ENDING
 (3) CALCULATED POINT
 (3) RECORDS INFORMATION
 ○ POWER POLE
 ○ GUY ANCHOR
 ○ POWER BOX
 ○ TELEPHONE pedestal
 ○ REPT-OF-WAY
 ○ OVERHEAD POWER

TOWER INFO
 TOWER TYPE: 600' CAPED ○ 75K
 LATITUDE: 33°17'52.80" N
 LONGITUDE: 88°32'28.828" W
 LENGTH ELEVATION: 344.8' ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (NAVD83)
 SITE ADDRESS: BUD THOMPSON RD WEST POINT, MS 39241



REID HILL
 20508
 NW 1/4 SEC. 15 T-18-S R-4-E
 CLAY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI


SMW Engineering Group, Inc.
 1550 Woods of Riverchase Drive
 Suite 100
 Hoover, Alabama 35244
 Ph: 205-252-6868
 www.smweng.com

RAWLAND TOWER SURVEY
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS
 11578 U.S. HIGHWAY 411
 ORENVILLE, AL 35120

NO.	REVISION	DATE	BY
1	CHANGE GUY LOCATIONS	4/7/10	JE

DRAWN BY: JS
 CHECKED BY: MJK
 FIELD CREW: WHS
 APPROVED BY: WHS
 DATE: 01/29/10
 SCALE: 1" = 100'
 SHEET 1 OF 2

Figure 2




Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
 Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
 Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 3
 National Wetland Inventory Map






Be	Belden silt loam
SRE	Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
 Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
 Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 4
 Soil Survey Map






Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
 Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
 Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 5
 Jurisdictional Determination Map





View from the center of the site toward the west.



View from the center of the site toward the north.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.


Subject:
Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 6
Site Photographs





View from the center of the site toward the east.



View from the center of the site toward the south.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 7
Site Photographs





View along the southern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.



View along the eastern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P 1002

Figure 8
Site Photographs





General view of Wetland A within the western guy easement.



General view of Wetland B within the southern guy easement.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Jurisdictional Evaluation Report
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 9
Site Photographs



Appendix A

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region

Project/Site: Proposed Reid Hill Communications Tower City/County: West Point / Clay Sampling Date: 3/23/2010
 Applicant/Owner: _____ State: _____ Sampling Point: Wetland A
 Investigator(s): C. Stinnett Section, Township, Range: S-15, T-16S, R-4E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): _____ Slope (%): _____
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): _____ Lat: 33.6944223 Long: 88.8770520 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly NWI classification: upland

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
Remarks:	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ___ Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ___ High Water Table (A2) ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) ___ Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U) ___ Water Marks (B1) ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ___ Sediment Deposits (B2) ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ___ Drift Deposits (B3) ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ___ Geomorphic Position (D2) ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
--	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Wetland A

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot sizes: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Red maple</u>		<u>yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
<u>Sapling Stratum</u> (_____)				
1. _____				
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (_____)				
1. _____				
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH). Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH. Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size. Includes woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (_____)				
1. _____				
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
9. _____				
10. _____				
11. _____				
12. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (_____)				
1. <u>Muscadine</u>		<u>no</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
Remarks: (if observed, list morphological adaptations below).				

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region

Project/Site: Proposed Reid Hill Communications Tower City/County: West Point / Clay Sampling Date: 3/23/2010
 Applicant/Owner: _____ State: _____ Sampling Point: Wetland B
 Investigator(s): C. Stinnett Section, Township, Range: S-15, T-16S, R-4E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): _____ Slope (%): _____
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): _____ Lat: 33.6931454 Long: 88.8752419 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly NWI classification: upland

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No _____	
Remarks:			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
Surface Water Present?	Yes _____ No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____	
Water Table Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>3</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Wetland B

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot sizes: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Red maple</u>		<u>yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)
2. _____				
3. <u>Sweetgum</u>		<u>no</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____ OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
<u>Sapling Stratum</u> (_____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____				
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (_____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Huckleberry</u>		<u>yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present. Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH). Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH. Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size. Includes woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (_____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____				
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
6. _____				
7. _____				
8. _____				
9. _____				
10. _____				
11. _____				
12. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (_____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Japanese Honeysuckle</u>		<u>no</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____				
3. _____				
4. _____				
5. _____				
_____ = Total Cover				
Remarks: (If observed, list morphological adaptations below).				

SOIL

Sampling Point: Wetland B

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-5	10YR 2/2						sandy clay	
5-14	10YR 3/3		10YR 4/1	30			sandy clay	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- Organic Bodies (A6) (LRR P, T, U)
- 5 cm Mucky Mineral (A7) (LRR P, T, U)
- Muck Presence (A8) (LRR U)
- 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR P, T)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 150A)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR O, S)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Dark Surface (S7) (LRR P, S, T, U)

- Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR S, T, U)
- Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR S, T, U)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR O)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)
- Marl (F10) (LRR U)
- Depleted Ochric (F11) (MLRA 151)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR O, P, T)
- Umbric Surface (F13) (LRR P, T, U)
- Delta Ochric (F17) (MLRA 151)
- Reduced Vertic (F18) (MLRA 150A, 150B)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149A)
- Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20) (MLRA 149A, 153C, 153D)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR O)
- 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR S)
- Reduced Vertic (F18) (outside MLRA 150A,B)
- Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (LRR P, S, T)
- Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20) (MLRA 153B)
- Red Parent Material (TF2)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) (LRR T, U)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

Appendix B

ATTACHMENT

PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PRELIMINARY JD:

Chad Stinnett
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Hwy. 411
Odenville, AL 35120

C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

**D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
(USE THE ATTACHED TABLE TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE WATERBODIES AT DIFFERENT SITES)**

State: MS County/parish/borough: Clay City: West Point

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):

Lat. 33.694083°N, Long. 88.874806° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Sand Creek

Identify (estimate) amount of waters in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: variable width (ft) and/or acres.

Cowardin Class:

Stream Flow:

Wetlands: 0.20 acres.

Cowardin Class:

Name of any water bodies on the site that have been identified as Section 10 waters:

Tidal:

Non-Tidal:

E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s):

1. The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional waters of the United States on the subject site, and the permit applicant or other affected party who requested this preliminary JD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) for that site. Nevertheless, the permit applicant or other person who requested this preliminary JD has declined to exercise the option to obtain an approved JD in this instance and at this time.

2. In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "pre-construction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an approved JD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware of the following: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a preliminary JD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional waters; (2) that the applicant has the option to request an approved JD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an approved JD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) that the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) that the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) that undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an approved JD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the preliminary JD, but that either form of JD will be processed as soon as is practicable; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a preliminary JD constitutes agreement that all wetlands and other water bodies on the site affected in any way by that activity are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and precludes any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an approved JD or a preliminary JD, that JD will be processed as soon as is practicable. Further, an approved JD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331, and that in any administrative appeal, jurisdictional issues can be raised (see 33 C.F.R. 331.5(a)(2)). If, during that administrative appeal, it becomes necessary to make an official determination whether CWA jurisdiction exists over a site, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional waters on the site, the Corps will provide an approved JD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable. This preliminary JD finds that there "may be" waters of the United States on the subject project site, and identifies all aquatic features on the site that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for preliminary JD (check all that apply

- checked items should be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

Corps navigable waters' study:

U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

USGS NHD data.

USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.

U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Palo Alto, Mississippi, 7.5 Minute.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey.

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS Palo Alto/Montpelier.

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps:

100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Soil Survey/2007.

or Other (Name & Date): Figures 6-9, March 2010.

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

Other information (please specify):

IMPORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.

Signature and date of
Regulatory Project Manager
(REQUIRED)

Chad Stumett (6-10-10)
Signature and date of
person requesting preliminary JD
(REQUIRED, unless obtaining
the signature is impracticable)



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, MOBILE DISTRICT
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 2288
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628-0001

Environmental Engineers Inc.

JUL 20 2010

RECEIVED

July 16, 2010

Inland Branch
Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: Nationwide Permit Authorization - Permit Number SAM-2010-00922-TMB

Environmental Engineers, Inc.
Attention: Mr. Chad Stinnent
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35210

Dear Mr. Stinnent:

This letter is in response to your June 25, 2010 application for a Department of the Army (DA) permit to convert approximately .01 acre of forested wetland to non-forested wetland in association with a cell tower project. This project has been assigned file number SAM-2010-00922-TMB, which should be referred to in all future correspondence with this office. The project is located in Clay County, Mississippi; approximate location is Latitude 33.6943356°N, Longitude -88.8769311°W.

Department of the Army authorization is necessary because your project will involve the placement of fill material into waters of the United States, regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Mitigation is not required since impacts will be minimal.

Based upon the information and plans you provided, we hereby verify that the work described above, which would be performed in accordance with the received drawings, is authorized by Nationwide Permit (NWP) 18 in accordance with 33 CFR Part 330 of our regulations. This NWP and its associated Regional and General Conditions can be viewed at our website www.sam.usace.army.mil/RD/reg. You must comply with all of the special and general conditions and any project specific conditions of this authorization or you may be subject to enforcement action. In the event you have not completed construction of your project within the specified time limit, a separate application or re-verification may be required. This verification is valid until March 18, 2012.

This verification is valid until the NWP is modified, reissued, or revoked. All of the existing NWPs are scheduled to be modified, reissued, or revoked prior to March 18, 2012. It is incumbent upon you to remain informed of changes to the NWPs. We will issue a public notice when the NWPs are reissued. Furthermore, if you commence or are under contract to commence this activity before the date that the relevant nationwide permit is modified or revoked, you will have twelve (12) months from the date of the modification or revocation of the NWP to complete the activity under the present terms and conditions of this nationwide permit.

This letter of authorization does not obviate the necessity to obtain any other Federal, State, or local permits, which may be required. Further, please note General Condition 26 requires that you submit a signed certification to us once any work has commenced and when the work is completed. Please complete and submit the enclosed Notification of Commencement of Work when work has begun and the enclosed Compliance Certification form to this office within 60 days of completion of the authorized work.

Please contact Mr. Taylor Bell at 205-290-9096 if you have any questions. For additional information about our Regulatory Program, visit our web site at www.sam.usace.army.mil/RD/rcg, and if you have time, please take a moment to complete our customer satisfaction survey at this site. Your response is appreciated and will allow us to improve our services.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joe Tanko". The signature is stylized and cursive.

Joe Tanko
Project Manager
Regulatory Division
Birmingham Field Office

Enclosures

COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION



**US Army Corps of Engineers
Mobile District**

Permit Number: SAM-2010-00922-TMB

Name of Permittee: Towers of Mississippi and the State of Mississippi

Date of Issuance: 7/14/2010

Upon commencement of the activity authorized by this permit, please sign this certification and return it to the following address:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Mobile District
Regulatory Division
Birmingham Field Office
218 Summit Parkway Suite 222
Homewood, Alabama 35209

Please note that your permitted activity is subject to a compliance inspection by a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers representative. If you fail to comply with all terms and conditions of this permit the permit is subject to permit suspension, modification, or revocation and you are subject to an enforcement action by this office.

Signature of Permittee

Date work began



Mobile District

US Army Corps of Engineers

SELF-CERTIFICATION - STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

Permit Number: SAM-2010-00922-TMB

Name of Permittee: Towers of Mississippi and the State of Mississippi

Date of Permit Issuance: July 14, 2010

Location of the Work: Clay County, Mississippi

Upon completion of the activity authorized by this permit and any mitigation required by the permit, you must complete and return this certification to the following address:

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District
Regulatory Division (RD-I-N)
218 Summit Pkwy, Suite 222
Homewood, AL 35209**

Please note that your permitted activity is subject to a compliance inspection by a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers representative. If you fail to comply with all terms and conditions of this permit the permit is subject to permit suspension, modification, or revocation and you are subject to an enforcement action by this office.

PERMITTEE TO COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

Date Work Completed: _____

I hereby certify that the work authorized by the above-referenced permit has been completed in accordance with the permit authorization, including all general and/or special conditions of the said permit, and the required mitigation (if applicable) was completed in accordance with the permit conditions.

**NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND
REQUEST FOR APPEAL**

Applicant: Towers of Mississippi and the State of Mississippi	File Number: SAM-2010-922-TMB	Date: 7/16/2010
Attached is:		See Section below
INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)		A
PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)		B
PERMIT DENIAL		C
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION		D
PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION		E

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at <http://usace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/ccwo/reg> or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

- **ACCEPT:** If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- **OBJECT:** If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- **ACCEPT:** If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- **APPEAL:** If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.

- **ACCEPT:** You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- **APPEAL:** If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL of OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.

POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION:

If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact:

Taylor Bell
CESAM-RD-P
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
POST OFFICE BOX 2288
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36628-0001
(205) 290-9096

If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact:

MR. MICHAEL F. BELL
REGULATORY APPEAL REVIEW OFFICER
60 FORSYTH STREET SOUTHWES
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8801
(404) 562-5137

RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.

Date:

Telephone number:

Signature of appellant or agent.

Chad Stinnett

From: Bell, Taylor M. SAM [Taylor.M.Bell@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010 10:47 AM
To: Chad Stinnett
Subject: SAM-2010-00922-TMB

Mr. Stinnett,

The United States Army Corps of Engineers has decided that this telecommunications project will not have an significant adverse impact on wetlands or waters of the US.

Thanks,

Taylor Bell

Regulatory Specialist

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Mobile District

Regulatory Division, Inland Branch

Birmingham Field Office

218 Summit Parkway, Suite 222

Homewood, Alabama 35209-4753

taylor.m.bell@usace.army.mil

205.945.6173 (office)

205.941.9809 (fax)

Appendix D



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 22, 2010

Acting Supervisor
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
6578 Dogwood View Parkway
Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Subject:
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Wireless Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

To Whom It May Concern:

Environmental Engineers, Inc. is requesting comment from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding impact to threatened or endangered species by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. The site is comprised of wooded land consisting of hardwood trees ranging from less than two inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) to more than ten inches dbh and pine trees up to eight inches dbh. The site slopes gently down to the east. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have included a site location map and site photographs for your review.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.

Principal Engineer

Attachments Site Location Map and Site Photographs

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
 (Domestic Mail Only. No Insurance Coverage Provided)

7007 2680 0002 3656 3504

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	2/23/10 Postmark Here JSE41P1002 TOWAS P1001 TOWAS P1002
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$6.66	

Sent To: Acting Supervisor / USF&MS
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>[Signature]</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) <input type="checkbox"/> <u>FRAN [Signature]</u> C. Date of Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> <u>2/25</u></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>1. Article Addressed to: Acting Supervisor USF&MS 6578 Dogwood View Place Jackson, MS 39213</p>	<p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p>
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p>	<p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

7007 2680 0002 3656 3504



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Mississippi Field Office
6578 Dogwood View Parkway, Suite A
Jackson, MS 39213



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS

March 8, 2010

Anne B. Gilbert
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120

MAR 10 2010

RECEIVED

RE: Proposed Tower in Clay County, Project No: JSE01P1002

Dear Ms. Gilbert:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) received your letter dated February 22, 2010, regarding the proposed construction of a 600-ft guyed wire tower and tower in Clay County. The proposed tower site access is located off Enon Road in West Point, MS. Our comments are submitted in accordance with the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703-711).

Price's potato bean, a threatened plant, is the only listed terrestrial species for Clay County. This herbaceous, twining vine is often found in wooded areas that grade into creek and river bottoms. It is unlikely that this listed species would be found at the proposed site as documented by photographs that you provided. Therefore, the Service anticipates no impacts to this listed species to occur as a result of the proposed project. However, due to the adverse impact these towers can have on migratory birds, we would like to make several recommendations which can be found in the attached guidelines document.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. Please contact Terri Jacobson of this office if your project plans change or if you have any questions. She can be reached at (601) 321-1129.

Sincerely,

for Stephen Ricks
Field Office Supervisor

Appendix E

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Tower Guidance

Communications Tower Siting, Construction, Operation, and Decommissioning

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703-712) prohibits the taking, killing, possession, transportation, and importation of migratory birds, their eggs, parts, and nests, except when specifically authorized by the Department of the Interior. While the Act has no provision for allowing an unauthorized take, it must be recognized that some birds may be killed at structures such as communications towers even if all reasonable measures to avoid it are implemented. The Service's Division of Law Enforcement carries out its mission to protect migratory birds not only through investigations and enforcement, but also through fostering relationships with individuals and industries that proactively seek to eliminate their impacts on migratory birds. While it is not possible under the Act to absolve individuals or companies from liability if they follow these recommended guidelines, the Division of Law Enforcement and Department of Justice have used enforcement and prosecutorial discretion in the past regarding individuals or companies who have made good faith efforts to avoid the take of migratory birds. Due to the adverse impact telecommunications towers can have on migratory birds, we would like to make the following recommendations:

1. Co-locate communications equipment on an existing communication tower or other structure (*e.g.*, billboard, water tower, or building mount). Depending on tower load factors, from 6 to 10 providers may collocate on an existing tower.
2. If co-location is not feasible and a new tower or towers are to be constructed, towers should be no more than 199 feet above ground level (AGL), use construction techniques which do not require guy wires (*e.g.*, use a lattice structure, monopole, etc.). Towers should be unlighted if Federal Aviation Administration regulations permit.
3. If constructing multiple towers, consider the cumulative impacts of all towers to migratory birds and threatened and endangered species as well as the impacts of each individual tower.
4. If at all possible, new towers should be sited within existing "antenna farms" (clusters of towers). Towers should not be sited in or near wetlands, other known bird concentration areas (*e.g.*, state or Federal refuges, staging areas, rookeries), in known migratory or daily movement flyways, or in habitat of threatened or endangered species. Towers should not be sited in areas with a high incidence of fog, mist, and low ceilings.
5. If taller (>199 feet AGL) towers requiring lights for aviation safety must be constructed, the minimum amount of pilot warning and obstruction avoidance lighting required by the FAA should be used. Unless otherwise required by the FAA, only white (preferable) or red strobe lights should be used at night, and these should be the minimum number, minimum intensity, and minimum number of flashes per minute (longest duration between flashes) allowable by the FAA. The use of solid red or pulsating red warning lights at night should be avoided. Current research indicates that solid or pulsating (beacon) red lights attract night-migrating birds at a much higher rate than white strobe lights. Red strobe lights have not yet been studied.

6. Tower designs using guy wires for support which are proposed to be located in known raptor or waterbird concentration areas or daily movement routes, or in major diurnal migratory bird movement routes or stopover sites, should have daytime visual markers on the wires to prevent collisions by these diurnally moving species. (For guidance on markers, see *Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006* - a profile of the research and safeguards available to remedy the issue of avian electrocutions. Copies can be obtained from Edison Electric Institute by calling 1-800-334-5453 or via the Internet at http://www.eei.org/products_and_services/descriptions_and_access/index.htm.)
7. Towers and appendant facilities should be sited, designed and constructed so as to avoid or minimize habitat loss within and adjacent to the tower "footprint". However, a larger tower footprint is preferable to the use of guy wires in construction. Road access and fencing should be minimized to reduce or prevent habitat fragmentation and disturbance, and to reduce above ground obstacles to birds in flight.
8. If significant numbers of breeding, feeding, or roosting birds are known to habitually use the proposed tower construction area, relocation to an alternate site is recommended.
9. In order to reduce the number of towers needed in the future, new towers should be designed structurally and electrically to accommodate comparable antennas for at least two additional users (minimum of three users for each tower structure), unless this design would require the addition of lights or guy wires to an otherwise unlighted and/or unguyed tower.
10. Security lighting for on-ground facilities and equipment should be down-shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site.
11. Service personnel or researchers from the Communication Tower Working Group should be allowed, if asked, access to the site to evaluate bird use, conduct dead-bird searches, to place net catchments below the towers but above the ground, and to place radar, Global Positioning System, infrared, thermal imagery, and acoustical monitoring equipment as necessary to assess and verify bird movements and to gain information on the impacts of various tower sizes, configurations, and lighting systems.
12. Towers no longer in use or determined to be obsolete should be removed within twelve months of cessation of use.

These voluntary recommendations are based on the Service's Guidance on the Siting, Construction, Operation and Decommissioning of Communications Towers which can be found at <http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/issues/towers/comtow.html>

In order to obtain information on the usefulness of these guidelines in preventing bird strikes, and to identify any recurring problems with their implementation which may necessitate modifications, please advise us of the final location and specifications of the proposed tower. In your response please explain which of the recommended measures were implemented and if the recommended measures could not be implemented, please explain why they were not feasible.

Appendix F

Avian Mitigation Plan
Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network
Mississippi Interoperable Communications Grant 2008-MS-MX-0001
February 3, 2011

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Grant Programs Directorate (GPD) is providing funding through its Mississippi Interoperable Communications Grant 2008-MS-MX-0001 to the Mississippi Wireless Communication Commission (MWCC) to construct a statewide public safety radio system known as the Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network (MSWIN). The MSWIN program will result in the construction of approximately 140 communication towers (see attached map) throughout the state of Mississippi.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the President's Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508), and FEMA's regulations implementing NEPA (44 CFR Part 10), Environmental Assessments (EA) were prepared for several proposed towers to be constructed under the MSWIN program. The purpose of the EAs is to evaluate the potential impacts of the proposed towers on the environment. Through the preparation of these EAs, FEMA identified a potential for cumulative impacts to migratory birds as a result of the MSWIN project as birds could be injured or killed by colliding in to guy wires and/or the tower structure, or could be disoriented by tower lighting. This Avian Mitigation Plan was developed by FEMA, MWCC and the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks (MDWFP) to address these potential cumulative impacts. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Jackson, MS Ecological Services Office reviewed this Avian Mitigation Plan. MWCC is responsible for the cost of implementing the Avian Mitigation Plan.

Background

Following Hurricane Katrina in 2005, the Mississippi Legislature created the MWCC with the mission of implementing a statewide reliable, survivable, interoperable voice and data communication system for public safety and first responders. Congress appropriated \$140 million toward this effort. The MWCC has completed implementation of MSWIN on 71 sites in the southern half of the State and anticipates completion of the entire system (approximately 140 sites) in early 2012.

The MSWIN radio system is reliant on communication towers in order to operate. While MSWIN is vitally important to the first responders, the State recognizes the importance of building towers that will avoid possible harm to migratory birds. The conservation of birds will help sustain ecological integrity and ecosystem services, including insect control, pollination, and seed dispersal. Migratory bird conservation also meets the growing public interest in outdoor education and recreation.

In building MSWIN and using Federal funding, the State must be compliant with Federal, State and local regulations and guidelines pertinent to the project. These regulations include those of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) relative to

FEMA
Avian Mitigation Plan
Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network

frequency licensing and tower construction, as well as the NEPA requirements previously discussed.

The FCC maintains jurisdiction over tower sites and its rules, 47 C.F.R. §1.1307(a)(3), require applicants, licensees, and tower owners (Applicants) to consider the impact of proposed facilities under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), 16 U.S.C. s. 1531 et seq. Applicants must determine whether any proposed facilities may affect listed, threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats, or are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats. In addition, USFWS has formulated and published voluntary guidelines for the siting and operation of towers intended to address potential effects on migratory birds. These guidelines and an accompanying tower site evaluation form are posted on the USFWS website (<http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm>). According to USFWS, the guidelines reflect USFWS' judgment of "the most prudent and effective measures for avoiding bird strikes at towers."

The documented avian collision risk is primarily towers taller than 1000' that use steady burning red lights at night. Towers of this height are always supported with guyed wire cables. Steady burning red lights are part of the FAA's A-2 lighting system where a tower is painted aviation orange for daytime obstruction avoidance and red lights at night.

The MSWIN towers which have an average height of 425 feet, generally use two types of lighting systems, the white mid intensity strobes, FAA designation as D1 or D2 and the A1 or A2 system which uses orange and white paint in seven alternating bands for day time obstruction avoidance along with red lights at night for night time obstruction avoidance. Towers 500 feet or less use white mid intensity strobes and towers 500 to 600 feet use orange paint and red lights.

The MSWIN system uses the most avian friendly lights possible for tower sites, however the FAA does not approve the use of white lights in all geographic areas and on numerous occasions has denied such request, based primarily on the premise that white lights are more difficult to distinguish at night than red lights and are more problematic in areas where emergency medical helicopters and other low flying aircraft such as military may be present

Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting of Avian Injuries and Deaths at MSWIN Tower Sites

MWCC has in the past and will continue to include the following mitigation measures in the design and deployment of MSWIN.

1. White tower lights are used where allowed by the FAA. Where red lights are required, halogen strobes are used instead of pulsing incandescent beacons.

FEMA
Avian Mitigation Plan
Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network

2. Site construction incorporates limited road improvements and site fencing to reduce land disturbance.
3. Tower locations are placed to avoid known bird rookeries and nesting grounds, and inspection of tower sites post-construction will help identify instances of avian injuries and deaths.
4. Towers are designed to allow for future use of other radio systems to reduce the number of towers required in the area. The average height of MSWIN towers is 425 feet allowing MSWIN to provide coverage of 97% of Mississippi's land area with only 135 RF sites.
5. Security lighting for on ground equipment is designed to focus in the secure compound to minimize disturbance of surrounding areas.

Additionally, the State of Mississippi will monitor avian mortality around its tower sites by providing a collection kit at each site along with a process to record the location of the deceased bird and deliver its remains to the MDWFP for inclusion of the deceased avian into a central state data system. Over time, this practice will contribute scientific data that can be used by MDWFP and USFWS in determining the significance of potential impacts of towers on migratory birds. Where possible, attempts will be made to determine the cause of the bird's death (e.g., from a predator, virus, hunter or tower strike).

Each site will have a weather-proof enclosure containing rubber gloves, sealable containers of sufficient size to collect, contain and transport the deceased bird, and a form to document the circumstances of the bird's death. The carcasses will be collected in a manner to preserve the integrity of the find and transported to the MDWFP office in Jackson, MS for examination and documentation into the Avian Mortality database. USFWS will also be given access to the MDWFP's Avian Mortality database. If possible, the bird will be photographed in the field and at MDWFP.

In the unlikely event field technicians encounter an injured and living bird, all efforts will be made to recover, rehabilitate and release back to the wild. MWCC will work closely with the MDWFP to request assistance in those situations.

MDWFP and USFWS, upon request, will be allowed access to tower sites to document avian mortalities and injuries, monitor bird behavior, assess lighting impacts on migratory birds, and conduct similar research. If a tower is discovered to have adverse affects to migratory bird populations (i.e., greater than 10 bird kills per night), these towers will be reported immediately to the MDWFP, USFWS, and FEMA.


An annual report documenting the number of avian deaths recorded at MSWIN sites will be prepared for five consecutive years following conclusion of the construction of the towers comprising the MSWIN system. The reporting period will begin a year from the execution of this mitigation plan and extend until 5 years after the last FEMA funded tower is constructed. The report will be submitted to FEMA's Office of Environmental

FEMA
Avian Mitigation Plan
Mississippi Wireless Integrated Network

Planning and Historic Preservation and the Grant Program Directorate. The report will also be submitted to the USFWS in Jackson, MS (Ecological Services Office) and MDWFP.

Approved

 02/15/11
Elizabeth M. Harman Date
Assistant Administrator, Grant Program Directorate
Federal Emergency Management Agency

 2/8/2011
Jonmar Maldonado Date
Environmental Officer
Federal Emergency Management Agency

 02/8/2011
Bill Roach Date
Executive Officer
Mississippi Wireless Communication Commission

Appendix G

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 4:16 PM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Subject: Proposed Tower Structure Info - Email ID #2490970

Dear Henry A Fisher,

Thank you for submitting a notification regarding your proposed construction via the Tower Construction Notification System. Note that the system has assigned a unique Notification ID number for this proposed construction. You will need to reference this Notification ID number when you update your project's Status with us.

Below are the details you provided for the construction you have proposed:

Notification Received: 05/19/2010

Notification ID: 63881

Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi

Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher

Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411

City: Odenville

State: ALABAMA

Zip Code: 35120

Phone: 205-629-3868

Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower

Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N

Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W

Location Description: off of Enon Road

City: West Point

State: MISSISSIPPI

County: CLAY

Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters

Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level

Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level

Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 2:01 AM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Cc: kim.pristello@fcc.gov; diane.dupert@fcc.gov
Subject: NOTICE OF ORGANIZATION(S) WHICH WERE SENT PROPOSED TOWER CONSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION INFORMATION - Email ID #2494093

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this electronic mail message is to inform you that the following authorized persons were sent the information you provided through TCNS, which relates to your proposed antenna structure. The information was forwarded by the FCC to authorized TCNS users by electronic mail and/or regular mail (letter).

Persons who have received the information that you provided include leaders or their designees of federally-recognized American Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively "Tribes"), Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs). For your convenience in identifying the referenced Tribes and in making further contacts, the City and State of the Seat of Government for each Tribe and NHO, as well as the designated contact person, is included in the listing below. We note that Tribes may have Section 106 cultural interests in ancestral homelands or other locations that are far removed from their current Seat of Government. Pursuant to the Commission's rules as set forth in the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA), all Tribes and NHOs listed below must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to this notification, consistent with the procedures set forth below, unless the proposed construction falls within an exclusion designated by the Tribe or NHO. (NPA, Section IV.F.4).

The information you provided was forwarded to the following Tribes and NHOs who have set their geographic preferences on TCNS. If the information you provided relates to a proposed antenna structure in the State of Alaska, the following list also includes Tribes located in the State of Alaska that have not specified their geographic preferences. For these Tribes and NHOs, if the Tribe or NHO does not respond within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort at follow-up contact, unless the Tribe or NHO has agreed to different procedures (NPA, Section IV.F.5). In the event such a Tribe or NHO does not respond to a follow-up inquiry, or if a substantive or procedural disagreement arises between you and a Tribe or NHO, you must seek guidance from the Commission (NPA, Section IV.G). These procedures are further set forth in the FCC's Declaratory Ruling released on October 6, 2005 (FCC 05-176).

1. Historic Preservation Officer Bryant J Celestine - Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas - Livingston, TX - electronic mail

Details: Please consider this notification as our interest for consultation regarding your proposal. The Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas requests an administrative fee of \$300.00 for our services including internal file searches, elder consultations, and if necessary, travel expenses for a site visit to complete our determination regarding your proposal. TAKE NOTE of the following procedures as this will assist our efforts to provide your firm with the most efficient process in returning our determinations:

1. Submit your Form 620 or 621 by email to celestine.bryant@actribe.org. Each submission is logged and within 10 days of receipt, an invoice will be returned to the email account we

receive your supplemental information. IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED THIS BY 15DAYS, PLEASE INQUIRE.

2. INCLUDE your invoice number on your payment and submit according to the Invoice instructions. We cannot track your payment by project number so please do not submit without an invoice number.

3. Within 20 days of your original submission, you will receive an email response from our Office relating to our determinations for your proposal. This may occur despite a delay in fee payment. If you have not received our determination within 25 days, PLEASE INQUIRE.

4. IN THE EVENT OF AN OUTSTANDING BALANCE, a detailed invoice will be submitted in place of our determination. In this manner, your Section 106 obligations without Tribe ARE NOT complete until we have forwarded our written response indicating our determination.

5. If the applicant/tower builder decides to withdraw a proposal, please advise our office as soon as possible to avoid an outstanding balance in the future and any unnecessary research by our office.

Thank you, Bryant J. Celestine - Historic Preservation Officer

2. THPO Kenneth H Carleton - Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians - Choctaw, MS - electronic mail

Details: Please send all information via e-mail (and only via e-mail - no paper copies please) to: choctawhp@gmail.com (9 meg attachment limit)

The Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians wishes to see full information packets for all towers within the designated areas for consultation.

Form 620, if it includes the a full text of the cultural resource survey with maps, is adequate for our needs. If your 620 does not include the text of the cultural resource survey, then attach it seperately.

Please include the tower identification (TCNS#, name, and any other information that may help us identify this site) and the county and state where the facility is proposed in the subject line.

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians within 30 days AFTER YOU HAVE E-MAILED THE AFOREMENTIONED INFORMATION TO US (begin counting the 30 day period AFTER the e-mail with all of the information has been sent), then the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

3. Archaeological Data Analyst Jennifer L Pietarila - Seminole Tribe of Florida - Clewiston, FL - electronic mail

Details: The Seminole Tribe of Florida Tribal Historic Preservation Office requests that all correspondence be conducted via email and email attachments. We also would like to request a Form 620 or 621 be provided for every cell tower submitted to us for review. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at jenniferpietarila@semtribe.com or 863-983-6549 Ext. 12217. Thank you.

4. Historic Preservation Officer Virginia Nail - Chickasaw Nation - Ada, OK - electronic mail

5. Director of Cultural Resources & THPO Terry D Cole - Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma - Durant, OK - electronic mail and regular mail

Details: The Applicant may conclude that the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has no interest in a site if there is existing disturbance wherein the depth of the previous disturbance exceeds the proposed construction depth (excluding footing and other anchoring mechanisms) by at least 2 feet (Applying VI - D(2)(c)(i) of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement concerning Field Surveys; 'In the Matter of Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process,' Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd. 1073, WT Docket No. 03-128, October 5, 2004).

Furthermore, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma does not have an interest in a Tower that will be constructed on an existing structure, developed land, or within city limits. However, any of the above mentioned criteria should be communicated to us if not evident in the initial Notification Details. For all other towers, we request a signed field survey report that meets the Federal guidelines set forth by the Department of the Interior and a site location map along with pictures for each project.

Additionally, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has informed FCC Staff that if the Applicant does not receive a response from the Tribe within 30 days of a TCNS notification, then the Applicant SHOULD MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT WITH A FOLLOW-UP PHONE CALL to make sure that the tribe is aware of the proposed tower project. However, should construction expose buried archaeological or building materials such as chipped stone, tools, pottery, bone, historical crockery, glass or metal items, this office should be contacted immediately @ 1-800-522-6170 ext. 2137. [n.b. Please reference the TCNS number in all communications that follow the initial notification.]

6. MEKKO and Acting Tribal Administrator Jennie Lillard - Kialegee Tribal Town - Wetumka, OK - regular mail

Details: If the Applicant receives no response from the Kialegee Tribal Town within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Kialegee Tribal Town has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the site. The Applicant, however, must immediately notify the Kialegee Tribal Town in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction.

7. THPO Earl J Barbry Jr - Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana - Marksville, LA - regular mail

The information you provided was also forwarded to the additional Tribes and NHOs listed below. These Tribes and NHOs have NOT set their geographic preferences on TCNS, and therefore they are currently receiving tower notifications for the entire United States. For these Tribes and NHOs, you are required to use reasonable and good faith efforts to determine if the Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by its proposed undertaking. Such efforts may include, but are not limited to, seeking information from the relevant SHPO or THPO, Indian Tribes, state agencies, the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, or, where applicable, any federal agency with land holdings within the state (NPA, Section IV.B). If after such reasonable and good faith efforts, you determine that a Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties in the area and the Tribe or NHO does not respond to TCNS notification within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort to follow up, and must seek guidance

from the Commission in the event of continued non-response or in the event of a procedural or substantive disagreement. If you determine that the Tribe or NHO is unlikely to attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties within the area, you do not need to take further action unless the Tribe or NHO indicates an interest in the proposed construction or other evidence of potential interest comes to your attention.

None

The information you provided was also forwarded to the following SHPOs in the State in which you propose to construct and neighboring States. The information was provided to these SHPOs as a courtesy for their information and planning. You need make no effort at this time to follow up with any SHPO that does not respond to this notification. Prior to construction, you must provide the SHPO of the State in which you propose to construct (or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, if the project will be located on certain Tribal lands), with a Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA.

8. SHPO Lee Warner - Alabama Historical Commission - Montgomery, AL - electronic mail

9. Deputy SHPO Elizabeth Ann Brown - Alabama Historical Commission - Montgomery, AL - electronic mail

10. SHPO Cathie Matthews - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail

11. Deputy SHPO Ken Grunewald - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail

12. SHPO Elbert Hilliard - Mississippi Dept of Archives & History - Jackson, MS - regular mail

13. Deputy SHPO Kenneth H P'Pool - Division of Historic Preservation - Jackson, MS - electronic mail

If you are proposing to construct a facility in the State of Alaska, you should contact Commission staff for guidance regarding your obligations in the event that Tribes do not respond to this notification within a reasonable time.

Please be advised that the FCC cannot guarantee that the contact(s) listed above opened and reviewed an electronic or regular mail notification. The following information relating to the proposed tower was forwarded to the person(s) listed above:

Notification Received: 05/19/2010

Notification ID: 63881

Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi

Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher
Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411
City: Odenville
State: ALABAMA
Zip Code: 35120
Phone: 205-629-3868
Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower
Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N
Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W
Location Description: off of Enon Road
City: West Point
State: MISSISSIPPI
County: CLAY
Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters
Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

If you have any questions or comments regarding this notice, please contact the FCC using the electronic mail form located on the FCC's website at:

<http://wireless.fcc.gov/outreach/notification/contact-fcc.html>.

You may also call the FCC Support Center at (877) 480-3201 (TTY 717-338-2824). Hours are from 8 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays). To provide quality service and ensure security, all telephone calls are recorded.

Thank you,
Federal Communications Commission

Appendix H

General Information

1) (Select only one) (NE) NE - New		UA - Update of Application	WD - Withdrawal of Application
2) If this application is for an Update or Withdrawal, enter the file number of the pending application currently on file.			File Number:

Applicant Information

3) FCC Registration Number (FRN):
4) Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi

Contact Name

5) First Name: Taylor	6) MI:	7) Last Name: Robinson	8) Suffix: Mr.
9) Title:			

Contact Information

10) P.O. Box:	And /Or	11) Street Address: 31560 Blakeley Way	
12) City: Spanish Fort		13) State: AL	14) Zip Code: 36527
15) Telephone Number: (205) 266-4466		16) Fax Number: ()	
17) E-mail Address: trobinson@vulcancompany.com			

Consultant Information

18) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0019057413
19) Name: MRS Consultants, LLC.

Principal Investigator

20) First Name: Beth	21) MI: A	22) Last Name: Ryba	23) Suffix: Ms.
24) Title: Cultural Resource Specialist			

Principal Investigator Contact Information

25) P.O. Box: 3146	And /Or	26) Street Address:	
27) City: Tuscaloosa		28) State: AL	29) Zip Code: 35403
30) Telephone Number: (205) 759-1621		31) Fax Number: (205) 759-1621	
32) E-mail Address: earyba@earthlink.net			

Professional Qualification

33) Does the Principal Investigator satisfy the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Yes</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>No</u>
34) Areas of Professional Qualification: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeologist <input type="checkbox"/> Architectural Historian <input type="checkbox"/> Historian <input type="checkbox"/> Architect <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) _____	

Additional Staff

35) Are there other staff involved who meet the Professional Qualification Standards of the Secretary of the Interior?	<input type="checkbox"/> <u>Yes</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>No</u>
--	---

If "Yes", complete the following:

36) First Name:	37) MI:	38) Last Name:	39) Suffix:
40) Title:			
41) Areas of Professional Qualification: <input type="checkbox"/> Archaeologist <input type="checkbox"/> Architectural Historian <input type="checkbox"/> Historian <input type="checkbox"/> Architect <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) _____			

**This page may be copied to include additional staff.
Consultant Information Attachments required – See instructions for details.**

Site Information

Tower Construction Notification System

1) TCNS Notification Number: 63881

Site Information

2) Site Name: Reid Hill

3) Site Address: Enon Road

4) City: West Point

5) State: MS

6) Zip Code: 39741

7) County/Borough/Parish: Clay

8) Nearest Crossroads: Enon Road/State Hwy

9) NAD 83 Latitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 33-41-39.260

(X) N or () S

10) NAD 83 Longitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 88-52-29.826

() E or (X) W

Tower Information

11) Tower height above ground level (include top-mounted attachments such as lightning rods): 650 (X) Feet () Meters

12) Tower Type (Select One):

(X) Guyed lattice tower

() Self-supporting lattice

() Monopole

() Other (Describe):

Project Status

13) Current Project Status (Select One):

(X) Construction has not yet commenced

() Construction has commenced, but is not completed

Construction commenced on: ___/___/___

() Construction has been completed

Construction commenced on: ___/___/___

Construction completed on: ___/___/___

Site Information Attachments required - See instructions for details.

Determination of Effect

14) Direct Effects (Select One):

- No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE

15) Visual Effects (Select One):

- No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE

Determination of Effect Attachments required – See instructions for details.

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?	(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Yes (<input type="checkbox"/>) No
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: None	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas

Contact Name

5) First Name: Bryant	6) MI: J	7) Last Name: Celestine	8) Suffix:
9) Title: Historic Preservation Officer			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5</u> / <u>19</u> / <u>10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/No Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Have Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Other _____	

This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?	(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Yes (<input type="checkbox"/>) No
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u> Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: <u>None</u> Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>	

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: <p style="text-align: center;">Chickasaw Nation</p>

Contact Name

5) First Name: <u>Virginia</u>	6) MI:	7) Last Name: <u>Nail</u>	8) Suffix:
9) Title: <u>Historic Preservation Officer</u>			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5 / 19 / 10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> / / </u>
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/No Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Have Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Other _____	

**This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.**

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects? (<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Yes (<input type="checkbox"/>) No	
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: <u>None</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: <p style="text-align: center;">Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma</p>

Contact Name

5) First Name: <u>Terry</u>	6) MI: <u>D</u>	7) Last Name: <u>Cole</u>	8) Suffix:
9) Title: <u>Director of Cultural Resources and THPO</u>			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5 / 19 / 10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> / / </u>
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/No Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Have Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Other _____	

This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes () No
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: <u>None</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>	

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: <u>Kialegee Tribal Town</u>

Contact Name

5) First Name: <u>Jennie</u>	6) MI:	7) Last Name: <u>Lillard</u>	8) Suffix:
9) Title: <u>MEKKO and Acting Tribal Administrator</u>			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5</u> / <u>19</u> / <u>10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/No Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Have Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Other _____	

**This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.**

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?		(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Yes (<input type="checkbox"/>) No
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: None	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>	

Tribal/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians

Contact Name

5) First Name: Kenneth	6) MI: H	7) Last Name: Carleton	8) Suffix:
9) Title: THPO			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5</u> / <u>19</u> / <u>10</u>	11) Date Replied ___ / ___ / ___
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/No Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Have Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Other _____	

This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?	(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Yes (<input type="checkbox"/>) No
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: None	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>

Tribal/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Seminole Tribe of Florida

Contact Name

5) First Name: Jennifer	6) MI: L	7) Last Name: Pietarila	8) Suffix:
9) Title: Archaeological Data Analyst			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5</u> / <u>19</u> / <u>10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/No Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Have Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Other _____	

**This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.**

Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?		(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: <u>63881</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>7</u>	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: <u>None</u>	Number of Tribes/NHOs: <u>None</u>	

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:
4) Tribe/NHO Name: <u>Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana</u>

Contact Name

5) First Name: <u>Earl</u>	6) MI: <u>J</u>	7) Last Name: <u>Barbry</u>	8) Suffix: <u>Jr.</u>
9) Title: <u>THPO</u>			

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted <u>5 / 19 / 10</u>	11) Date Replied <u> / / </u>
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/No Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Have Interest	
(<input type="checkbox"/>) Replied/Other _____	

**This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs contacted.
Tribal/NHO Involvement Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.**

Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

Tribes/NHO Information

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN): None
2) Name: None

Contact Name

3) First Name:	4) MI:	5) Last Name:	6) Suffix:
7) Title:			

Contact Information

8) P.O. Box:	And /Or	9) Street Address:	
10) City:		11) State:	12) Zip Code:
13) Telephone Number: ()		14) Fax Number: ()	
15) E-mail Address:			
16) Preferred means of communication: () E-mail () Letter () Both			

Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted ____/____/____	18) Date Replied ____/____/____
() No Reply	
() Replied/No Interest	
() Replied/Have Interest	
() Replied/Other _____	

This page may be copied to include additional Tribes/NHOs.

Historic Properties

Properties Identified

1) Have any historic properties been identified within the APEs for direct and visual effect?	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>
2) Has the identification process located archaeological materials that would be directly affected, or sites that are of cultural or religious significance to Tribes/NHOs?	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>
3) Are there more than 10 historic properties within the APEs for direct and visual effect? If "Yes", you are required to attach a Cultural Resources Report in lieu of adding the Historic Property below.	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>

Historic Property

4) Property Name:
5) SHPO Site Number:

Property Address

6) Street Address:		
7) City:	8) State:	9) Zip Code:
10) County/Borough/Parish:		

Status & Eligibility

11) Is this property listed on the National Register? Source: _____	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>
12) Is this property eligible for listing on the National Register? Source: _____	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>
13) Is this property a National Historic Landmark?	(<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>Yes</u> (<input type="checkbox"/>) <u>No</u>

14) Direct Effects (Select One): <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect on this Historic Property in APE <input type="checkbox"/> No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE
15) Visual Effects (Select One): <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect on this Historic Property in APE <input type="checkbox"/> No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE

**This page may be copied to include additional Historic Properties.
Historic Property Attachments required – See instructions for details.**

Local Government Involvement

Local Government Agency

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):
2) Name: Clay County Board of Supervisors

Contact Name

3) First Name: Shelton	4) Mi: L	5) Last Name: Deanes	6) Suffix:
7) Title: President			

Contact Information

8) P.O. Box: 815	And /Or	9) Street Address:		
10) City: West Point		11) State: MS	12) Zip Code: 39773	
13) Telephone Number: ()		14) Fax Number: ()		
15) E-mail Address:				
16) Preferred means of communication:				
() E-mail				
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) Letter				
() Both				

Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted <u>2 / 22 / 10</u>	18) Date Replied <u> / / </u>
(<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) No Reply	
() Replied/No Interest	
() Replied/Have Interest	
() Replied/Other _____	

Additional Information

19) Information on local government's role or interest (optional):

This page may be copied to include additional local government agencies.
Local Government Attachments required – See instructions for details.

Other Consulting Parties

Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	---

Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):
3) Name: Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society

Contact Name

4) First Name:	5) MI:	6) Last Name:	7) Suffix:
8) Title:			

Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: 434	And /Or	10) Street Address:	
11) City: Tupelo		12) State: MS	13) Zip Code: 38802
14) Telephone Number: ()		15) Fax Number: ()	
16) E-mail Address:			
17) Preferred means of communication: <input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Both			

Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted <u>2</u> / <u>22</u> / <u>10</u>	19) Date Replied ____/____/____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/No Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Have Interest <input type="checkbox"/> Replied/Other _____	

Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties' role or interest (optional):

This page may be copied to include additional consulting parties.
Consulting Parties Attachments required – See instructions for details.

Designation of SHPO/THPO

1) Designate the Lead State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) based on the location of the tower.

SHPO/THPO

Name: H.T. Holmes, Mississippi Department of Archives and History

2) You may also designate up to three additional SHPOs/THPOs if the APEs include multiple states. If the APEs include other countries, enter the name of the National Historic Preservation Agency and any state and provincial Historic Preservation Agency.

SHPO/THPO Name: _____

SHPO/THPO Name: _____

SHPO/THPO Name: _____

Designation of SHPO/THPO Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.

Certification

I certify that all representations on this FCC Form 620 Submission Packet and the accompanying attachments are true, correct, and complete.


Party Authorized to Sign MRS Consultants, LLC. Principal Investigator

First Name: Beth

MI: A

Last Name: Ryba

Suffix: Ms.

Signature: 

Date: 2 / 12 / 10

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS APPLICATION MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE APPLICATION AND FORFEITURE OF ANY FEES PAID.

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FORM OR ANY ATTACHMENTS ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001) AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 503).

ATTACHMENT 1
Vitae are On File

ATTACHMENT 2
ATTACHMENT 7
ATTACHMENT 8
ATTACHMENT 9
ATTACHMENT 10
ATTACHMENT 11
ATTACHMENT 12

See Accompanying Cultural Resource Report

Attachment 3. Tribal and NHO Involvement

Initial notification to Native American tribes/organizations was submitted through the FCC Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). See the attached TCNS list for details.

Attachment 4. Local Government

Correspondence was submitted to the local government agency with jurisdiction over the project area for comment regarding potential impacts to historical or cultural sites by the proposed action. See the attached correspondence for more information.

Attachment 5. Public Involvement

A notice requesting comment regarding potential impacts to historical or archaeological properties by the proposed action was published. Please see the attached information for details regarding the public notice.

Attachment 6. Additional Consulting Parties (Where Applicable)

Correspondence was submitted to additional consulting parties for comment regarding potential impacts to historical or cultural sites by the proposed action. See the attached correspondence for more information. (Please note that no correspondence is included where additional consulting parties were not identified for a project.)

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 4:16 PM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Subject: Proposed Tower Structure Info - Email ID #2490970

Dear Henry A Fisher,

Thank you for submitting a notification regarding your proposed construction via the Tower Construction Notification System. Note that the system has assigned a unique Notification ID number for this proposed construction. You will need to reference this Notification ID number when you update your project's Status with us.

Below are the details you provided for the construction you have proposed:

Notification Received: 05/19/2010

Notification ID: 63881

Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi

Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher

Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411

City: Odenville

State: ALABAMA

Zip Code: 35120

Phone: 205-629-3868

Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower

Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N

Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W

Location Description: off of Enon Road

City: West Point

State: MISSISSIPPI

County: CLAY

Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters

Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level

Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level

Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 2:01 AM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Cc: kim.pristello@fcc.gov; diane.dupert@fcc.gov
Subject: NOTICE OF ORGANIZATION(S) WHICH WERE SENT PROPOSED TOWER CONSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION INFORMATION - Email ID #2494093

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this electronic mail message is to inform you that the following authorized persons were sent the information you provided through TCNS, which relates to your proposed antenna structure. The information was forwarded by the FCC to authorized TCNS users by electronic mail and/or regular mail (letter).

Persons who have received the information that you provided include leaders or their designees of federally-recognized American Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively "Tribes"), Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs). For your convenience in identifying the referenced Tribes and in making further contacts, the City and State of the Seat of Government for each Tribe and NHO, as well as the designated contact person, is included in the listing below. We note that Tribes may have Section 106 cultural interests in ancestral homelands or other locations that are far removed from their current Seat of Government. Pursuant to the Commission's rules as set forth in the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA), all Tribes and NHOs listed below must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to this notification, consistent with the procedures set forth below, unless the proposed construction falls within an exclusion designated by the Tribe or NHO. (NPA, Section IV.F.4).

The information you provided was forwarded to the following Tribes and NHOs who have set their geographic preferences on TCNS. If the information you provided relates to a proposed antenna structure in the State of Alaska, the following list also includes Tribes located in the State of Alaska that have not specified their geographic preferences. For these Tribes and NHOs, if the Tribe or NHO does not respond within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort at follow-up contact, unless the Tribe or NHO has agreed to different procedures (NPA, Section IV.F.5). In the event such a Tribe or NHO does not respond to a follow-up inquiry, or if a substantive or procedural disagreement arises between you and a Tribe or NHO, you must seek guidance from the Commission (NPA, Section IV.G). These procedures are further set forth in the FCC's Declaratory Ruling released on October 6, 2005 (FCC 05-176).

1. Historic Preservation Officer Bryant J Celestine - Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas - Livingston, TX - electronic mail

Details: Please consider this notification as our interest for consultation regarding your proposal. The Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas requests an administrative fee of \$300.00 for our services including internal file searches, elder consultations, and if necessary, travel expenses for a site visit to complete our determination regarding your proposal. TAKE NOTE of the following procedures as this will assist our efforts to provide your firm with the most efficient process in returning our determinations:

1. Submit your Form 620 or 621 by email to celestine.bryant@actribe.org. Each submission is logged and within 10 days of receipt, an invoice will be returned to the email account we

receive your supplemental information. IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED THIS BY 15DAYS, PLEASE INQUIRE.

2. INCLUDE your invoice number on your payment and submit according to the Invoice instructions. We cannot track your payment by project number so please do not submit without an invoice number.
3. Within 20 days of your original submission, you will receive an email response from our Office relating to our determinations for your proposal. This may occur despite a delay in fee payment. If you have not received our determination within 25 days, PLEASE INQUIRE.
4. IN THE EVENT OF AN OUTSTANDING BALANCE, a detailed invoice will be submitted in place of our determination. In this manner, your Section 106 obligations without Tribe ARE NOT complete until we have forwarded our written response indicating our determination.
5. If the applicant/tower builder decides to withdraw a proposal, please advise our office as soon as possible to avoid an outstanding balance in the future and any unnecessary research by our office.

Thank you, Bryant J. Celestine - Historic Preservation Officer

2. THPO Kenneth H Carleton - Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians - Choctaw, MS - electronic mail

Details: Please send all information via e-mail (and only via e-mail - no paper copies please) to: choctawhp@gmail.com (9 meg attachment limit)

The Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians wishes to see full information packets for all towers within the designated areas for consultation.

Form 620, if it includes the a full text of the cultural resource survey with maps, is adequate for our needs. If your 620 does not include the text of the cultural resource survey, then attach it seperately.

Please include the tower identification (TCNS#, name, and any other information that may help us identify this site) and the county and state where the facility is proposed in the subject line.

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians within 30 days AFTER YOU HAVE E-MAILED THE AFOREMENTIONED INFORMATION TO US (begin counting the 30 day period AFTER the e-mail with all of the information has been sent), then the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

3. Archaeological Data Analyst Jennifer L Pietarila - Seminole Tribe of Florida - Clewiston, FL - electronic mail

Details: The Seminole Tribe of Florida Tribal Historic Preservation Office requests that all correspondence be conducted via email and email attachments. We also would like to request a Form 620 or 621 be provided for every cell tower submitted to us for review. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at jenniferpietarila@semtribe.com or 863-983-6549 Ext. 12217. Thank you.

4. Historic Preservation Officer Virginia Nail - Chickasaw Nation - Ada, OK - electronic mail

5. Director of Cultural Resources & THPO Terry D Cole - Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma - Durant, OK - electronic mail and regular mail

Details: The Applicant may conclude that the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has no interest in a site if there is existing disturbance wherein the depth of the previous disturbance exceeds the proposed construction depth (excluding footing and other anchoring mechanisms) by at least 2 feet (Applying VI - D(2)(c)(i) of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement concerning Field Surveys; 'In the Matter of Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process,' Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd. 1073, WT Docket No. 03-128, October 5, 2004).

Furthermore, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma does not have an interest in a Tower that will be constructed on an existing structure, developed land, or within city limits. However, any of the above mentioned criteria should be communicated to us if not evident in the initial Notification Details. For all other towers, we request a signed field survey report that meets the Federal guidelines set forth by the Department of the Interior and a site location map along with pictures for each project.

Additionally, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has informed FCC Staff that if the Applicant does not receive a response from the Tribe within 30 days of a TCNS notification, then the Applicant SHOULD MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT WITH A FOLLOW-UP PHONE CALL to make sure that the tribe is aware of the proposed tower project. However, should construction expose buried archaeological or building materials such as chipped stone, tools, pottery, bone, historical crockery, glass or metal items, this office should be contacted immediately @ 1-800-522-6170 ext. 2137. [n.b. Please reference the TCNS number in all communications that follow the initial notification.]

6. MEKKO and Acting Tribal Administrator Jennie Lillard - Kialegee Tribal Town - Wetumka, OK - regular mail

Details: If the Applicant receives no response from the Kialegee Tribal Town within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Kialegee Tribal Town has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the site. The Applicant, however, must immediately notify the Kialegee Tribal Town in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction.

7. THPO Earl J Barbry Jr - Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana - Marksville, LA - regular mail

The information you provided was also forwarded to the additional Tribes and NHOs listed below. These Tribes and NHOs have NOT set their geographic preferences on TCNS, and therefore they are currently receiving tower notifications for the entire United States. For these Tribes and NHOs, you are required to use reasonable and good faith efforts to determine if the Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by its proposed undertaking. Such efforts may include, but are not limited to, seeking information from the relevant SHPO or THPO, Indian Tribes, state agencies, the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, or, where applicable, any federal agency with land holdings within the state (NPA, Section IV.B). If after such reasonable and good faith efforts, you determine that a Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties in the area and the Tribe or NHO does not respond to TCNS notification within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort to follow up, and must seek guidance

from the Commission in the event of continued non-response or in the event of a procedural or substantive disagreement. If you determine that the Tribe or NHO is unlikely to attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties within the area, you do not need to take further action unless the Tribe or NHO indicates an interest in the proposed construction or other evidence of potential interest comes to your attention.

None

The information you provided was also forwarded to the following SHPOs in the State in which you propose to construct and neighboring States. The information was provided to these SHPOs as a courtesy for their information and planning. You need make no effort at this time to follow up with any SHPO that does not respond to this notification. Prior to construction, you must provide the SHPO of the State in which you propose to construct (or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, if the project will be located on certain Tribal lands), with a Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA.

8. SHPO Lee Warner - Alabama Historical Commission - Montgomery, AL - electronic mail

9. Deputy SHPO Elizabeth Ann Brown - Alabama Historical Commission - Montgomery, AL - electronic mail

10. SHPO Cathie Matthews - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail

11. Deputy SHPO Ken Grunewald - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail

12. SHPO Elbert Hilliard - Mississippi Dept of Archives & History - Jackson, MS - regular mail

13. Deputy SHPO Kenneth H P'Pool - Division of Historic Preservation - Jackson, MS - electronic mail

If you are proposing to construct a facility in the State of Alaska, you should contact Commission staff for guidance regarding your obligations in the event that Tribes do not respond to this notification within a reasonable time.

Please be advised that the FCC cannot guarantee that the contact(s) listed above opened and reviewed an electronic or regular mail notification. The following information relating to the proposed tower was forwarded to the person(s) listed above:

Notification Received: 05/19/2010

Notification ID: 63881

Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi

Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher
Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411
City: Odenville
State: ALABAMA
Zip Code: 35120
Phone: 205-629-3868
Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower
Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N
Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W
Location Description: off of Enon Road
City: West Point
State: MISSISSIPPI
County: CLAY
Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters
Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

If you have any questions or comments regarding this notice, please contact the FCC using the electronic mail form located on the FCC's website at:

<http://wireless.fcc.gov/outreach/notification/contact-fcc.html>.

You may also call the FCC Support Center at (877) 480-3201 (TTY 717-338-2824). Hours are from 8 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays). To provide quality service and ensure security, all telephone calls are recorded.

Thank you,
Federal Communications Commission



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 22, 2010

Mr. Shelton L. Deanes, President
Clay County Board of Supervisors
P.O. Box 815
West Point, MS 39773

Subject:

**Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi**

Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Deanes:

Pursuant to the requirements of the March 2005 Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA) we are requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding impact to historical or cultural sites listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude $33^{\circ} 41' 38.7''$ north and longitude $88^{\circ} 52' 29.3''$ west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Please provide comment within thirty days of the date of this letter. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please call Mr. Henry Fisher at (205) 629-3868 or email him at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Attachments: Site Location Map

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

7007 2680 0002 3656 3481

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only. No Insurance Coverage Provided.)

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	2/23/10 Postmark Here
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 5.54	JSEED P1443

Sent To: Mr. Shelton L. Deanes, President
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

PS Form 3811, August 2003 See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Becky Cox</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) <i>BECKY COX</i> C. Date of Delivery <i>2/25/10</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>FEB 25 2010</p>
<p>1. Article Addressed to: <i>Mr. Shelton L Deanes, President</i> <i>Clay County Bd of Supervisors</i> <i>P.O. Box 815</i> <i>West Point, MS 39173</i></p>	<p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p>
<p>2. Article Number <i>(Transfer from service label)</i></p>	<p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

7007 2680 0002 3656 3481



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 22, 2010

Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society
P.O. Box 434
Tupelo, Mississippi 38802

Subject:

**Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi**
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the requirements of the March 2005 Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA) we are requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding impact to historical or cultural sites listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude $33^{\circ} 41' 38.7''$ north and longitude $88^{\circ} 52' 29.3''$ west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Please provide comment within thirty days of the date of this letter. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please call Mr. Henry Fisher at (205) 629-3868 or email him at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Attachments: Site Location Map

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

7007 2680 0002 3656 3498

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	2/23/10 Postmark: Here
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 5.54	JSEA1P1002

Send to:
 Northeast MS Historical + Gen Soc.
 Street, Apt. No.,
 or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

- Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Northeast MS Historical +
 Genealogical Society
 P.O. Box 434
 Dupont, MS 38802

A. Signature
[Signature] Agent
 Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery
 3-10-10

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) 7007 2680 0002 3656 3498

Henry Fisher

From: Cheryl Johnson [cjohnson@envciv.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 1:38 PM
To: 'Henry Fisher'
Subject: Legal Notice JSE01P1002

Daily Times Leader 5/23/10

Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) are requesting comment regarding construction of a 650 foot guyed communications tower to be located off of Enon Road, West Point, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 39.3" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.8" west.

We are also requesting comment, in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), regarding potential impacts to historical or archaeological properties listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), by the proposed communications tower.

All comments should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice referencing project JSE01P1002 and sent to the attention of Mr. Henry Fisher, Environmental Engineers, Inc., 11578 U.S. Highway 411, Odenville, AL 35120. Mr. Fisher may also be reached via email at towerinfo@envciv.com, via telephone at (205) 629-3868, or via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Appendix I



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

June 4, 2010

Mr. Jim Woodrick
Mississippi Department of Archives and History
Charlotte Capers Archives and History Building
100 South State St.
Jackson, MS 39201

Subject:
Section 106 Review
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Woodrick:

Environmental Engineers, Inc. is requesting a Section 106 review, on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for the above referenced site. Enclosed you will find a copy of the completed FCC Form 620 for the project site, including all attachments.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (205) 629-3868.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Enc. FCC Form 620

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

From: Origin ID: CZCA (205) 629-3868
HENRY FISHER
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC
11578 U.S. Highway 411



Ship Date: 07JUN10
Act/Wgt: 2.0 LB
CAD: 4760346/INET3010

Odenville, AL 35120

Delivery Address Bar Code




Ref # CEL1011,JSE1002,05,06,07,09
Invoice #
PO #
Dept #

SHIP TO: (601) 359-6040 **BILL SENDER**
Mr. Jim Woodrick
MS Dept of Archives & History
100 S STATE ST

JACKSON, MS 39201

TRK# 7936 1216 9079
0201

THU - 10 JUN A2
EXPRESS SAVER




39201

MS-US

MEM

SA JANA



6601222/5FE8

After printing this label:

1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com. FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss. Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$500, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our Service Guide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.

Cheryl Johnson

From: TrackingUpdates@fedex.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 11:32 AM
To: cjohnson@envciv.com
Subject: FedEx Shipment 793612169079 Delivered

This tracking update has been requested by:

Company Name: ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC
Name: HENRY FISHER
E-mail: cjohnson@envciv.com

Our records indicate that the following shipment has been delivered:

Reference: CELL1011,JSE1002,05,06,07,09
Ship (P/U) date: Jun 7, 2010
Delivery date: Jun 9, 2010 11:27 AM
Sign for by: D.SMITH
Delivered to: Receptionist/Front Desk
Service type: FedEx Express Saver
Packaging type: FedEx Pak
Number of pieces: 1
Weight: 4.00 lb.
Special handling/Services: Deliver Weekday

Tracking number: 793612169079

Shipper Information	Recipient Information
HENRY FISHER	Mr. Jim Woodrick
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC	MS Dept of Archives & History
11578 U.S. Highway 411	100 S STATE ST
Odenville	JACKSON
AL	MS
US	US
35120	39201

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 11:32 AM CDT on 06/09/2010.

[Learn more](#) about new ways to track with FedEx.

All weights are estimated.

To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above, or visit us at fedex.com.

This tracking update has been sent to you by FedEx on the behalf of the Requestor noted above. FedEx does not validate the authenticity of the requestor and does not validate, guarantee or warrant the authenticity of the request, the requestor's message, or the accuracy of this tracking update. For tracking results and fedex.com's terms of use, go to fedex.com.

6/9/2010



PO Box 571, Jackson, MS 39205-0571
601-576-6850 • Fax 601-576-6975
mdah.state.ms.us
H.T. Holmes, Director

Environmental Engineers Inc.

June 18, 2010

JUN 21 2010

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120

RECEIVED

RE: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower, West Point (EE Project No. JSE01P1002), MDAH Project Log #06-052-10 (Report #10-0440), Clay County

Dear Henry:

We have reviewed your June 4, 2010, cultural resources assessment request and February 12, 2010, cultural resources survey report by Beth Ryba, Principal Investigator, received on June 9, 2010, for the above referenced undertaking, pursuant to our responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and 36 CFR Part 800. After reviewing the information provided, we concur that no cultural resources listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places will be directed or visually affected. Therefore, we have no reservations with the undertaking.

There remains the possibility that unrecorded cultural resources may be encountered during the project. Should this occur, we would appreciate your contacting this office immediately in order that we may offer appropriate comments under 36 CFR 800.13.

Please provide a copy of this letter to Ms. Ryba. If you need further information, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Jim Woodrick', is written over a large, stylized initial 'J'.

Jim Woodrick
Review and Compliance Officer

FOR: H.T. Holmes
State Historic Preservation Officer

Appendix J

Henry Fisher

From: Henry Fisher [hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:50 PM
To: 'Bryant J. Celestine'
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881
Attachments: FCC Form 620 MSWIN 20508 Tower TCNS 63881.pdf

Mr. Bryant Celestine
Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Celestine:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have attached the FCC Form 620 prepared by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and I look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

Henry Fisher

From: Bryant J. Celestine [celestine.bryant@actribe.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 01, 2010 3:38 PM
To: 'Henry Fisher'
Subject: RE: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881

Dear Mr. Fisher:

On behalf of Mikko Oscola Clayton Sylestine and the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe, our appreciation is expressed on your efforts to consult us regarding TCNS #63881 in Clay County.

Our Tribe maintains ancestral associations within the state of Mississippi despite the absence of written documentation to completely identify Tribal activities, villages, trails, or burial sites. However, it is our objective to ensure significances of Native American ancestry, especially of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe, are administered with the utmost considerations.

Upon review of your June 4, 2010 submission, no known impacts to religious, cultural, or historical assets of the Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas are anticipated in conjunction with this proposal. However, in the event of inadvertent discovery of human remains and/or archaeological artifacts, activity in proximity to the location must cease and appropriate authorities, including this office, notified without delay for additional consultation.

Should you require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Bryant J. Celestine
Historic Preservation Officer
Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas
571 State Park Rd 56
Livingston, Texas 77351
936 - 563 - 1181
celestine.bryant@actribe.org

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2010 9:01 AM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Cc: tcns.fccarchive@fcc.gov; gingy.nail@chickasaw.net
Subject: Reply to Proposed Tower Structure (Notification ID: 63881) - Email ID #2517595

Dear Henry A Fisher,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Historic Preservation Officer Virginia Nail of the Chickasaw Nation in reference to Notification ID #63881:

We are unaware of any specific historic properties or traditional cultural, religious and/or sacred sites at this time. However, in the event of inadvertent discoveries, we expect all construction activities to cease and we be notified according to all applicable state and federal laws. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Gingy Nail, historic preservation officer at (580)559-0817, gingy.nail@chickasaw.net or Ms. Julie Ray, historic preservation and repatriation manager, at (580)559-0825, julie.ray@chickasaw.net.

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 05/19/2010
Notification ID: 63881
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi
Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher
Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411
City: Odenville
State: ALABAMA
Zip Code: 35120
Phone: 205-629-3868
Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower
Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N
Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W
Location Description: off of Enon Road
City: West Point
State: MISSISSIPPI
County: CLAY
Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters
Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

Henry Fisher

From: Henry Fisher [hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:48 PM
To: 'Caren Johnson'
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881
Attachments: FCC Form 620 MSWIN 20508 Tower TCNS 63881.pdf

Mr. Terry Cole
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Cole:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have attached the FCC Form 620 prepared by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and I look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

Henry Fisher

From: Caren Johnson [cjohnson@choctawnation.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 2:13 PM
To: Henry Fisher
Subject: RE: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881

July 21, 2010

Mr. Henry Fisher:

The Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma has reviewed cell tower(s) FCC # **63881** and based on the information provided to the best of our knowledge it will have no adverse effect on any historic properties in the project's area of potential effect. However, should construction expose buried archaeological or building materials such as chipped stone, tools, pottery, bone, historic crockery, glass or metal items, or should it uncover evidence of buried historic building materials such as rock foundations, brick, or hand poured concrete, this office should be contacted immediately @ 1-800-522-6170 ext. 2137.

Sincerely,

Caren Johnson
Administrative Assistant
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma
P. O. Box 1210
Durant, OK 74702-1210
1-580-924-8280 Ext. 2133
Fax 1-580-920-3181

From: Henry Fisher [mailto:hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:48 PM
To: Caren Johnson
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881

Mr. Terry Cole
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Cole:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon

Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have attached the FCC Form 620 prepared by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and I look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you have received this message in error, you are hereby notified that we do not consent to any reading, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the transmitted information. Please note that any view or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Choctaw Nation.

Henry Fisher

From: Henry Fisher [hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:50 PM
To: 'Carleton, Ken'; 'choctawhp@gmail.com'
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881
Attachments: FCC Form 620 MSWIN 20508 Tower TCNS 63881.pdf

Mr. Ken Carleton
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Carleton:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have attached the FCC Form 620 prepared by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and I look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

Henry Fisher

From: Henry Fisher [hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:51 PM
To: 'Jennifer Pietarila'
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881
Attachments: FCC Form 620 MSWIN 20508 Tower TCNS 63881.pdf

Ms. Jennifer Pietarila
Seminole Tribe of Florida

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Ms. Pietarila:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel. I have attached the FCC Form 620 prepared by MRS Consultants, LLC and Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance and I look forward to your response. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

Henry Fisher

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2010 9:51 AM
To: towerinfo@envciv.com
Cc: tcns.fccarchive@fcc.gov; jenniferpietarila@semtribe.com
Subject: Reply to Proposed Tower Structure (Notification ID: 63881) - Email ID #2503613

Dear Henry A Fisher,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Archaeological Data Analyst Jennifer L Pietarila of the Seminole Tribe of Florida in reference to Notification ID #63881:

To Whom It May Concern,

The Seminole Tribe of Florida Tribal Historic Preservation Office (STOF-THPO) has received your email correspondence concerning the aforementioned project. The STOF-THPO concurs with your findings of "no historic properties". However, the STOF-THPO would like to be informed should any archaeological and/or historic resources be discovered inadvertently during the construction process. We thank you for the opportunity to review the information that has been sent to date regarding this project.

We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,
W.S. Steele,
Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 05/19/2010
Notification ID: 63881
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Towers of Mississippi/State of Mississippi
Consultant Name: Henry A Fisher
Street Address: 11578 U.S. Highway 411
City: Odenville
State: ALABAMA
Zip Code: 35120
Phone: 205-629-3868
Email: towerinfo@envciv.com

Structure Type: GTOWER - Guyed Tower
Latitude: 33 deg 41 min 39.3 sec N
Longitude: 88 deg 52 min 29.8 sec W
Location Description: off of Enon Road
City: West Point
State: MISSISSIPPI
County: CLAY

Ground Elevation: 111.1 meters
Support Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 198.1 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 309.2 meters above mean sea level

Henry Fisher

From: Earl Barbry, Jr. [earlji@tunica.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2005 5:51 PM
To: Henry Fisher
Cc: Niki Jeter
Subject: Re: HELP! - I am stuck in FCC NPAI

Mr. Fisher,

Sorry about the delay. I would like to be notified of the cell tower requests, etc. by email. Also, should no comments be received from my department within the 30 day comment period, proceeding with the project can occur. I stand the same as the other Tribes regarding immediate work stoppage and contacting this office should inadvertent discovery occur.

Thank you for taking the time to contact me and getting my input into these matters. Let me know if this response is sufficient or if you need a letter on Tribal stationery mailed to you.

Should you need immediate assistance, and I am out of the office, speak to my Administrative Assistant, Ms. Amber (Niki) Jeter - dial the number below and hit 0 to speak to her.

Many thanks,

Earl J. Barbry, Jr., THPO
Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana
318-253-0213 ext. 6851

Henry Fisher

From: Henry Fisher [hfisher@envciv.com]
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2010 3:43 PM
To: 'earlii@tunica.org'
Subject: Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower TCNS 63881

Mr. Earl Barbry
Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana

Subject:
TCNS 63881
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Barbry:

I am requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regarding potential impacts to Native American religious or cultural sites by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi. This project was also submitted through the FCC TCNS on May 19, 2010 (TCNS ID # 63881).

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3" west. The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 650-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Thank you for your time and assistance. Based on the electronic mail that you sent to our office on May 3, 2005 it is my understanding that we may assume that the Tunica-Biloxi Indians of Louisiana concurs with the proposed project if we have not received a comment from your office within 30 days of submittal of project information to your office via electronic mail. Please call us at (205) 629-3868 or email me at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Fisher
Environmental Engineers, Inc.
11578 US Highway 411
Odenville, Alabama 35120
Tel (205) 629-3868
Fax (877) 847-3060

Appendix K



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 26, 2010

Mr. Slade Lindsay
Towers of Mississippi
State of Mississippi
31560 Blakely Way
Spanish Fort, Alabama 36532

Subject:

**Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Webster County, Mississippi**
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Lindsay:

Environmental Engineers, Inc. has completed the requested Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for the subject parcel. The enclosed report describes our study and presents our findings.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this assessment. If you have any questions regarding this report or if we may be of further service to you, please contact us at (205) 629-3868.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Enc. Phase I ESA Report (3 copies)

Cc w enc. Mr. Taylor Robinson, Towers of Mississippi (electronic copy)
Ms. Nancy Lindsay, Towers of Mississippi (electronic copy)

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Webster County, Mississippi
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Prepared for:
Towers of Mississippi
State of Mississippi
Spanish Fort, Alabama

February 26, 2010

Prepared by:
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PURPOSE.....	1
1.2 CERTIFICATION	1
1.3 SITE LOCATION	1
2.0 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS	2
3.0 CURRENT SITE CHARACTERISTICS.....	2
3.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS	2
3.2 SITE RECONNAISSANCE.....	2
3.3 SITE UTILITIES	2
3.4 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION	2
3.4.1 Hydrology	2
3.4.2 Geology	3
3.4.3 Soils.....	3
4.0 CURRENT AREA CHARACTERISTICS	3
4.1 ADJACENT PROPERTIES	3
4.2 PROPERTIES WITHIN 1,000-FOOT RADIUS	3
4.3 AREA UTILITIES.....	3
4.4 AREA GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY	4
5.0 SITE HISTORY – 1936 TO PRESENT	4
5.1 PROPERTY OWNERSHIP HISTORY	4
5.2 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS.....	4
5.3 SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE MAPS.....	4
5.4 SITE INTERVIEWS	4
6.0 AREA HISTORY – 1974 TO PRESENT	5
6.1 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS.....	5
6.2 SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE MAPS.....	5



7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY INFORMATION.....	5
7.1 SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY INFORMATION	5
7.2 GEOCODED SITES.....	5
7.3 NON-GEOCODED SITES.....	5
7.4 REGULATORY INTERVIEWS.....	6
8.0 ASTM/AAI USER QUESTIONNAIRE	6
9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	8
10.0 REFERENCES / INFORMATION SOURCES.....	8
11.0 SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.....	9

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Photographs
Figure 3	Site Photographs
Figure 4	Site Photographs
Figure 5	Site Photographs
Figure 6	Site Photographs

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Personnel Qualifications
Appendix B	Aerial Photographs
Appendix C	Report on Environmentally Regulated Facilities
Appendix D	General Conditions



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Mr. Taylor Robinson of Towers of Mississippi authorized a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for a lease portion of a larger parcel located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi. The Phase I study included the following services:

- a site reconnaissance to look for visual evidence of potential contamination;
- evaluation of land uses on surrounding properties which may have affected the project site;
- a general reconnaissance within a one-mile radius of the project site;
- review of specific environmental regulatory listings;
- review of available aerial photographs and historical records;
- review of published literature concerning site area geology, soils, and hydrology; and
- preparation of this report.

The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements and an access road located off Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi 39744. The site is comprised of wooded land consisting of hardwood trees ranging from less than two inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) to more than ten inches dbh and pine trees up to eight inches dbh. The site slopes gently down to the east. The southern guy anchor easement crosses a drainage ditch that discharges into the pond southwest of the proposed tower compound. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower and associated compound, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, and covering the compound with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. performed a *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment* in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-05 of the Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi, the *property*. This assessment has revealed no evidence of on- or off-site *recognized environmental conditions* in connection with the *property*. Based on the results of this assessment, Environmental Engineers, Inc. does not recommend further assessment of site soils or groundwater at this time.

It should be noted that this section is only intended to represent a brief summary of our findings, and is not a detailed account of all the information compiled in preparation of this report. The report should be reviewed in its entirety prior to drawing any final conclusions as to potential environmental conditions associated with the site.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this environmental assessment is to investigate and identify *recognized environmental conditions* associated with the site and/or surrounding property. *Recognized environmental conditions*, as defined in the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard Practice E1527-05, include the following:

“The presence or likely presence of any *hazardous substances* or *petroleum products* on a *property* under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any *hazardous substances* or *petroleum products* into structures on the *property* or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water of the *property*. The term includes *hazardous substances* or *petroleum products* even under conditions in compliance with laws. The term is not intended to include *de minimus* conditions that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies.”

1.2 CERTIFICATION

Environmental Engineers, Inc. declares that, to the best of our professional knowledge and belief, we meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in §312.10 of 40 CFR 312. Environmental Engineers, Inc. has the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. We have developed and performed all the appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312. We have included the qualifications for the Environmental Engineers, Inc. personnel that participated in this assessment as Appendix A.

1.3 SITE LOCATION

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle “Palo Alto, Mississippi,” dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 38.7” north and longitude 88° 52' 29.3” west (Figure 1).

This site is referred to as the Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower and is located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi. The current property owners are listed by the Webster County Tax Assessor’s Office as Robley Evans Wooten, Jr. and Kelvin Dalton Wooten, and the tax number for the parcel containing the site is 35150020100.



2.0 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS

Environmental Engineers, Inc. is unaware of any previous environmental assessments of the site.

3.0 CURRENT SITE CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS

The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements and an access road located off Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi 39744. The site is comprised of wooded land consisting of hardwood trees ranging from less than two inches in diameter at breast height (dbh) to more than ten inches dbh and pine trees up to eight inches dbh. The site slopes gently down to the east. The southern guy anchor easement crosses a drainage ditch that discharges into the pond southwest of the proposed tower compound. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower and associated compound, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, and covering the compound with gravel.

3.2 SITE RECONNAISSANCE

Environmental Engineers, Inc. conducted a site reconnaissance on February 4, 2010. The purpose of this visit was to observe the property and adjacent properties for evidence of *recognized environmental conditions*, as stated in Section 1.1. Site photographs are included as Figures 2 through 6.

No evidence of aboveground or underground storage tanks (ASTs/USTs), drums, buckets, transformers, stained soil, stressed vegetation, pits, ponds, lagoons, or noxious odors were noted at the site.

3.3 SITE UTILITIES

No utilities were observed on the site.

3.4 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION

3.4.1 Hydrology

Based on topographic interpretation, surface water runoff from the site is expected to flow generally west then south toward an unnamed tributary of Deans Lake. Groundwater beneath the site is inferred to flow toward the east and may be present at perhaps greater than 20 feet below ground surface (bgs).



3.4.2 Geology

According to the Mississippi Geological Survey, Geologic Map of Mississippi, dated 1969 and reprinted 1985, the site is underlain by the Ripley Formation of Cretaceous Age. The Ripley Formation consist of gray to greenish-gray fine glauconitic sand, clay, and sandy limestone.

3.4.3 Soils

According to the USDA's Soil Survey of Clay County, Mississippi, issued November 1976, site soils are classified as Smithdale-Ruston association, hilly. This association consists of well-drained soils on rough, hilly uplands. Smithdale soils typically have a surface layer of dark grayish-brown fine sandy loam about five inches thick. The subsurface layer is yellowish-brown sandy loam about seven inches thick. The subsoil extends to a depth of 80 inches or more. The upper 16 inches is red sandy clay loam, and the lower 52 inches is red sandy loam.

Ruston soils have a surface layer of yellowish-brown fine sandy loam about four inches thick. The upper 17 inches of the subsoil is yellowish-red sandy clay loam. The next layer is yellowish-red loam about nine inches thick. Below this is yellowish-red sandy clay loam that extends to a depth of 80 inches.

It should be noted that information listed in Section 3.4 of this report is for the general area of the site, and is not intended as a substitute for site-specific geotechnical and/or hydrological information.

4.0 CURRENT AREA CHARACTERISTICS

4.1 ADJACENT PROPERTIES

Properties adjacent to the site were observed to determine if there was any visible evidence of off-site land uses that might adversely affect the site. The site is immediately surrounded by wooded land.

4.2 PROPERTIES WITHIN 1,000-FOOT RADIUS

Properties within a 1,000-foot radius of the site were observed to determine if there was any visible evidence of off-site land uses that might adversely affect the site. The site is surrounded by wooded land with a single-family residence and Enon Road located farther east of the site.

4.3 AREA UTILITIES

According to Mr. Robley Wooten, Sr., former site owner, electrical service in the area of the site is provided by Four County Electric, water is provided by Siloam Water, and telephone service is provided by AT&T. Natural gas service is not available in the area of the site.



4.4 AREA GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

According to the Mississippi Geological Survey, Geologic Map of Mississippi, dated 1969 and reprinted 1985, the area surrounding the site is underlain by the Ripley Formation of Cretaceous Age. The Ripley Formation consist of gray to greenish-gray fine glauconitic sand, clay, and sandy limestone.

Based on topographic interpretation, surface water runoff and groundwater beneath the area surrounding the site are expected to flow toward Deans Lake.

5.0 SITE HISTORY – 1936 TO PRESENT

5.1 PROPERTY OWNERSHIP HISTORY

Property ownership information was reviewed in an effort to determine past ownership of the site. Property ownership information available at the Webster County Courthouse in West Point, Mississippi is listed in the table below. It should be noted that this information does not constitute a formal chain-of-title.

Property Ownership Information	
Years of Ownership	Property Owner
12/3/2003 – Present	Robley Evans Wooten, Jr. and Kelvin Dalton Wooten
9/3/1968 – 12/3/2003	Robley Evans Wooten, Sr. and wife, Bobbie Joe Dalton Wooten
10/9/1936 – 9/3/1968	Elmer Dalton and wife, Eula Edwards Dalton
Prior to 10/9/1936	H.C. Smith and wife

5.2 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Aerial photographs dated 1974, 1985, 1992, 1996, and 2004 including the subject site were examined. Each of the aerial photographs depicts the site as being partially grassed and partially wooded or wooded. Copies of the aerial photographs examined are included in Appendix B.

5.3 SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE MAPS

The site is located outside the limits covered by Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps.

5.4 SITE INTERVIEWS

A telephone interview was conducted with Mr. Robley Wooten, Sr., former site owner, regarding ownership and past use of the site. Mr. Wooten said that his wife was born and raised on the property containing the site and that she is 77 years old. Mr. Wooten said the property containing the site has been in his wife's family for more than 100 years, and the area comprising the site has always been wooded with the exception of a time probably more than 100 years ago when a house place was



located on or near the area for the proposed tower compound. Mr. Wooten said that the house did not have a water well nor did it have an underground tank for heating oil. Mr. Wooten said he was not aware of fuel tanks or drums or buckets of chemicals ever being stored on the site.

6.0 AREA HISTORY – 1974 TO PRESENT

6.1 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Aerial photographs dated 1974, 1985, 1992, 1996, and 2004 including properties surrounding the site were examined. The portions of the aerial photographs examined are included in Appendix B.

Each of the aerial photographs shows the property surrounding the site to be wooded. A single-family residence is visible east of the site and a pond is visible southwest of the site in all aerial photographs reviewed. A dirt trail leading to the pond traverses a portion of the site in all aerial photographs reviewed.

6.2 SANBORN FIRE INSURANCE MAPS

The area surrounding the site is located outside the limits covered by Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps.

7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY INFORMATION

Federal and state environmental regulatory records were reviewed by Environmental Engineers, Inc. to determine the environmental regulatory status of facilities identified within specific distances of the subject site. The databases reviewed and search radii for each database are designated by the ASTM Standard Practice E1527-05. FirstSearch Technology Corporation (FirstSearch) compiled this information (Appendix C). Descriptions of the acronyms used for each database are presented in the FirstSearch report.

7.1 SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY INFORMATION

The subject site is not listed on any existing federal or state environmental regulatory databases.

7.2 GEOCODED SITES

FirstSearch identified no facilities as being located within the search radii designated by ASTM.

7.3 NON-GEOCODED SITES

Due to inadequate address or other facility identifier information, FirstSearch could not plot some of the facilities contained within the federal and state databases on a map. However, these facilities are identified in the list of non-geocoded sites provided by FirstSearch. This summary was reviewed by Environmental Engineers, Inc. to determine if any of the facilities on or surrounding the site was



included on this list. The review indicated the facilities listed as non-geocoded were located outside the ASTM search distances of the site or were not topographically upgradient of the site.

7.4 REGULATORY INTERVIEWS

A telephone interview was conducted with Mr. Johnny Littlefield of the Clay County Emergency Management Agency (EMA) regarding hazardous material/environmental emergency responses in the area of the site. Mr. Littlefield, who has been with the EMA office since 2001 and has been with the fire department for more than 30 years, related that he is not aware of any hazardous material responses or other environmental emergency responses in the area of the site.

8.0 ASTM/AAI USER QUESTIONNAIRE

According to the ASTM E1527-05/EPA All Appropriate Inquiry (AAI) Standard, in order to qualify for one of the Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs) offered by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2001 (the “Brownfields Amendments”), the user must provide the following information (if available) to the environmental professional. Failure to provide this information could result in a determination that “all appropriate inquiry” is not complete.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. contacted Mr. Taylor Robinson of Towers of Mississippi to provide the required information. The ASTM/AAI user questionnaire and Mr. Robinson’s answers are summarized in the following paragraphs.

(1.) **Environmental cleanup liens that are filed or recorded against the site (40 CFR 312.25).**

Are you aware of any environmental cleanup liens against the *property* that are filed or recorded under federal, tribal, state or local law?

Mr. Robinson indicated that he was not aware of any such liens.

(2.) **Activity and land use limitations that are in place on the site or that have been filed or recorded in a registry (40 CFR 312.26).**

Are you aware of any AULS, such as *engineering controls*, land use restrictions or *institutional controls* that are in place at the site and/or have been filed or recorded in a registry under federal, tribal, state or local law?

Mr. Robinson indicated that he was not aware of any land use limitations at the site.

(3.) **Specialized knowledge or experience of the person seeking to qualify for the LLP (40 CFR 312.28).**

As the *user* of this *ESA* do you have any specialized knowledge or experience related to the *property* or nearby properties? For example, are you involved in the same line of business as the current or former *occupants* of the *property* or an adjoining *property* so that you would have specialized knowledge of the chemicals and processes used by this type of business?



Mr. Robinson indicated that he did not have specialized knowledge or experience related to the property or nearby properties.

(4.) **Relationship of the purchase price to the fair market value of the *property* if it were not contaminated (40 CFR 312.29).**

Does the purchase price being paid for this *property* reasonably reflect the fair market value of the *property*? If you conclude that there is a difference, have you considered whether the lower purchase price is because contamination is known or believed to be present at the *property*?

Mr. Robinson indicated that there was only a leasehold interest in the property, which is at fair market value for the purposes they intend.

(5.) **Commonly known or *reasonably ascertainable* information about the *property* (40 CFR 312.30).**

Are you aware of commonly known or *reasonably ascertainable* information about the *property* that would help the *environmental professional* to identify conditions indicative of releases or threatened releases? For example, as *user*,

(a). Do you know the past users of the *property*?

Mr. Robinson stated that he was unaware of the past user of the property.

(b). Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the *property*?

Mr. Robinson indicated that he was not aware of specific chemicals formerly or currently present on the property.

(c). Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the *property*?

Mr. Robinson indicated he was not aware of spills or other chemical releases on the property.

(d). Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the *property*?

Mr. Robinson indicated that he was unaware if any environmental cleanup had occurred at the site in the past.

(6.) **The degree of obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property, and the ability to detect the contamination by appropriate investigation (40 CFR 312.31).**



As the *user* of this *ESA*, based on your knowledge and experience related to the *property* are there any *obvious* indicators that point to the presence or likely presence of contamination at the *property*?

Mr. Robinson indicated that he was not aware of any obvious indicators that point to the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Environmental Engineers, Inc. performed a *Phase I Environmental Site Assessment* in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-05 of the Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Communications Tower located off of Enon Road near West Point, Mississippi, the *property*. This assessment has revealed no evidence of on- or off-site *recognized environmental conditions* in connection with the *property*. Based on the results of this assessment, Environmental Engineers, Inc. does not recommend further assessment of site soils or groundwater at this time.

10.0 REFERENCES / INFORMATION SOURCES

Research and evaluation of the environmental conditions at the site and surrounding properties included utilization of the following sources:

1. Geologic information published by the United States Geological Survey and the Geological Survey of Mississippi.
2. USGS 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987.
3. Soils information published in the USDA's Soil Survey of Clay County, Mississippi, issued November 1976.
4. Property ownership records and deeds reviewed at the Clay County Courthouse in West Point, Mississippi.
5. Aerial photographs available at the USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA) office in West Point, and Mapcard.com Internet website.
6. Telephone interview with Mr. Johnny Littlefield of the Clay County EMA regarding hazardous materials responses or other environmental emergency responses in the area of the site.
7. Review of environmental regulatory report for the site prepared by FirstSearch Technology Corporation, dated January 28, 2010.
8. Telephone interview with Mr. Robley Wooten, Sr., previous site owner, regarding past history and use of the site and local utility providers.



9. Review of AAI Questionnaire results provided by Mr. Taylor Robinson of Towers of Mississippi.

11.0 SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This Phase I ESA has been conducted in accordance with the ASTM *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Site Assessment Process*, designation E1527-05.

Historical and environmental information pertaining to the subject site has been included in this report to the extent that such information is “publicly available” and “practically reviewable,” as defined in the above-referenced standard practice manual, within reasonable time and monetary constraints.

Conclusions stated herein are based upon publicly available information and other documented sources. Environmental Engineers, Inc. assumes no responsibility for inaccurate information that is not otherwise obvious in light of information of which Environmental Engineers, Inc. has actual knowledge.

Services not within the scope of this study include, but are not limited to, the following:


- an investigation of mining structures under the project site;
- an investigation of potential asbestos-containing materials at the site;
- an investigation for potential jurisdictional wetlands on the site;
- an investigation for potential mold in any onsite structures;
- an investigation of the likelihood of sinkhole activity around the site; and
- an investigation for the presence of unacceptable levels of radon-producing elements in surface soils on the project site.

This report may be relied upon by Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and their lenders, subject to the terms and conditions included as Appendix D. No other person may rely on this report without written authorization from Environmental Engineers, Inc.

This assessment is intended to reduce, not eliminate, the level of environmental uncertainty associated with the site. Environmental Engineers, Inc. is not responsible for the conclusions made by others based on this assessment.



Figures



Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
 Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
 West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
 Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 1
 Site Location Map
 Scale: 1" = 2,000'





View from the center of the site toward the west.



View from the center of the site toward the north.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 2
Site Photographs





View from the center of the site toward the east.



View from the center of the site toward the south.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 3
Site Photographs





View along the northwestern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.



View along the southern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 4
Site Photographs





View along the northeastern guy anchor easement looking toward the site.



View from the southeast compound corner looking across the site to the northwest compound corner.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 5
Site Photographs





View from the access road turn looking toward the access road entrance.



View of the front of the residence located adjacent to the access road entrance.

Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Figure 6
Site Photographs



Appendix A

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
President/Principal Engineer

EXPERTISE:

Responsible for Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, UST Closure Assessments, UST site investigations, preparation of a Confirmatory Sampling Workplan for a RCRA facility, Confirmatory Sampling at a RCRA facility, groundwater and soil sampling, development of Best Management Practices Plans for industrial facilities and construction sites, NPDES compliance inspections and sampling for both industrial and construction sites, and management and supervision of over 40 local stormwater facilities as well as 700 locations for Jefferson County. Responsible for preparation of Asbestos Abatement Plans for schools and commercial and industrial facilities. Responsible for Asbestos Surveys at schools and commercial, industrial, and residential sites.

Geotechnical Investigations including laboratory testing and engineering analysis.

Preparation of Toxic Release Chemical Inventory Reporting Form R's, preparation of EPCRA Tier II reporting forms, compliance with local, state, and federal regulations and reporting requirements for solid waste, hazardous waste, air, groundwater, and stormwater.

PROJECT EXPERIENCE:

- Project Manager for geotechnical investigations involving shallow and deep foundations, sinkholes, and rock anchors throughout the Southeastern United States.
- Site Geotechnical engineer and Project Manager for repair of a collapsed 10' diameter sewer line in Ensley, Alabama.
- Project Manager for Phase I and II ESAs: conducted and managed environmental site assessments for real estate transactions throughout the Southeastern United States.
- Project Manager for UST Closure Assessments: conducted and managed UST closure assessments throughout the State of Alabama.
- Project Manager for NPDES Permitting: supervised compliance for industrial facilities and construction sites throughout Jefferson and Shelby Counties in the State of Alabama.
- Project Manager and supervisor for NPDES Permitting: supervised compliance for over 40 industrial facilities and construction sites throughout Jefferson and Shelby Counties in the State of Alabama. Also, supervisor for inspection of 700 outfalls in Jefferson County for Jefferson County during 1995.
- Project Manager for preliminary investigation on possible contaminated facility that was Alabama Underground Storage Tank (AUST) trust fund eligible.
- Project Manager for an Emergency Response incident at a facility in Bessemer, Alabama.
- Responsible for compilation and implementation of a Confirmatory Sampling Workplan at a RCRA facility located in Jefferson County, Alabama.
- Responsible for preparation of an Asbestos Abatement Plan to be implemented at an off-shore oil drilling platform.

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

Project Engineer, Gallet & Associates, Inc. Birmingham, Alabama
1991- 1/98; Engineering Aide, 1990-1991

Environmental Engineer, U.S. Pipe and Foundry Company – North Birmingham Plant,
2/98 – 11/99

Engineer, Environmental Engineers, Inc., Odenville, Alabama
1/1999 - Present

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, 1991

CERTIFICATIONS:

Professional Engineer certification - Alabama, 1997
Professional Engineer certification - Georgia, 2000
Professional Engineer certification - Tennessee, 2003
Professional Engineer certification - South Carolina, 2003
Professional Engineer certification - North Carolina, 2005
Professional Engineer certification - Mississippi, 2005
Professional Engineer certification - Texas, 2005
40-Hour OSHA trained, 1995
AHERA Inspector/Management Planner certification, 1998
AHERA Asbestos Abatement Project Designer, 1999
Lead-Based Paint Inspector/Risk Assessor certification, 2007

Henry A. Fisher, P.E.
Vice-President/Principal Engineer

EXPERTISE:

Responsible for performing NPDES compliance inspections and sampling for industrial facilities and construction sites and development of Best Management Practices Plans for industrial facilities and construction sites. Responsible for groundwater and soil sampling, groundwater remediation; including free product recovery, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, Environmental Transaction Screens, UST Closure Assessments, UST site investigations, preparation of a Confirmatory Sampling Workplan for a RCRA facility, Confirmatory Sampling at a RCRA facility, preparation of SPCC Plans, preparation of EPCRA Tier II reporting forms, and preparation and implementation of soil and surface water sampling programs for a biosolids land-application facility. Responsible for Asbestos Surveys at various commercial, residential, and commercial facilities. Conducted National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Checklists for numerous telecommunication facilities throughout the Southeastern United States.

PROJECT EXPERIENCE:

- Conducted and managed Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments for real estate transactions throughout the Southeastern United States.
- Conducted and managed UST Closure Assessments and Preliminary Investigations throughout the State of Alabama.
- Performed NPDES compliance inspections and sampling for construction sites in Blount, Jefferson, Shelby, St. Clair, Talladega, and Tuscaloosa counties.
- Developed Best Management Practices Plans for industrial facilities in Shelby and Talladega counties.
- Developed and maintained a “free-product” recovery system for use in Jackson, MS and Boligee, AL.
- Responsible for development and implementation of sampling plans at three Jefferson County biosolids land application facilities.
- Responsible for implementation of a Confirmatory Sampling Workplan at a RCRA facility located in Jefferson County, Alabama.
- Responsible for performing triennial asbestos inspections and Asbestos Surveys at schools throughout Alabama.
- Provided project coordination and air sampling services for an Asbestos Abatement on an off-shore oil drilling platform.
- Responsible for performing an Asbestos Survey for a large hospital located in Sylacauga, Alabama.
- Conducted and managed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Checklist activities associated with wireless telecommunications facilities throughout the Southeastern United States.

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

Project Engineer, Gallet & Associates, Inc. Birmingham, Alabama
12/1994 - 1/1999.

Vice-President/Engineer, Environmental Engineers, Inc. Odenville, Alabama
1/1999 - Present

EDUCATION:

Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering, August 1994 from the University of Alabama at Birmingham.

CERTIFICATIONS:

Professional Engineer certification - Alabama, 1999
Professional Engineer certification - Mississippi, 2000
Professional Engineer certification – Florida, 2007
Professional Engineer certification – Kentucky, 2007
40-Hour OSHA training, 1999

Appendix B




Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Appendix B
1974 Aerial Photograph






Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Appendix B
1985 Aerial Photograph






Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Appendix B
1992 Aerial Photograph






Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Appendix B
1996 Aerial Photograph





Environmental Engineers, Inc.

Subject:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi
Project No.: JSE01P1002

Appendix B
2004 Aerial Photograph



Appendix C

FirstSearch Technology Corporation

Environmental FirstSearch™ Report

Target Property: MSWIN 20508 B REID HILL

ENON ROAD

WEST POINT MS 39773

Job Number: JSE01P1002

PREPARED FOR:

Environmental Engineers, Inc

112578 US Hwy 411

Odenville, AL 35120

01-28-10



Tel: (407) 265-8900

Fax: (407) 265-8904

Environmental FirstSearch Search Summary Report

Target Site: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

FirstSearch Summary

Database	Sel	Updated	Radius	Site	1/8	1/4	1/2	1/2>	ZIP	TOTALS
NPL	Y	11-11-09	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NPL Delisted	Y	11-11-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
CERCLIS	Y	12-01-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
NFRAP	Y	12-01-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
RCRA COR ACT	Y	12-11-09	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCRA TSD	Y	12-11-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
RCRA GEN	Y	12-11-09	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	4	4
Federal Brownfield	Y	01-19-10	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
ERNS	Y	12-10-09	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	12	12
Tribal Lands	Y	01-01-96	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
State/Tribal Sites	Y	01-01-10	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
State Spills 90	Y	NA	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	0	0
State/Tribal SWL	Y	07-27-07	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	4	4
State/Tribal LUST	Y	10-21-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	2	2
State/Tribal UST/AST	Y	10-21-09	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	32	32
State/Tribal EC	Y	01-01-10	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
State/Tribal IC	Y	01-01-10	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	1	1
State/Tribal VCP	Y	01-01-10	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	4	4
State/Tribal Brownfields	Y	01-01-10	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	11	11
FI Map Coverage	Y	07-14-08	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	0	0
Federal IC/EC	Y	12-14-09	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
- TOTALS -				0	0	0	0	0	81	81

Notice of Disclaimer

Due to the limitations, constraints, inaccuracies and incompleteness of government information and computer mapping data currently available to FirstSearch Technology Corp., certain conventions have been utilized in preparing the locations of all federal, state and local agency sites residing in FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s databases. All EPA NPL and state landfill sites are depicted by a rectangle approximating their location and size. The boundaries of the rectangles represent the eastern and western most longitudes; the northern and southern most latitudes. As such, the mapped areas may exceed the actual areas and do not represent the actual boundaries of these properties. All other sites are depicted by a point representing their approximate address location and make no attempt to represent the actual areas of the associated property. Actual boundaries and locations of individual properties can be found in the files residing at the agency responsible for such information.

Waiver of Liability

Although FirstSearch Technology Corp. uses its best efforts to research the actual location of each site, FirstSearch Technology Corp. does not and can not warrant the accuracy of these sites with regard to exact location and size. All authorized users of FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s services proceeding are signifying an understanding of FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s searching and mapping conventions, and agree to waive any and all liability claims associated with search and map results showing incomplete and or inaccurate site locations.

***Environmental FirstSearch
Site Information Report***

Request Date: 01-28-10
Requestor Name: Anne Gilbert
Standard: AAI

Search Type: COORD
Job Number: JSE01P1002

Target Site: ENON ROAD
 WEST POINT MS 39773

Demographics

Sites: 81	Non-Geocoded: 81	Population: NA
Radon: 0.2 - 1.1 PCI/L		

Site Location

	<u>Degrees (Decimal)</u>	<u>Degrees (Min/Sec)</u>		<u>UTMs</u>
Longitude:	-88.874805	-88:52:29	Easting:	326231.75
Latitude:	33.694083	33:41:39	Northing:	3729621.399
Elevation:	351		Zone:	16

Comment

Comment:CLAY COUNTY, MS

Additional Requests/Services

Adjacent ZIP Codes: 0 Mile(s)	Services:																																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>ZIP Code</th> <th>City Name</th> <th>ST</th> <th>Dist/Dir</th> <th>Sel</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	ZIP Code	City Name	ST	Dist/Dir	Sel						<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Requested?</th> <th>Date</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Fire Insurance Maps</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aerial Photographs</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Historical Topos</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>City Directories</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Title Search/Env Liens</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Municipal Reports</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Online Topos</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Requested?	Date	Fire Insurance Maps	No		Aerial Photographs	No		Historical Topos	No		City Directories	No		Title Search/Env Liens	No		Municipal Reports	No		Online Topos	No	
ZIP Code	City Name	ST	Dist/Dir	Sel																															
	Requested?	Date																																	
Fire Insurance Maps	No																																		
Aerial Photographs	No																																		
Historical Topos	No																																		
City Directories	No																																		
Title Search/Env Liens	No																																		
Municipal Reports	No																																		
Online Topos	No																																		

Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

TOTAL: 81 **GEOCODED:** 0 **NON GEOCODED:** 81 **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	UST	BENNETT GROCERY 7748/FACILITY INACTIVE	TIBBEE WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	RCRAGN	MITCHELL BUICK-PONTIAC-GMC MSD985967322/VGN	HIGHWAY 45 ALTERNATE NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY (FOR MSST-1106-174	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	ROADMASTER (SEE FLEXIBLE FLYER) MSST-1205-1066	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY WEST PO ST-1052/SNFA	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	YORK CASKET COMPANY MSST-0202-0006/ACTIVE	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	SWL	CITY OF COLUMBUS TRANSFER STA. TRA-A-21/ACTIVE	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	SWL	CITY OF WEST POINT SANITARY LANDFI LND-C-12/CLOSED	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR ST-536	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	SWL	WEST POINT RUBBISH SITE RUB-A1-7/ACTIVE	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR MSST-1002-0003	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	BIG YANK CORPORATION 10289/FACILITY INACTIVE	INDUSTRIAL PARK RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	BRYAN PRINT SHOP FACILITY 10930/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 50 WEST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CAROTHERS INC 9847/FACILITY INACTIVE	COMMERCE ST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CB S PAC-A-SAC 7744/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 50 WEST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CHARTER FOOD STORE 2483 832/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CITY TOBACCO and CC 10442/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CLARK VENDING COMPANY 1351/FACILITY INACTIVE	OLD ABERDEEN RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CLAY COUNTY DISTRICT 1 SHED 1873/FACILITY INACTIVE	BARTON FERRY RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	SWL	WEST POINT RUBBISH LANDFILL TIR-COL-20	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A

Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

TOTAL: 81 **GEOCODED:** 0 **NON GEOCODED:** 81 **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	ERNS	SECTION RD NRC-719084/RAILROAD NON-RELEASE	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	RCRAGN	TVA WEST POINT SUBSTATION MS0000044503/VGN	TVA RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	RCRAGN	WAL MART SUPERCENTER NUMBER 115 MSR000103762/VGN	1313 HIGHWAY 45 S WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	RCRAGN	WAL-MART 155 MSR000003434/VGN	ROUTE 5, BOX 121 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	ALONG TVA ROAD IN DITCHES NRC-888098/FIXED	ALONG TVA IN DITCHES RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	COLUMBUS and GREENVILLE RY 540555/RAILROAD	MAIN TRACK WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	FARM 5 - WALKER GIN ROAD - HOAG F NRC-590030/STORAGE TANK	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	NEAR HARMAN LAKE RD NRC-915792/RAILROAD	NEAR HARMAN LAKE RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY (FOR MSST-1205-987	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	PRAXAIR INC 582026/HIGHWAY RELATED	E HALF ST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	COUNTY LINE GROCERY 2740/FACILITY INACTIVE	RT 3 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTH 519168/UNKNOWN	TVA ROAD/HWY 45 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	TEXAS EASTERN TRANS CORP 348740/PIPELINE RELATED	MAIN LINE LAUNCHER WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	TOM SOYA GRAIN CO. 264685/FIXED FACILITY	OLD HY 50 EAST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	UNKNOWN SHEEN INCIDENT NRC-824764/FIXED	1341 PATE RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	NRC-576702/FIXED	INDUSTRIAL ACCESS RD WESTPOINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	MDOT - BEARDS GROCER MSST-1106-53	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR MSST-0802-0003	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	STATE	MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR MSST-0105-0120	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	ERNS	ON NORMAN ROAD NRC-915278/MOBILE	ON NORMAN RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A

Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

TOTAL: 81 **GEOCODED:** 0 **NON GEOCODED:** 81 **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	BROWNFIELD	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS AMORY R/S MSST-0112-13/SNFA	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	LUST	CLAY COUNTY DISTRICT 1 SHED 1873/CLOSED	BARTON FERRY RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	LUST	STAFFORD S FARM MARKET 8125/CLOSED	MAIN ST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	MDOT - BEARDS GROCER MSST-0105-0120	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	MDOT - BEARDS GROCER MSST-1106-53	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY (FOR MSST-1205-987	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY (FOR MSBF-1106-22	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	PENSKE TRUCK LEASING FACILITY (FOR MSST-1106-174	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CLAY COUNTY DISTRICT 5 1870/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 50 WEST (PHEBA) WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS AMORY M/S MSST-0112-12/SNFA	UNKNOWN WESTPOINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	WAVERLY GROCERY 11134/FACILITY INACTIVE	WAVERLY RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS WEST POINT M/ MSST-0112-139/SNFA	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY WEST PO ST-1052/SNFA	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	YORK CASKET COMPANY MSST-0202-0006/ACTIVE	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	TRIBALLAND	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS CONTACT I BIA-39773	UNKNOWN MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	VCP	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS AMORY M/S MSST-0112-12/SNFA	WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	VCP	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS AMORY R/S MSST-0112-13/SNFA	WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	VCP	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS WEST POINT M/ MSST-0112-139/SNFA	WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	VCP	YORK CASKET COMPANY MSST-0202-0006/ACTIVE	WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	BROWNFIELD	ROADMASTER (SEE FLEXIBLE FLYER) MSST-1205-1066	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A

Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

TOTAL: 81 **GEOCODED:** 0 **NON GEOCODED:** 81 **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	UST	MYERS GROCERY 7747/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 46 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	INSTCONTROL	SARA LEE FOODS ST-94/INSTITUTIONAL CONTRO	UNKNOWN WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	DISTRICT 3 SHED 1872/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 47 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	ELLIOTT S GROCERY 7823/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 50 EAST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	EXXON R\ S 5-2168 3667/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	GREENACRE FARM 9344/FACILITY INACTIVE	RT 2 BOX 40 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	LANTZ S EXXON 9887/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	MCCHAREN FIELD 9446/FACILITY INACTIVE	AIRPORT WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	MIKE S KWIK STOP 12867/FACILITY ACTIVE	6949 STATE HIGHWAY 50 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	WEST POINT POWER SERVICE CENTER 9959/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 WE ST N WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	MS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE and C 4160/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 47 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	WEST POINT MAINTENANCE HQ MSHD 2895/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 47 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	NORTHSIDE TRUCK STOP 8891/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 ALTERNATE WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	OMS 14 725/FACILITY INACTIVE	/2 MILE RD WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	PRAIRIE LIVESTOCK CORPORATION 10614/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 ALT SOUTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	RUSSELL S GROCERY 7746/FACILITY INACTIVE	MONTPELIER WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	STAFFORD S FARM MARKET 8125/FACILITY INACTIVE	MAIN ST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	U-SAVE RENTALS 6789/FACILITY INACTIVE	E MAIN ST WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	WAG A BAG 4924/FACILITY INACTIVE	EAST HIGHWAY 26 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A
	UST	CLAY COUNTY TRUCK and TRAILER 1431/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH PO BOX 282 WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A

***Environmental FirstSearch
Sites Summary Report***

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

TOTAL: 81 **GEOCODED:** 0 **NON GEOCODED:** 81 **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	UST	MITCHELL BUICK PONTIAC 5494/FACILITY INACTIVE	HIGHWAY 45 NORTH WEST POINT MS 39773	NON GC	N/A	N/A

Environmental FirstSearch Descriptions

NPL: EPA NATIONAL PRIORITY LIST - The National Priorities List is a list of the worst hazardous waste sites that have been identified by Superfund. Sites are only put on the list after they have been scored using the Hazard Ranking System (HRS), and have been subjected to public comment. Any site on the NPL is eligible for cleanup using Superfund Trust money.

A Superfund site is any land in the United States that has been contaminated by hazardous waste and identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a candidate for cleanup because it poses a risk to human health and/or the environment.

FINAL - Currently on the Final NPL

PROPOSED - Proposed for NPL

NPL DELISTED: EPA NATIONAL PRIORITY LIST Subset - Database of delisted NPL sites. The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

DELISTED - Deleted from the Final NPL

CERCLIS: EPA COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM (CERCLIS)- CERCLIS is a database of potential and confirmed hazardous waste sites at which the EPA Superfund program has some involvement. It contains sites that are either proposed to be or are on the National Priorities List (NPL) as well as sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

PART OF NPL- Site is part of NPL site

DELETED - Deleted from the Final NPL

FINAL - Currently on the Final NPL

NOT PROPOSED - Not on the NPL

NOT VALID - Not Valid Site or Incident

PROPOSED - Proposed for NPL

REMOVED - Removed from Proposed NPL

SCAN PLAN - Pre-proposal Site

WITHDRAWN - Withdrawn

NFRAP: EPA COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM ARCHIVED SITES - database of Archive designated CERCLA sites that, to the best of EPA's knowledge, assessment has been completed and has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL). This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

NFRAP – No Further Remedial Action Plan

P - Site is part of NPL site

D - Deleted from the Final NPL

F - Currently on the Final NPL

N - Not on the NPL

O - Not Valid Site or Incident

P - Proposed for NPL

R - Removed from Proposed NPL

S - Pre-proposal Site

W – Withdrawn

RCRA COR ACT: EPA RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM SITES - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984.

RCRAInfo facilities that have reported violations and subject to corrective actions.

RCRA TSD: EPA RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM TREATMENT, STORAGE, and DISPOSAL FACILITIES. - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984.

Facilities that treat, store, dispose, or incinerate hazardous waste.

RCRA GEN: EPA/MA DEP/CT DEP RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM GENERATORS - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984.

Facilities that generate or transport hazardous waste or meet other RCRA requirements.

LGN - Large Quantity Generators

SGN - Small Quantity Generators

VGN – Conditionally Exempt Generator.

Included are RAATS (RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System) and CMEL (Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement List) facilities.

CONNECTICUT HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST – Database of all shipments of hazardous waste within, into or from Connecticut. The data includes date of shipment, transporter and TSD info, and material shipped and quantity. This data is appended to the details of existing generator records.

MASSACHUSETTES HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATOR – database of generators that are regulated under the MA DEP.

VQN-MA = generates less than 220 pounds or 27 gallons per month of hazardous waste or waste oil.

SQN-MA = generates 220 to 2,200 pounds or 27 to 270 gallons per month of waste oil.

LQG-MA = generates greater than 2,200 lbs of hazardous waste or waste oil per month.

ERNS: EPA/NRC EMERGENCY RESPONSE NOTIFICATION SYSTEM (ERNS) - Database of incidents reported to the National Response Center. These incidents include chemical spills, accidents involving chemicals (such as fires or explosions), oil spills, transportation accidents that involve oil or chemicals, releases of radioactive materials, sightings of oil sheens on bodies of water, terrorist incidents involving chemicals, incidents where illegally dumped chemicals have been found, and drills intended to prepare responders to handle these kinds of incidents. Data since January 2001 has been received from the National Response System database as the EPA no longer maintains this data.

Tribal Lands: DOI/BIA INDIAN LANDS OF THE UNITED STATES - Database of areas with boundaries established by treaty, statute, and (or) executive or court order, recognized by the Federal Government as territory in which American Indian tribes have primary governmental authority. The Indian Lands of the United States map layer shows areas of 640 acres or more, administered by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Included are Federally-administered lands within a reservation which may or may not be considered part of the reservation.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFIARS CONTACT - Regional contact information for the Bureau of Indian Affairs offices.

Tribal Lands: MS BCI CHOCTAW INDIAN TRIBAL LANDS - database of Mississippi Choctaw Indian tribal land boundaries. The database includes information on boundary name and acreage.

State/Tribal Sites: MDEQ CERCLA/UNCONTROLLED SITES FILE LIST - database of information on both CERCLA sites as well as facilities defined as a site, facility, plant, or location where hazardous or toxic wastes have been released to the environment and, due to existing regulations, there is no Federal program which can handle the problem.

State/Tribal SWL: MDEQ SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS LIST - database of active and closed rubbish sites; active, inactive and closed municipal solid waste landfills; waste tire facilities and transfer stations.

State/Tribal LUST: MDEQ MISSISSIPPI UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK RELEASE TANK SITES - database of all sites with either a suspected release or confirmed releases.

State/Tribal UST/AST: MDEQ/EPA MISSISSIPPI UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK REGISTERED TANK SITES - database of underground storage tank facilities, tanks, and owners.
TRIBAL LAND UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS - database of underground storage tanks that are reported to be on Native American lands.

State/Tribal EC: MDEQ BROWNFIELD INVENTORY Subset - database of CERCLA/uncontrolled sites file list that have engineering controls.

State/Tribal IC: MDEQ BROWNFIELD INVENTORY Subset - database of CERCLA/uncontrolled sites file list that have institutional controls.

State/Tribal VCP: MDEQ CERCLA/UNCONTROLLED SITES FILE LIST (SUBSET, VOLUNTARY EVALUATION PROGRAM)- Uncontrolled Site Voluntary Evaluation Program, which allows accepted parties the opportunity to participate in a program that will expedite the evaluation of site information. An uncontrolled site is a site, facility, plant, or location where hazardous or toxic wastes have been released into the environment and there is no federal environmental program which can handle the problem.

State/Tribal Brownfields: MDEQ BROWNFIELD INVENTORY - database of CERCLA/uncontrolled sites file list.

RADON: NTIS NATIONAL RADON DATABASE - EPA radon data from 1990-1991 national radon project collected for a variety of zip codes across the United States.

FI Map Coverage: PROPRIETARY FIRE INSURANCE MAP AVAILABILITY - Database of historical fire insurance map availability.

Environmental FirstSearch Database Sources

NPL: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

NPL DELISTED: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

CERCLIS: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

NFRAP: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA COR ACT: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA TSD: *EPA* Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA GEN: *EPA/MA DEP/CT DEP* Environmental Protection Agency, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection

Updated quarterly

ERNS: *EPA/NRC* Environmental Protection Agency

Updated annually

Tribal Lands: *DOI/BIA* United States Department of the Interior

Updated annually

Tribal Lands: *MS BCI* Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians

Updated when available

State/Tribal Sites: *MDEQ* Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal SWL: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated annually

State/Tribal LUST: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality, Office of Pollution Control, Groundwater and Solid Waste Division

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal UST/AST: MDEQ/EPA Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal EC: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal IC: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal VCP: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal Brownfields: MDEQ Mississippi Department for Environmental Quality

Updated quarterly

RADON: NTIS Environmental Protection Agency, National Technical Information Services

Updated periodically

FI Map Coverage: PROPRIETARY Library of Congress

Catalogue of Maps Published by Sanborn Mapping and Geographic Information Service in February 1988®

ProQuest

Other internally produced datasets

Updated quarterly

Environmental FirstSearch
Street Name Report for Streets within .25 Mile(s) of Target Property

Target Property: ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773

JOB: JSE01P1002
CLAY COUNTY, MS

Street Name	Dist/Dir	Street Name	Dist/Dir
Enon Rd	0.25 SE		



HISTORICAL FIRE INSURANCE MAPS

NO MAPS AVAILABLE

**01-28-10
JSE01P1002
ENON ROAD
WEST POINT MS 39773**

A search of FirstSearch Technology Corporation's proprietary database of historical fire insurance map availability confirmed that there are NO MAPS AVAILABLE for the Subject Location as shown above.

FirstSearch Technology Corporation's proprietary database of historical fire insurance map availability represents abstracted information from the Sanborn® Map Company obtained through online access to the U.S. Library of Congress via local libraries.

Copyright Policy & Disclaimer

Certain Sanborn® Fire Insurance Maps are copyrighted material and may not be reproduced without the expressed permission of the Sanborn Map Company. FirstSearch Technology Corporation warrants that it will employ its best efforts to maintain and deliver its information in an efficient and timely manner. Customer acknowledges that it understands that FirstSearch Technology Corporation obtains the above information from sources FirstSearch Technology Corporation considers reliable. However, THE WARRANTIES EXPRESSED HEREIN ARE IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, either expressed or implied, including without limitation any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness or suitability for a particular purpose (whether or not FirstSearch Technology Corporation may know, have reason to know, or have been advised of such purpose), whether arising by law or by reason of industry custom or usage. ALL SUCH OTHER WARRANTIES ARE HEREBY EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED.




Environmental FirstSearch

1 Mile Radius

ASTM Map: NPL, RCRA COR, STATE Sites



ENON ROAD , WEST POINT MS 39773



Source: 2005 U.S. Census TIGER Files

- Target Site (Latitude: 33.694083 Longitude: -88.874805) 
 - Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor   
 - NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste 
 - Triballand 
 - Railroads 
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius




Environmental FirstSearch

.5 Mile Radius
ASTM Map: CERCLIS, RCRATSD, LUST, SWL



ENON ROAD , WEST POINT MS 39773



Source: 2005 U.S. Census TIGER Files

- Target Site (Latitude: 33.694083 Longitude: -88.874805)
- Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor
- NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste
- Triballand.....
- Railroads
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius






Environmental FirstSearch

.25 Mile Radius

ASTM Map: RCRA GEN, ERNS, UST, FED IC/EC, METH LABS



ENON ROAD , WEST POINT MS 39773



Source: 2005 U.S. Census TIGER Files

- Target Site (Latitude: 33.694083 Longitude: -88.874805)
 - Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor
 - NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste
 - Triballand.....
 - Railroads
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius






Environmental FirstSearch

.25 Mile Radius
Non-ASTM Map: No Sites Found



ENON ROAD , WEST POINT MS 39773



Source: 2005 U.S. Census TIGER Files

- Target Site (Latitude: 33.694083 Longitude: -88.874805) 
- Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor   
- NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste
Triballand.....  
- National Historic Sites and Landmark Sites  
- Railroads 
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius

Appendix D

GENERAL CONDITIONS
Environmental Services

Payment Terms – Payment is due upon receipt of our invoice. If payment is not received within 30 days from the invoice date, Client agrees to pay a finance charge on the principal amount of the past due account of one and one-half percent per month, and all cost of collection, including attorney fees. If one and one-half percent per month exceeds the maximum allowed by law, the charge shall automatically be reduced to the maximum legally allowable.

In the event Client requests termination of the services prior to completion, a termination charge in an amount not to exceed thirty percent of all charges incurred through the date services are stopped plus any shutdown costs may, at the discretion of Environmental Engineers, Inc. (Consultant) be made. If during the execution of the services, Consultant is required to stop operations as a result of changes in the scope of services such as requests by the Client or requirements of third parties, additional charges will be applicable.

General Nature Of Environmental Services – The Consultant's basic services comprise the specific environmental activities set forth in Proposal. The consultant will access the site pursuant to the scope of services set forth in Proposal. Consultant agrees to strive to perform the services set forth in the Agreement in accordance with generally accepted professional practices, in the same or similar localities, related to the nature of the work accomplished, at the time the services are performed. Consultant makes no warranties whatsoever, whether express or implied, regarding the services to be performed by it hereunder. Consultant's services are intended to solely benefit the client.

Scope of the Consultant's Basic Services – The environmental services shall consist of those tasks enumerated in the Proposal to this Agreement. The scope of work outlined in the Proposal represents a minimum program at this time. As the results of the investigation become known, other tests and/or sampling may be recommended to the Client for written approval as Additional Services. In general, an increased frequency of sampling and testing will improve the opinions reached in the Consultant's report. Because geologic and soil formations are inherently random, variable, and indeterminate in nature, the professional services rendered by the Consultant and opinions provided with respect to such services under this agreement (including opinions regarding potential cleanup costs), are not guaranteed to be a representation of actual site conditions or contamination or costs, which are also subject to change with time as a result of natural or man-made processes. Consultant will provide Client with a written ("Report") concerning the services performed. The Report will present such findings and conclusions as the Consultant may reasonably make with the information gathered in accordance with this Agreement. In preparing the Report, Consultant may review and interpret certain information provided to it by third parties, including government authorities, registries of deeds, testing laboratories, and other entities. Consultant will not conduct an independent evaluation of the accuracy or completeness of such information, and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions contained in such information. The report and other instruments of services are prepared for, and made available for the sole use of, the Client, and the contents thereof may not be used or relied upon by any other person without the express written consent and authorization of the Consultant.

Additional Services of the Consultant – If mutually agreed in writing by the Client and the Consultant, the Consultant shall perform or obtain the services of others to perform the activities enumerated in the Proposal to this Agreement. Additional Services are not included as part of Basic Services and will be paid by the Client as provided in Payment Terms.

Services Excluded by the Consultant – Services not expressly set forth in writing as Basic or Additional Services and listed in the Proposal to this Agreement are excluded from the scope of the Consultant's services, and the Consultant assumes no duty to the Client to perform such services. The services to be performed by the Consultant shall not include an analysis or determination by the Consultant as to whether the Client is in compliance with federal, state, or local laws, statutes, ordinances, or regulations. The Consultant's services shall not include directly or indirectly storing, arranging for or actually transporting, disposing, treating or monitoring hazardous substances, hazardous materials, hazardous wastes or hazardous oils. The Consultant's services shall not include an independent analysis of work conducted and information provided by independent laboratories or other independent contractors retained by the Consultant concerning the Consultant's services provided to the Client. Unless otherwise specifically listed in the Proposal, the Consultant's services exclude testing for the presence of asbestos, mold, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), radon gas, any airborne pollutants, underground mines or sinkholes.

Responsibilities of the Client – The Client shall provide all information in the possession, custody, or control which relates to the site, its present and prior uses, or to activities at the site which may bear upon the services of the Consultant under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) a legal description of the site, including boundary lines and a site plan; (ii) identification of the location of utilities, underground tanks, and other structures and the routing thereof at the site, including available plans of the site; and (iii) a description of activities which were conducted at the site at any time by the Client or by any person or entity which would relate to the services provided by the Consultant. The Client shall be fully responsible for obtaining the necessary authorizations to allow the Consultant, its agents, subcontractors and representatives, to have access to the site and buildings thereon at reasonable times throughout contract performance by the Consultant. Consultant will take reasonable precautions to minimize damage to the site from use of equipment, but unavoidable damage or alteration may occur and Client hereby releases and indemnifies Consultant and agrees to assume responsibility for such unavoidable damage or alteration. To the extent required by law, Client agrees to assume responsibility for personal and property damages due to Consultant's interference with subterranean structures such as pipes, tanks, and utility lines that are not correctly shown on the documents provided above by Client to Consultant. The services, information, and other data required by the Section to be furnished by the Client shall be at the Client's expense, and the Consultant may rely upon all data furnished by the Client and the accuracy and completeness thereof.

Client understands and agrees that the discovery of certain conditions by Consultant may result in economic loss to Client/property owner and/or regulatory oversight. Client agrees that Consultant is not responsible or liable for any loss resulting from a decrease in the market value of the property described in the Proposal. Client further agrees that Consultant is not responsible or liable for any costs associated with corrective or remedial actions necessary at the site. Unless included in Proposal, Client also agrees that Consultant is not responsible for disclosures, notifications, or reports that may be required to be made to third parties (including appropriate government authorities).

Consultant's Insurance – Consultant shall obtain, if reasonably available, (1) statutory Workers' Compensation/Employers Liability coverage; (2) Commercial General Liability; (3) Automobile Liability; and (4) Professional Liability insurance coverage in policy amounts of not less than \$1,000,000. Consultant agrees to issue certificates of insurance evidencing such policies upon written request.

Limitation of Responsibility – CLIENT HEREBY AGREES THAT TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW THE CONSULTANT'S TOTAL LIABILITY TO CLIENT FOR ANY AND ALL INJURIES, CLAIMS, LOSSES, EXPENSES, OR DAMAGES WHATSOEVER ARISING OUT OF OR IN ANY WAY RELATING TO THE PROJECT, THE SITE, OR THIS AGREEMENT FROM ANY CAUSE OR CAUSES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE CONSULTANT'S NEGLIGENCE, ERRORS, OMISSIONS, STRICT LIABILITY, BREACH OF CONTRACT, OR BREACH OF WARRANTY SHALL NOT EXCEED THE GREATER OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT PAID BY THE CLIENT FOR THE SERVICES OF THE CONSULTANT UNDER THIS CONTRACT OR \$50,000.00, WHICHEVER IS GREATER. If Client prefers to have higher limits on professional liability, Consultant agrees to increase the limits up to a maximum of \$1,000,000.00 upon Client's written request at the time of accepting Proposal provided that Client agrees to pay an additional consideration of four percent of our total fee, or \$1,000.00, whichever is greater. Client and the Consultant agree that to the fullest extent permitted by law the Consultant shall not be liable to Client for any special, indirect or consequential damages whatsoever, whether caused by the Consultant's negligence, errors, omissions, strict liability, breach of contract, breach of warranty or other cause or causes whatsoever. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Client agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold Consultant, its agents, subcontractors, and employees harmless from and against any and all claims, defense costs, including attorney's fees, damages, and other liabilities arising out of or in any way related to the services to be performed by Consultant hereunder, Consultant's reports or recommendations concerning this Agreement of Consultant's presence on the project property, provided that Client shall not indemnify Consultant against liability for damages to the extent caused by the negligence or intentional misconduct of Consultant, its agents, subcontractors, or employees.

Disputes Resolution – All claims, disputes, and other matters in controversy between Consultant and Client arising out of or in any way related to this Agreement (other than a result of Client's failure to pay amounts due hereunder) will be submitted to "alternate dispute resolution" (ADR) such as mediation and/or arbitration, before and as a condition precedent to other remedies provided by law. If a dispute at law arises related to the services provided under this Agreement and that dispute requires litigation as provided above, then: (a) Client assents to personal jurisdiction in the State of Consultant's principal place of business; (b) The claim will be brought and tried in judicial jurisdiction of the court of the county where Consultant's principle place of business is located and Client waives the right to remove the action to any other county or judicial jurisdiction; and (c) The prevailing party will be entitled to recovery of all reasonable costs incurred, including staff time, court costs, attorney's fees, and expert witness fees, and other claim-related expenses.

Discovery of Unanticipated Pollutants Risks – If, while performing the services, pollutants are discovered that pose unanticipated risks, it is hereby agreed that the scope of services, schedule, and the estimated project cost will be reconsidered and that this contract shall immediately become subject to re-negotiation or termination. In the event that the Agreement is terminated because of the discovery of pollutants posing unanticipated risks, it is agreed that Consultant shall be paid for total charges for labor performed and reimbursable charges incurred to the date of termination of this Agreement, including, if necessary, any additional labor or reimbursable charges incurred in demobilizing. Client also agrees that the discovery of unanticipated hazardous substances may make it necessary for Consultant to take immediate measures to protect health and safety. Consultant agrees to notify Client as soon as practically possible should unanticipated hazardous substances or suspected hazardous substances be encountered. Client authorizes Consultant to take measures that in Consultant's sole judgment are justified to preserve and protect the health and safety of Consultant's personnel and the public. Client agrees to compensate Consultant for the additional cost of working to protect employees' and the public's health and safety.

Disposition of Samples and Equipment – No samples of unpolluted soil and rock will be kept by Consultant longer than thirty (30) days after submission of the final report unless agreed otherwise in the event that samples and/or materials contain or are suspected to contain substances or constituents hazardous or detrimental to health, safety, or the environment as defined by federal, state, or local statutes, regulations, or ordinances. Consultant will, after completion of testing (1) return such samples and materials to client, or (2) reach an agreement in writing to have such samples and materials properly disposed in accordance with applicable laws. Client agrees to pay all costs associated with the storage, transport, and disposal of samples and materials. Client recognizes and agrees that Consultant is acting as a bailee and at no time assumes title to said waste. All laboratory and field equipment contaminated in performing the required services will be cleaned at Client's expense. Contaminated consumables will be disposed of and replaced at Client's expense. Equipment (including tools) which cannot be reasonably decontaminated shall become the property and responsibility of Client. All such equipment shall be delivered to Client or disposed of in a manner similar to that indicated for hazardous samples. Client agrees to pay the fair market value of any such equipment which cannot reasonably be decontaminated.

Reports, Recommendations, and Ownership of Documents – Reports, recommendations, and other materials resulting from Consultant's efforts are intended solely for purposes of this Agreement; any reuse by Client or others for purposes outside of this Agreement or any failure to follow Consultant's recommendations, without Consultant's written permission, shall be at the user's sole risk. Client will furnish such reports, data, studies, plans, specifications, documents, and other information deemed necessary by Consultant for proper performance of its services. Consultant may rely upon Client-provided documents in performing the services required under this Agreement; however, Consultant assumes no responsibility or liability for their accuracy. Client-provided documents will remain property of Client. All reports, field notes, calculations, estimates, and other documents which are prepared, as instruments of service, shall remain Consultant's property and Consultant shall retain copyrights to these materials. Consultant will retain all pertinent records relating to services performed for a period of six years following submission of a report during which period the records will be made available to Client at all reasonable times.

Termination – This Agreement may be terminated by either party by seven (7) days written notice in the event of substantial failure to perform in accordance with the terms of the Agreement by the other party through no fault of the terminating party. If this Agreement is terminated, it is agreed that Consultant shall be paid for total charges for labor performed to the termination notice date, plus reimbursable charges.

Force Majeure – Neither party to this Agreement will be liable to the other party for delays in performing the services, nor for the direct or indirect cost resulting from such delays that may result from labor strikes, riots, war, acts of governmental authorities, extraordinary weather conditions or other natural catastrophes, or any cause beyond the reasonable control or contemplation of either party.

Severability and Survival – Any element of this Agreement later held to violate a law shall be deemed void, and all remaining provisions shall continue in force. However, Client and Consultant will in good faith attempt to replace any invalid or unenforceable provision with one that is valid and enforceable, and which comes as close as possible to expressing the intent of the original provision. All terms and conditions of this Agreement allocating liability between Client and Consultant shall survive the completion of the services hereunder and the termination of this Agreement.

Assignment – Consultant shall not delegate any duties, nor assign any rights or claims under this Agreement, nor sub any part of the work authorized, without prior consent of Client.

Appendix L



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 22, 2010

Mr. Shelton L. Deanes, President
Clay County Board of Supervisors
P.O. Box 815
West Point, MS 39773

Subject:

**Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi**

Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

Dear Mr. Deanes:

Pursuant to the requirements of the March 2005 Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA) we are requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding impact to historical or cultural sites listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude $33^{\circ} 41' 38.7''$ north and longitude $88^{\circ} 52' 29.3''$ west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Please provide comment within thirty days of the date of this letter. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please call Mr. Henry Fisher at (205) 629-3868 or email him at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Attachments: Site Location Map

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

7007 2680 0002 3656 3481

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only, No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	2/23/10 Postmark Here
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 5.54	JSEAL P1002

Sent To: Mr. Shelton L. Deanes, President
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.
 City, State, ZIP+4

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) BECKY COY</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery 2/25/10</p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? If YES, enter delivery address below:</p> <p>FEB 25 2010</p>
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Mr. Shelton L. Deanes, President Clay County Bd of Supervisors P.O. Box 815 West Point, MS 39173</p>	<p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p>
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p>	<p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>7007 2680 0002 3656 3481</p>	



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

11578 US Highway 411, Odenville, Alabama 35120

Environmental, Remediation, and Geological Consultants

February 22, 2010

Northeast Mississippi Historical and Genealogical Society
P.O. Box 434
Tupelo, Mississippi 38802

Subject:

**Proposed MSWIN 20508 B Reid Hill Communications Tower
West Point, Clay County, Mississippi**
Environmental Engineers, Inc. Project No.: JSE01P1002

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the requirements of the March 2005 Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA) we are requesting comment on behalf of Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency regarding impact to historical or cultural sites listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by construction of a wireless communications tower in Clay County, Mississippi.

The site is depicted on the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle "Palo Alto, Mississippi," dated 1987. The site is located in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 16 South, Range 4 East, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude $33^{\circ} 41' 38.7''$ north and longitude $88^{\circ} 52' 29.3''$ west (Figure 1). The site consists of a proposed 100-foot by 100-foot lease area with associated guy anchor easements, and a proposed access road located off Enon Road, West Point, Mississippi 39741. Proposed activities consist of construction of a 600-foot guyed communications tower, enclosing the compound in a fence, placement of support equipment within the compound, grading an access road, and covering the compound and access road with gravel.

Environmental Engineers, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide this information. Please reference the Environmental Engineers, Inc. project number (JSE01P1002) in correspondence regarding this site. Please provide comment within thirty days of the date of this letter. Thank you for your time and assistance and we look forward to your response. Please call Mr. Henry Fisher at (205) 629-3868 or email him at hfisher@envciv.com if you have any questions or comments. You can also send a response to us via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC.

Anne B. Gilbert, P.E.
Principal Engineer

Attachments: Site Location Map

Phone: (205) 629-3868 • Fax: (877) 847-3060

7007 2680 0002 3656 3498

U.S. Postal Service	
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT	
<i>(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)</i>	
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
Postage	\$
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee <i>(Endorsement Required)</i>	
Restricted Delivery Fee <i>(Endorsement Required)</i>	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 5.54
Postmark: Here	
2/23/10	
JSEBIP1002	
Sent to: Northeast MS Historical + Gen. Soc.	
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.	
City, State, ZIP+4	
PS Form 3811, August 2005 See Reverse for instructions	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Northeast MS Historical + Genealogical Society
 P.O. Box 434
 Tupelo, MS 38802

2. Article Number
(Transfer from service label) 7007 2680 0002 3656 3498

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
[Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (*Printed Name*) _____

C. Date of Delivery
 MAR 3-10-10

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (*Extra Fee*) Yes

JUN 09 2010

The State of Mississippi }
CLAY COUNTY }

RECEIVED

Towers of Mississippi, the State of Mississippi, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), are requesting comment regarding construction of a 650 foot guyed communications tower to be located off of Enon Road, West Point, Clay County, Mississippi, at latitude 33° 41' 39.3" north and longitude 88° 52' 29.8" west. We are also requesting comment, in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), regarding potential impacts to historical or archaeological properties listed on, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), by the proposed communications tower.

All comments should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice referencing project JSE01P1002 and sent to the attention of Mr. Henry Fisher, Environmental Engineers, Inc., 11578 U.S. Highway 411, Odenville, AL 35120. Mr. Fisher may also be reached via email at HYPERLINKmailto.towerinfo@envciv.com, towerinfo@envciv.com, via telephone at (205) 629-3868, or via facsimile at (877) 847-3060.

Publish: May 23, 2010

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Before me, in and for said county, this day personally came the undersigned representative of the Daily Times Leader, a newspaper published in the City of West Point, of said county and state, who being duly sworn deposes and says that the publication of a certain notice, a true copy of which, is hereto affixed has been made for _____ weeks consecutively, to wit:

Dated 5-23, 2010
Dated _____, 20____
Dated _____, 20____
Dated _____, 20____
Dated _____, 20____

Said representative further certifies that the several numbers of the newspaper containing the above mentioned notice have been produced and compared with the copy affixed; and that the publication thereof has been correctly made.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the 4th day of June, A.D., 2010

By: Mari B. Deat
Notary Public

DAILY TIMES LEADER

By: Natasha Watson
() Publisher (X) Clerk
() Editor () Printer

SEAL:

Publication Fee \$ 17.27
Proof(s) Of Publication \$ 3.00
Total Charges \$ 20.27

AFFIDAVIT# 17255