

Record of Environmental Consideration

REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA -- April 2007

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number: Milne Boys Home
FIPS #071-55000-00; AI #1968

Applicant Name: City of New Orleans

Project Location: Milne Boys Home
Latitude: 30.013500, Longitude: -90.05231
5420 Franklin Ave, New Orleans, LA 70122

Project Description: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) have established Alternative Arrangements (AA) to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the CEQ Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA to Reconstruct Critical Infrastructure in the New Orleans Metropolitan Area (NOMA). The AA process will enable FEMA, as a component of DHS, to consider the potential for significant impacts to the human environment from its approval to fund the reconstruction of critical physical infrastructure in NOMA. This proposed project meets AA qualifications for the Reconstruction of Critical Infrastructure in the NOMA. For more information visit www.fema.gov/plan/ehp/noma/index.shtm

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) Section at the Louisiana Transitional Recovery Office has determined through its Special Considerations review that the City of New Orleans (i.e. the Applicant) public involvement process meets the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Alternative Arrangements (AA). Those requirements comply with the programmatic agreement between the CEQ, DHS, and FEMA.

As part of the Greater New Orleans Area critical infrastructure, this project qualifies for expedited considerations under the Alternative Arrangements for NEPA compliance. The AA process (www.fema.gov/plan/ehp/noma) has been activated to address the basic elements of NEPA for actions taken to restore critical infrastructure devastated by Hurricane Katrina

On August 29, 2005, Hurricane Katrina and its aftermath caused wind and flood damages to several facilities located at the Milne Boys Home. The Administration Building and the North and South Cottages suffered damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina, and, consequently, were determined by FEMA's Public Assistance Department to be eligible for repairs utilizing disaster relief funding, including necessary site work. However, in order to best serve the citizens of the Gentilly area and the City of New Orleans as a whole, the Applicant has requested an improved project utilizing grant funding associated with the repairs of these facilities, including needed site work, towards proposed Phase I repairs for the Administration Building and North and South Cottages. These proposed repairs would alter several components of these buildings from their pre-disaster specifications and are necessary to transform the campus in a manner consistent with Milne Boys Home anticipated future use. Specifically, proposed repairs consist of: removal and replacement of the buildings' storm damaged roofs; mold abatement of the buildings' interiors; removal and replacement of windows; repair of major systems; construction of access/egress stairways at the rear of the North and South Cottages; repairs and improvements to the courtyard of the Administration Building; and hazard mitigation measures that include elevation of HVAC equipment.

At the time of the event, the Milne Boys Home functioned as a supplemental educational facility, providing academic tutoring, cultural and historic education, and nutritional education to New Orleans youth ages 8 to 16. It is expected the facility will continue to operate as an educational facility, although in a more traditional sense than its previous supplemental educational function. Upon completion of Phase I repairs, the Administration Building and North and South Cottages will be rendered safe, secure, and ventilated.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

- Statutorily excluded from NEPA review (**Review Concluded**)
- Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (**Review Concluded**)
- Categorical Exclusion - Category ____
 - No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - Extraordinary Circumstances exist (see Section IV).
 - Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
- Alternative Arrangements
 - Public Involvement Plan on file (see comments below)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
- Environmental Assessment
- Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
- Environmental Impact Statement

Comments: Based on documentation provided by the sub-applicant, FEMA's Environmental / Historic Preservation Section and Alternatives Arrangement team determined that the Applicant (i.e. The City of New Orleans) provided sufficient documentation to demonstrate a satisfactory public involvement process for rebuilding facilities at the Milne Boys Home. Any changes to the scope of work will require re-submission through the state to FEMA and requires re-evaluation for compliance with national environmental policies. The applicant is responsible for obtaining and complying with all local, state and federal permits. Non-compliance with this requirement may jeopardize receipt of federal funds.

- Project is Non-Compliant (see attached documentation justifying selection).

Reviewer and Approvals

FEMA Environmental Reviewer:

Name: Joseph Chauvin, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA LRO

Signature  Date 1/11/2013

FEMA Environmental Liaison Officer or Delegated Approving Official:

Name: Kevin Mannie, Lead Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA LRO

Signature  Date 01/11/2013

I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)

A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)

- Not type of activity with potential to affect historic structures or archaeological resources (**Review Concluded**)
- Activity meets Programmatic Agreement. Activity meets Programmatic Allowance (date of agreement and allowance number in comments) - **Review Concluded**
- Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement (August 17, 2009 as amended on July 22, 2011). See project review below for historic structures and archaeological resources.
- Other Programmatic Agreement dated _____ applies

HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

- No historic properties that are listed or 50 years or older in project area. (**Review Concluded**)
- Building or structure 50 years or older or listed on the National Register in the project area and activity not exempt from review.
 - Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)

- Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 - Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments
 - No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 - Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)

ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- Project affects only previously disturbed ground – **Review Concluded**
- Project affects undisturbed ground or grounds associated with a historic structure
 - Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources
 - Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
(Review Concluded)
 - Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources
 - Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - Determination of historic properties affected
 - NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - NR eligible resources present in project area (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 - No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 - Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)

Comment: The Phase I scope of work for AI 1968 for the Administration Building, North Cottage and South Cottage was reviewed during the development of the *Memorandum of Agreement among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Louisiana Historic Preservation Officer, and the City of New Orleans, Louisiana Regarding the Proposed Demolition and Phase I Repairs, The Alexander Milne Municipal Boys Home, 5420 Franklin Avenue, New Orleans, LA* which was executed on January 7, 2013. To remain in compliance with Section 106, the NHPA stipulations and conditions detailed within the MOA and set forth in this PW must be carried out. Any change to the approved scope of work will require reevaluation under Section 106. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: C. Dluzak, Lead HP Specialist, 1/9/2013

B. Endangered Species Act

- No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (**Review Concluded**)
- Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
 - No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (**Review Concluded**)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (**Review Concluded**)
 - Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat
 - Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)

Comments: Project is located in an urban or previously developed area. Neither listed species nor their habitat occur in or near this site that would be adversely impacted, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to threatened or endangered species or critical habitat.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS IPaC website (<http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>) queried on 12/10/2012

C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

- Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (**Review Concluded**).
- Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on file)
- Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (**Review Concluded**)
- Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)

Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barrier Resource System Maps referenced 12/10/2012

D. Clean Water Act

- Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (**Review Concluded**)
- Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.
- Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (**Review Concluded**)
- Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)
- Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to a surface water body.

Comments: No affected jurisdictional waters of the U.S. in or near the project area. See conditions.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Google Earth referenced on 12/10/2012

E. Coastal Zone Management Act

- Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (**Review concluded**)
- Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone
- State administering agency does not require consistency review. (**Review Concluded**).
- State administering agency requires consistency review.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)

Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. See conditions.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Zone maps queried 12/10/2012

F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

- Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (**Review Concluded**)
- Project affects, controls, or modifies a waterway/body of water.
- Coordination with USFWS conducted
- No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (**Review Concluded**)
- Recommendations provided by USFWS.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)

Comments: Project scope does not include impoundment, diversion, control, or other modification of waters of any stream or body of water.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (<http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/>) queried 12/10/2012

G. Clean Air Act

- Project will not result in permanent air emissions. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project is located in an attainment area. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project is located in a non-attainment area.
 - Coordination required with applicable state administering agency.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: The proposed project includes activities that would produce a minor, temporary, and localized impact on air quality from vehicle emissions and fugitive dust particles. No long-term air quality impact is anticipated. See conditions.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map queried 12/10/2012

H. Farmland Protection Policy Act

- Project will not affect undisturbed ground. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.
 - Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Service required.
 - Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.
- Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: The site is located within an existing urban and developed area ROW and FPPA is precluded.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Google Earth referenced on 12/10/2012

I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act

- Project not located within a flyway zone **(Review Concluded)**
- Project located within a flyway zone.
 - Project does not have potential to take migratory birds **(Review Concluded)**
 - Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No **(Review Concluded)**
 - Project has potential to take migratory birds.
 - Contact made with USFWS
 - Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

- Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat **(Review Concluded)**
- Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.
 - Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat **(Review Concluded)**
 - Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No **(Review Concluded)**
 - Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
 - NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) **(Review Concluded)**
 - Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No **(Review Concluded)**
 - NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)
 - Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.
 - Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: Project is not located in or near any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Essential Fish Habitat Mapper referenced on 12/10/2012

K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

- Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - **(Review Concluded)**
- Project is along or affects WSR
- Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. **FEMA cannot fund the action.** (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) **(Review Concluded)**
 - Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: Project is not along and does not affect a Wild or Scenic River (WSR).

Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers website (www.rivers.gov) referenced 12/10/2012

II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders

A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains

- No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - **(Review Concluded)**
- Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels
- No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. **(Review Concluded).**
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No **(Review Concluded)**
 - Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values **(Review Concluded).**
 - Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment
 - 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**
 - A Final Public Notice is required

Comments: By Memorandum of April 11, 2012 from Frank Pagano, Mitigation Division Director of FEMA Region 6 to John Connolly, Senior Public Assistance Advisor, Louisiana Recovery Office, the 2008 Preliminary Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) elevation is not required; however, the applicant must coordinate all reconstruction activities with the local floodplain manager and remain in compliance with formally adopted local floodplain ordinances. Per Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) Map EE-32 dated 6/5/2006, the site is located within ABFE Elevation -1 or 3 feet above Highest Existing Adjacent Grade (HEAG), whichever is highest. Per Preliminary Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) Panel Number 22071C 0118F, dated 11/9/12, the site is located within a Zone AE EL -6, base flood elevations determined. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process, showing considered alternatives, was completed and is attached and on file. A Cumulative Final Public Notice was published 10/26/07 - 11/07/07, and also is attached or on file. The applicant is required to coordinate with the local floodplain administrator regarding floodplain permit(s) prior to the start of any activities. All coordination pertaining to these activities and applicant compliance with any conditions should be documented and copies forwarded to the LA GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files. Per 44 CFR 9.11(d)(9), for the replacement of building contents, materials and equipment, where possible, disaster-proofing of the building and/or elimination of such future losses should occur by relocation of those building contents, materials and equipment outside or above the base floodplain. See conditions.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Joseph Chauvin, CFM, Floodplain Specialist, 12/10/2012

B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands

- No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Wetland(s) - **(Review Concluded)**
- Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
- Beneficial Effect on Wetland - **(Review Concluded)**
 - Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
 - Review completed as part of floodplain review
 - 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO **(Review Concluded)**

Comments: Review of the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory map for this project site indicates no mapped wetlands at or near the proposed project site.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: U.S. FWS NWI map accessed on-line 12/10/2012

C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority

Populations

- Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact any population (**Review Concluded**)
- No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project based on information gathered from <http://factfinder.census.gov>. (**Review Concluded**)
- Low income or minority population in or near project area
- No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population (**Review Concluded**)
- Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population
- Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (**Review Concluded**)

Comments: The percent populations of 70125 are: 73.2 % African-American, 23 % White, 3.2% Hispanic, and 1.3% Asian. The median household income in 1999 was \$31,104 and 19.9% of families are below the poverty line. At the time of the event, the Milne Boys Home functioned as a supplemental educational facility. The scope of work for this project consists of measures necessary to transform the facility into a more traditional educational institution. The City of New Orleans' Master Plan (Plan for the 21st Century: New Orleans 2030) outlines efforts to solicit public outreach and engagement on recovery project throughout New Orleans and, furthermore, considers trends and potential effects to low-income and minority populations. Specifically, the City of New Orleans has held various community meetings to demonstrate planned design, costs, and schedules for the Milne Boys Home. Consequently, the community was given the opportunity to comment and address any potential concerns during these meetings. Additionally, the City of New Orleans website also lists details for all recovery projects weekly and provides citizens with an opportunity to send in comments via email or US mail.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: American Fact Finder (<http://factfinder2.census.gov>) queried on 12/10/2012; City of New Orleans' Master Plan (Plan for the 21st Century: New Orleans 2030)

III. Other Environmental Issues

Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Comments: Unusable equipment, debris, and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials, and/or toxic waste in accordance with the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state, and federal agencies.

Toxic Substances Control Act

This project involves the demolition, renovation, refurbishing of a public child-occupied structure and/or facility constructed prior to 1978 that may contain surfaces coated with lead-based paint (LBP).

State Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Laws

This project potentially involves the disposal of metallic mercury containing electronic devices. The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.

IV. Extraordinary Circumstances

Yes

- (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
- (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
- (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
- (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;
- (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
- (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
- (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
- (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
- (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
- (x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

Comments:

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

The following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

- In compliance with E.O. 11988, a Programmatic Statewide 8-Step process has been completed and is attached; per 44 CFR 9.12 a cumulative public notice was published from 12/08/08-12/12/08. Per 44 CFR 9.11(d)(9), the replacement of building contents, materials, and equipment, where possible, disaster proofing of the building and/or elimination of the such future losses by relocation of those building contents, materials, and equipment to or above the 500 year floodplain for critical actions. The applicant is required to coordinate and comply with local Floodplain Administrator regarding floodplain permit(s) prior to the start of any activities. All coordination pertaining to these permit(s) should be documented to the local Floodplain Administrator and copies provided to LA GOHSEP and FEMA as part of the permanent project files.
- Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials (such as asbestos and lead based paint) and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- Per Clean Air Act National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutant Regulations and Louisiana Administrative Code 33:iii 5151, demolition activities related to possible asbestos-containing materials (PACM) must be inspected for ACM/PACM where it is safe to do so. ACM/PACM must be handled in accordance with local, state and federal regulations and disposed of at approved facilities that accept ACM. Demolition activity notification must be sent to the LDEQ before work begins.

- This project involves the modification of a public structure that may contain surfaces coated with lead-based paint (LBP). The applicant is responsible complying with all local, state, and federal laws and ensuring that project activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for abatement activities.
- This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. Applicant must check with Louisiana Department of Natural Resources for permitting or other authorization requirements. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LDNR at 1-800-267-4019.
- If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) are discovered, the Applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The Applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The Applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO.
- If human bone or unmarked grave(s) are present within the project area, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The Applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The Applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery.
- This project potentially involves the disposal of metallic Mercury Containing Electronic Devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.
- If required by LDEQ, the applicant shall require its contractor to prepare, certify, and implement a construction storm water pollution prevention plan to prevent sediment and construction material transport from the project site. A Louisiana Pollution Discharge Elimination System (LAPDES) permit may be required in accordance with the Clean Water Act and the Louisiana Clean Water Code. All coordination pertaining to these activities should be documented and copies forwarded to the state and FEMA as part of the permanent project files.
- To remain in compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA, the Applicant must comply with the stipulations set forth in the Memorandum of Agreement among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Louisiana Historic Preservation Officer, and the City of New Orleans, Louisiana Regarding the Proposed Demolition and Phase I Repairs, The Alexander Milne Municipal Boys Home, 5420 Franklin Avenue, New Orleans, LA executed on January 7, 2013.

