

# ABBREVIATED RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

**Project Name/Number:** EWA0084 Bogalusa High School -PW 11521

**Project Location:** 100 M. J. Israel Drive, Bogalusa, Washington Parish, LA 70427 Latitude: 30.78604, Longitude: -89.86705

**Project Description:** The Bogalusa High School complex, consisting of several buildings, was extensively damaged as a result of wind and flood waters from Hurricane Katrina. In addition to repairs required to restore the buildings to pre-disaster condition, a mitigation proposal provides for installation of an under drain pipe and other structures to improve the drainage system on the west side of the existing gym building.

## DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

- (Short version)** All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. **(Review Concluded)**
- (Long version)** All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC and/or included in project files, as applicable.

## NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION

- Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. **(Review Concluded)**
- Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - **(Review Concluded)**
- Categorical Exclusion - Category
  - No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
  - Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).
    - Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
- Environmental Assessment
- Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
- Environmental Impact Statement

**Comments:** This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement Permanent Schools type of project..

## HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

- No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. **(Review Concluded)**
- Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review.
  - Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
  - Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
    - Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments

- No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
- Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
  - Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)  
Are project conditions required  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments:** The proposed repairs are exempt from 106 review by FEMA's Programmatic Agreement, Appendix A, Item II, Sections A (1, 2, and 4) B (1), D (1,2) and E (1).

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:** NHPA effect determination made by Derek A. Galose, Historic Preservation Specialist

**ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

- Project affects only previously disturbed ground. **(Review Concluded)**
- Project affects undisturbed ground.
  - Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources
    - Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). **(Review Concluded)**
  - Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources
    - Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  
Are project conditions required  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
    - Determination of historic properties affected
      - NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).  
Are project conditions required  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
      - NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
        - No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
        - Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
          - Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments:** Repairs will not change or modify the pre-disaster footprint of the building.

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:**

**THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES**

- No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. **(Review Concluded)**
- Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
  - No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
  - May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) **(Review Concluded)**  
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**

- Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat
  - Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
    - Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V)  NO **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments** Project is located in an urban or previously developed area. Neither listed species nor their habitat occur in or near this site, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to threatened or endangered species.

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:**

USFWS emergency consultation provisions determined in letters dated September 15, 2005 for Katrina. .

**E.O. 11988 – FLOODPLAINS**

- No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - **(Review Concluded)**
- Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels
  - No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. **(Review Concluded)**
    - Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No **(Review Concluded)**
  - Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values **(Review Concluded)**.
  - Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment
    - 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
      - Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V)  NO **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments:** Project is located in flood zone "X", an area outside of the 100 year floodplain...

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:** . Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel Number 2202320005B, dated 5/4/1988.

**E.O. 11990 – WETLANDS**

- No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - **(Review Concluded)**
- Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
  - Beneficial Effect on Wetland - **(Review Concluded)**
  - Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
    - Review completed as part of floodplain review
    - 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
      - Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V)  NO **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments:** No wetlands were determined to be present by consultation with local maps, topographic maps and aerial photographs.

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:**

Microsoft Trips & Streets, Topozone, LaMap

**E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations**

- No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - **(Review Concluded)**
- Low income or minority population in or near project area
  - No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- **(Review Concluded)**
    - Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population
      - Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V)  NO **(Review Concluded)**

**Comments:** Project Scope of Work is for repair of school buildings and will not adversely impact any low income or minority population.

**Correspondence/Consultation/References:**

**OTHER RELEVANT LAWS AND ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS**

- No impacts to other substantive laws/Executive Orders identified. Review concluded.
- Other applicable substantive laws/Executive Orders. (Identify law/E.O. and conditions if any below).

***Comments:***

RCRA: Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.

Clean Air Act: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative order" dated June 29, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.iii.5151 and chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders

***Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:***

**V. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROJECT CONDITIONS**

**Project Conditions:**

**This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion. In addition, the following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:**

Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies


This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative order" dated June 29, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.iii.5151 and chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders

**No extraordinary circumstances as described in 44 CFR 10.8(d)(3) were identified during project review.**

**REVIEWER AND APPROVALS**

FEMA Environmental Reviewer.

Name: Michael Abrahm

Signature  Date August 8, 2006

FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official.

Name: Dr. William Fagan

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_