

Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program (AFG)



2014 SAFER

Self-Evaluation Sheet

*Recruitment and Retention Application
Fire Departments*

This Self Evaluation Sheet has been developed to help you understand the criteria that you must address in your Narrative Statement when applying for the Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Grant. The Peer Reviewers will review all the criteria in your Narrative Statement to assess the degree to which your proposal best describes your fire department and community risks, the requirements you have listed that will reduce those risks, and how your project(s) align with the SAFER Grant priorities.

(1) Project Description

Using the information provided within the Project Description portion of the Narrative Statement, as well as the general questions and activity-specific questions within the entire application package, the Peer Reviewers will assess the degree to which the proposal addresses your need for the recruitment of volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current firefighters. In order to receive a higher rating, the Narrative Statement should clearly discuss all of the points below.

- What are the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues identified by the department?
- What are the recruitment and/or retention plans to address the identified problems or issues?
- How will the items or activities requested in this application address the identified problems or issues?
- How will the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters impact the department's operational needs or capabilities?
- What specific benefits will the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters provide to the fire departments and community?
- If the grant has a regional impact, which activities are part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Peer Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how your application might be rated by the Peer Reviewers.

Option: Strongly Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant clearly explains the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues identified by the department. There is a clear explanation of the department's recruitment and/or retention plans to address the identified problems or issues, as well as details on how the items or activities requested in this application will address the same identified problems or issues. The applicant provides a clear description of the impact the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will have on the department's operational needs or capabilities. The specific benefits the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will provide to the fire department and community are clearly described. If applicable, the applicant clearly discussed which activities are

part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant. I have a clear understanding of the project description.

Option: Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant adequately explains the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues identified by the department. There is an adequate explanation of the department's recruitment and/or retention plans to address the identified problems or issues, and details on how the items or activities requested in this application will address the same identified problems or issues are well described. The applicant provides adequate information on the impact the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will have on the department's operational needs or capabilities. There is an adequate description of the specific benefits the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will provide to the fire department and community. If applicable, the applicant discussed which activities are part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant. I have an understanding of most elements of the project description but a greater level of detail on some aspects would have been helpful.

Option: Neither Agree nor Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides some explanation on the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues identified by the department, but details are lacking. There is some explanation of the department's recruitment and/or retention plans to address the identified problems or issues, as well as the details on how the items or activities requested in this application will address the same identified problems or issues. The applicant provides some information on the impact the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will have on the department's operational needs or capabilities, but again lacks the level of details needed. There is some discussion on the specific benefits the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will provide to the fire department and community. If applicable, the applicant somewhat discussed which activities are part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant. The project description was moderately described, but more detail on most of the elements would have been helpful.

Option: Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides minimal detail and explanation on the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues identified by the department. There is minimal explanation on the department's recruitment and/or retention plans to address the identified problems or issues, and on how the items or activities requested in this application will address the same identified problems or issues. There is minimal explanation on impact the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will have on the department's operational needs or capabilities. There is minimal discussion on the specific benefits the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will provide to the fire department and community. If applicable, the applicant provided minimal details on which activities are part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant. I do not have a clear understanding of the recruitment and/or retention problems or issues the department is experiencing or how this grant would address their needs.

Option: Strongly Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides no details or explanation of their recruitment and/or retention problems or issues. There is no detail on their recruitment and/or retention plans or how the items or activities requested in the application will benefit the department. There is no indication that there will be an impact on the department's operational needs or capabilities with this application. There is no discussion on the benefits the new volunteer firefighters and/or retention of current volunteer firefighters will provide to the fire department and community. If applicable, the applicant provided no details as to which activities are part of the regional request and which activities are exclusive to the host applicant. I do not understand what the project proposes to accomplish.

(2) Impact on Daily Operations

Using the information provided within the Impact on Daily Operations portion of the Narrative Statement, as well as the general questions and activity-specific questions within the entire application package, the Peer Reviewers will assess the

degree to which the proposal addresses your daily operations and enhances the department's ability to operate safely to save lives and property. In order to receive a higher rating, the Narrative Statement should clearly discuss all of the points below.

- How are the community and current volunteer firefighters in the department at risk without the items or activities requested?
- How will the risk will be reduced if awarded the items or activities requested?
- If awarded, what impact will the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters have on the department's NFPA compliance?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Peer Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how your application might be rated by the Peer Reviewers.

Option: Strongly Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant clearly explains the risk(s) to the community and current volunteer firefighters, and clearly describes how those risks would be greatly reduced if awarded the item or activities requested. The applicant provides detailed information on how the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters will impact their NFPA compliance. I have a clear understanding of the risks that will be reduced, both to the current volunteer firefighters and the community, through the recruitment of new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters.

Option: Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides an adequate explanation of the risks to the community and current volunteer firefighters, as well as adequate information on how those risks would be reduced if awarded the item or activities requested. The applicant provides adequate information on the impact new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters will have their NFPA compliance. I have a clear understanding of the risks to the current volunteer firefighters and community but a greater level of detail on some aspects would have been helpful.

Option: Neither Agree nor Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides some explanation of the risks to the community and current volunteer firefighters, and provides some information on how those risks would be reduced if the grant is awarded. The applicant provides some information to show what impact the new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters could have on their NFPA compliance, but has not included details needed to confirm this. I believe there is some indication that recruiting new volunteer firefighters and/or retaining current volunteers firefighters is linked to the reduction of risk, both to the community and current volunteer firefighters, but more details on most elements would have been helpful.

Option: Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides minimal information of the risks to the community and current volunteer firefighters, and the extent of how the risks would be reduced if awarded the grant is unclear. The applicant provides minimal information on the impact the new volunteer firefighters and/or the retention of current volunteer firefighters would have on their NFPA compliance. Without any additional detail, I believe there is little indication that recruiting new volunteer firefighters and/or retaining current volunteers firefighters is linked to the reduction of risk, both to current firefighters and the community.

Option: Strongly Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides no details on risks to the community and current volunteer firefighters, nor is there information on the impact retaining the current firefighters and/or recruiting for new firefighters would have on their NFPA compliance. I do not believe recruiting new volunteer firefighters and/or retaining current volunteer firefighters is linked to the reduction of risk.

(3) Financial Need

Using the information provided within the Financial Need portion of the Narrative Statement, as well as the general questions and activity-specific questions within the entire application package, the Peer Reviewers will assess the degree to which the fire department needs federal financial assistance. In order to receive a higher rating, the Narrative Statement should clearly discuss all of the points below.

- What are the specific details of the department's operating budget? Was a high-level breakdown of expenditures for day-to-day activities included?
- Why is the department unable to address the needs without federal assistance? What are your budget's shortfalls?
- What other actions has the department taken to meet their staffing needs (e.g., state assistance programs, other grant programs, etc.)?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Peer Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how your application might be rated by the Peer Reviewers.

Option: Strongly Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant clearly identifies and articulates the department's operational budget. The applicant provides clear justification on why federal assistance is needed, as well as a detailed, high-level breakdown of their day-to-day expenditures. It is absolutely clear that the applicant has done everything in their power to find other resources to address their needs and has no ability to fund this project with existing funds. The financial need and budget's shortfalls described by the applicant are beyond the applicant's control; therefore, I believe their request shows a dire need for federal assistance.

Option: Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant adequately identifies and articulates the department's operational budget. The applicant provides adequate justification on why federal assistance is needed, as well as a high-level breakdown of their day-to-day expenditures. The applicant explains some attempts to find additional resources and adequately demonstrates that they cannot fund the project with existing funds. The financial need and budget shortfalls of the applicant are explained with adequate detail; therefore, I understand the applicant's current budget and believe there is a need for federal assistance, but a greater level of detail on some aspects would have been helpful.

Option: Neither Agree nor Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides some information on the department's operational budget, but details and justification on why federal assistance is needed are lacking. The applicant briefly discusses their attempts to find additional resources and somewhat demonstrates that they cannot fund the project with existing funds. The applicant briefly explains their financial need and budget shortfalls; however, I am unsure of the applicant's current budget and needs, and do not believe there is an urgent need for financial assistance. More detail on most elements would have been helpful.

Option: Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides minimal detail on the department's operational budget and their need for federal assistance. There is minimal explanation on their attempts to find additional resources or why they cannot fund the project with existing funds. There is minimal information to understand the applicant's financial need; therefore, I believe there is no apparent need for financial assistance.

Option: Strongly Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides no detail on the department's operational budget or their need for federal assistance. There is no explanation on their attempts to find additional resources or why they cannot fund the project with existing funds. There is no detail for the reviewer to understand the extent of the department's financial situation or budget; therefore, I am unable to determine a financial need.

(4) Cost Benefit

Using the information provided within the Cost Benefit portion of the Narrative Statement, as well as the general questions and activity-specific questions with the entire application package, the Peer Reviewers will assess the degree to which the proposal addresses the operations and personnel safety needs of the fire department in an economic and efficient manner. In order to receive a higher rating, the Narrative Statement should clearly discuss the points below.

- What benefits (e.g., anticipated savings and/or efficiencies) will the department and community realize if awarded?

Below are the same scoring dimensions that the Peer Reviewers will use to rate your application. Using the criteria below, rate your own application and assess how your application might be rated by the Peer Reviewers.

Option: Strongly Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides a clear explanation of the benefits the department and community expect to achieve. I believe the benefits are well explained and likely to be achieved.

Option: Agree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides an adequate explanation of the benefits the department and community expect to achieve. I believe the benefits are described and may be achieved, though a greater level of detail would have been helpful.

Option: Neither Agree nor Disagree

Definition/Standard: The applicant provides some explanation of the benefits the department and community expect to achieve. I believe the benefits have not been thought out and I am unsure if benefits will be achieved; more detail would have been helpful.

Option: Disagree

Definition/Standard: There is minimal detail on the benefits the department and community expect to achieve. I am unable to determine if benefits would be achieved.

Option: Strongly Disagree

Definition/Standard: There is no detail on the benefits the department and community expect to achieve. Because there is insufficient information, I do not understand what the project proposes to accomplish and it is doubtful the benefits would be achieved

