Record of Environmental Consideration

REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA - April 2007

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number:	Heights Dr. Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP)/ PW 14393 Version 2
Applicant Name:	St. Bernard Parish
Project Location:	Heights Drive and Bayou Road, St. Bernard, St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana, Latitude: 29.86386, Longitude: -89.85862

Project Description: On August 29, 2005 strong winds, heavy rains and storm surge caused extensive damage to the Heights Drive Wastewater Treatment Plant. The plant is a 50,000 gallons per day (GPD) above-grade painted steel package plant that served a subdivision. The scope of work for this project is the replacement of the facility in-kind with code upgrades in the pre-disaster location.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review (Review Concluded)
	Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	Categorical Exclusion - Category xv
	No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
	Are project conditions required? Xes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Extraordinary Circumstances exist (see Section IV).
	Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments)
	Are project conditions required? 🗌 Yes (see section V) 🗌 No (Review Concluded)
	Environmental Assessment
	Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
	Environmental Impact Statement
	Scope of work requires public involvement plan

Comments: Project fits the criteria of Categorical Exclusion xv: Repair, reconstruction, restoration, elevation, retrofitting, upgrading to current codes and standards, or replacement of any facility in a manner that substantially conforms to the preexisting design, function, and location.

Project is Non-Compliant (see attached documentation justifying selection).

Reviewer and Approvals

FEMA Environmental Reviewer:

Name: Nicole Poret, Environmental Specialist, FEMA LA TRO

Date 1/11/08 Signature

FEMA Environmental Liaison Officer or Delegated Approving Official: Name: Cynthia Teeter, Deputy Environmental Liaison Officer, FEMA LA TRO

Date 18 Signature Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA) I.

A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)

□ Not type of activity with potential to affect historic structures or archaeological resources (Review Concluded)
 ○ Activity meets Programmatic Agreement, December 3, 2004. Appendix A: Allowance No. I A

Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)

Are project conditions required? Xes (see Section V) No Programmatic Agreement not applicable for historic structures or archeological sites, must conduct standard Section 106 Review (see below). Other Programmatic Agreement dated insert date of PA applies HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded)
No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded)
 □ Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. □ Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see Section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) □ Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments □ No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see Section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) △ Are project conditions required □ Yes (see Section V) □ No (Review Concluded)
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ARCHEOLOGICAL GROUND IN CREVIEW Concluded Project affects undisturbed ground or grounds associated with an historic structure Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources CREVIEW Concluded Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Review Concluded Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NR eligible resources present in project area (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Jerame J. Cramer, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist

B. Endangered Species Act

No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (**Review Concluded**)

Listed specie	s and/or	designated	l critica	l hab	itat pre	sent in	n the areas	s affected	dire	ectly	or ine	directly	by th	e Federa	l action.
—	00								~ ·		. •	`			

No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA

determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat

 □ Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? □ YES (see Section V) □ NO (Review Concluded)

Comments:

L

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Endangered Species Summary for USFWS Consultation, letter to Don Fairley dated September 15, 2005

C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on
file)
Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (Review Concluded)
Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6.
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments:
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barrier Resource System Maps referenced October 1, 2007.
D. Clean Water Act
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)
Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.
Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded)
Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit,

including qualification under Nationwide Permits.

Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to a surface water body.

Comments: Project scope of work includes ground disturbing activities.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory map (http://www.fws.gov/nwi/) queried on October 1, 2007.

E. Coastal Zone Management Act

Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (**Review concluded**) \boxtimes Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone

State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).

State administering agency should be contacted to determine if consistency review required.

Are project conditions required? XES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: Project scope of work includes ground disturbing activities. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Zone Maps queried October 1, 2007

F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)

Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.

Coordination with USFWS conducted

No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)

Recommendations provided by USFWS.

Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: No streams or water bodies are located in or near the project area.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Nicole Poret, Environmental Specialist

G. Clean Air Act

Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)

- Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)
- Project is located in a non-attainment area.

Coordination required with applicable state administering agency.

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Nicole Poret FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA

Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure.
The proposed project includes activities that would produce a minor, temporary, and localized impact on air quality from
vehicle emissions and fugitive dust particles. No long-term air quality impact is anticipated.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map.

H. Farmland Protection Policy Act

Project will not affect undisturbed ground. (Review Concluded)

Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or is in an urbanized area. (Review Concluded)

Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded)

Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.

Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Service required.

Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and FPPA is precluded. *Correspondence/Consultation/References:* http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/

I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act

Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded)

 \boxtimes Project located within a flyway zone.

Project does not have potential to take migratory birds (**Review Concluded**)

Are project conditions required?
Yes (see section V)
No (Review Concluded)

Project has potential to take migratory birds.

Contact made with USFWS

Are project conditions required?
YES (see section V)
NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 27, 2005.

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded)

Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.

Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? 🗌 Yes (see Section V) 🗌 No (Review Concluded)

Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)

NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)

Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.

Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: Project is not located in or near any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Nicole Poret, Environmental Specialist

K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
 Project is along or affects WSR

Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. <u>FEMA cannot fund the action</u>. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (<u>Review Concluded</u>)

☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)

Comments:

Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.html.

L. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials (such as asbestos and lead based paint) and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.

M. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations

In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the Commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).

II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders

A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains

□ No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
 ○ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels

- \boxtimes No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain.
 - Are project conditions required? Xes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).

Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment

8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file

Are project conditions required?
YES (see Section V)
NO (Review Concluded)

A Final Public Notice is required

Comments: The Parish of St. Bernard enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) on 03/13/70. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 225204080460 B dated 05/01/85, project is located in zone "B", area between limits of 100-year flood and 500-year flood or in certain areas subject to flooding with average depths less than one foot or where the contributing drainage area is less than one square mile; or in area protected from the 100-year flood by levee, dike, or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during lager floods.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 225204080460 B dated 05/01/85

B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands

No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)

Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)

Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)

Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland

Review completed as part of floodplain review

8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file

Are project conditions required?
YES (see Section V)
NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: There are wetlands located within a kilometer of the project site. By following the conditions set out under sections I-D and again in section V, these wetlands should not be affected. *Correspondence/Consultation/References:* USFWS NWI map accessed on-line October 1, 2007.

C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations

Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact any population (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Nicole Poret
FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA

No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project based on information gathered from [add a reference] (**Review Concluded**)

Low income or minority population in or near project area

- No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population (Review Concluded)
- Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population

Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments:

Correspondence/Consultation/References: Nicole Poret, Environmental Specialist

III. Other Environmental Issues

Comments: None

Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:

IV. Extraordinary Circumstances

Yes	 (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (vii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the protection of the anvironment
	protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments:	

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

The following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

1. Per flood recovery guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 feet above the Highest Adjacent Grade Elevation.

2. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials (such as asbestos and lead based paint) and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.

Reviewer Name: Nicole Poret FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA

3. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the Commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).

4. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Tenth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated May 14, 2007, and the Louisianan Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (LESHAP) protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.iii.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (acms) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Documentation should be forwarded to Louisiana Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (LA GOHSEP) and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.

5. To reduce potential short term effects to air quality from construction related activities, the contractor will be responsible for keeping all excavated areas periodically sprayed with water (when dry), all construction vehicles should be limited to 15 mph in the work area, and all equipment maintained in good working order to minimize pollution/fugitive dust.

6. This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. Louisiana Department of Natural Resources (LDNR) has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still require a Coastal Use Permit or other authorization from LDNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LDNR at 1-800-276-4019.

7. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO. In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.

8. The applicant shall coordinate with or obtain any required permits or certifications for wastewater treatment plants from the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) and US Corps of Engineers (USACE) prior to initiating work. The applicant shall comply with all conditions of the permits regulated under Sections 402 and 404 of the Clean Water Act. All coordination pertaining to these activities should be documented and copies forwarded to the state and FEMA as part of the permanent project files.