Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle School - Grounds

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: P.G.T. Beauregard Middle School - Grounds / PW 12778

<u>Project Location</u>: 1201 Bayou Rd., St. Bernard, Louisiana, St. Bernard Parish 70085 (N 29.86755, W -89.86445)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project activities include repairing damage to 57 feet of 4 foot chain link fence at the front of the school, 230 feet of 6 foot chain link fence at the side of the school, 56 feet of 6 foot chain link fence at the teacher's parking lot, and three 5 foot x 8 foot sections of corrugated aluminum roof on three dugouts at the softball and baseball fields. Some mitigation will be achieved by compliance with current codes and standards and by good construction practices.

Do	cumentation Requirements
	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
Na	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement
Com	ments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This

Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) Laws.

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle School - Grounds
Reviewer and Approvals
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Letha Dawson, Environmental Specialist
Signature Date 08/14/2006
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO
Signature
I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act ☐ Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) ☐ Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement (12/03/2004) Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. ☐ Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # Appendix A, Section I, E and Section II.E.1 Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. □ Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) □ Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments □ No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded)
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ☐ Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects undisturbed ground. ☐ Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) ☐ Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Determination of historic properties affected ☐ NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) ☐ No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hu	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board arricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle
School - Grounds	
	olution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
	project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No View Concluded)
IREV	iew Concluded)
Comments: 8/12/2006 - Historic review complete: 5	Scope of work indicates ground disturbing activities associated with
fence repair. Upon consultation of SHPO data, there	e are known archaeological sites within .25 miles of the project area.
However, all work will occur along existing fence li	ne and thus in a previously disturbed area. Scope of work also indicates
foor repair on three dugouts. All of these proposed i	repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and
Continue I Francisco Conditions, the scope of work me	eets the Programmatic Agreement (12/3/2004) Allowances, Appendix A,
remains are discovered the applicant shall story and	of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human
avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant	k in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in
turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation staff. The	applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation
staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana	a State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked
graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana U	Inmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 ET SEQ.) is
required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcem	nent agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within
twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant sh	all also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board
(call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-34	42-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply
with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEI	MA funding. If the scope of work changes to include ground disturbing
activities outside of the existing fence line or dugout	roofing materials will not be replaced in kind, this scope of work will
	Katherine Zeringue, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
B. Endangered Species Act	
No listed species and/or designated critical habita	at present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded)	
Listed species and/or designated critical habitat p	present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
No effect to species or designated critical	al habitat. (See comments for justification)
May affect but not likely to adversely a	es (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ffect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA)
determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence	
Are project conditions required? \(\sigma\) \(\text{Ye}\)	es (see section V) \(\sum \) No (Review Concluded)
Likely to adversely affect species or des	
	(Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
Are project conditions required	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or C	Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
	erwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on
file)	15 2505 - 60 (Bester General II)
☐ Proposed action an exception under Sec ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Sec	
	ES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
D. Class Water Ast	
D. Clean Water Act	
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (F	
Project would affect waters, including wetlands, o	t or other exemption. (Review Concluded)
	tion 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification
under Nationwide Permits.	(1-31-515 and 11m 5515 From permits including quantication

School - Gro	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments	Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US.
	dence/Consultation/References:
F Coo	tal Zone Management Act
	tal Zone Management Act
Project	is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone
	State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).
ř	State administering agency requires consistency review.
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
C	omments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA
	d the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP).
C	rrespondence/Consultation/References:
E Eich	and Wildlife Coordination Act
1.00	and Wildlife Coordination Act
	does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.
	Coordination with USFWS conducted
_	No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)
	Recommendations provided by USFWS.
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
C	mments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US.
G. Clea	n Air Act
G. Clea	
G. Clea	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) s located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) s located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
G. Clea Project Project Project	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) s located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) s located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments:	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) s located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) s located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None None
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments:	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Hence/Consultation/References:
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) s located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) s located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None lence/Consultation/References:
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Protection Policy Act
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) as located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) as located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Rence/Consultation/References: Inland Protection Policy Act does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required.
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) as located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) as located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None None None Nence/Consultation/References: mland Protection Policy Act does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) as located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) as located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Rence/Consultation/References: Inland Protection Policy Act does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) acuses unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required.
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project	In Air Act will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) None lence/Consultation/References:
G. Cles Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project Comments:	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Protection Policy Act
G. Cles Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project Comments: Correspond	In Air Act will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) None lence/Consultation/References:
G. Clea Project Project Project Project Project Project Correspond Project Project Project Project	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None lence/Consultation/References:
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project Project Project Project Project Project Project	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None elence/Consultation/References: mland Protection Policy Act does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None ence/Consultation/References: atory Bird Treaty Act not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ocated within a flyway zone.
G. Cles Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project Project Project Project Project Project Project	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Vence/Consultation/References: Inland Protection Policy Act Idoes not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None Vence/Consultation/References: Interval Treaty Act Into Iocated within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Occated within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
G. Clea Project Project Project Comments: Correspond Project Project Project Project Project Project Project	will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) so located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) so located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) None None None None

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA	/ Hurricane Katrina / Public Assista	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board ince Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle
School - Grounds Contact made with USFW		
		V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. R determined that restoration projects funded with fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations a Endangered Species Summary are met.	federal resources will not have	ve adverse impacts on migratory birds or other
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://	/pacificflyway.gov/Documen	ts/Mississippi_map.pdf,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Co	onservation and Ma	anagement Act
Project not located in or near Essential Fish I		
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habi		
Project does not adversely affect Ess	sential Fish Habitat. (Review	v Concluded)
Are project conditions required?		
		nation/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
☐ NOAA Fisheries provided		
NOAA Fisheries provided	ired? Yes (see section V	No (Review Concluded)
	OAA Fisheries recommenda	tions completed
Are project condit	ions required? YES (see	section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None		
Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act		
Project is not along and does not affect Wild	or Scenic River (WSR) - (Re	eview Concluded)
Project is along or affects WSR		
Project adversely affects WSR as de	termined by NPS/USFS. FE	MA cannot fund the action.
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultat	tion on file) (Review Conclu	ded)
Project does not adversely affect WS		
Are project conditions required?	YES (see section V) \(\sum \) NO	(Review Concluded)
Comments: None		
Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
L. Other Relevant Laws and Env	ironmental Regula	tions
H. C. P. D.	e E o	A
II. Compliance Review	ior Executive Or	ders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains		
☐ No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and pro	oject outside Floodplain - (R	eview Concluded)
□ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplain		
No adverse effect on floodplain and	not adversely affected by the	floodplain. (Review Concluded),
Are project conditions required?	Yes (see section V) No	(Review Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occi	upancy/Values (Review Cor	ncluded).
	ith investment in floodplain,	occupancy or modification of floodplain
environment	lagumantation on 615	
8 Step Process Complete - c		NO (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions requi	ied: 123 (see section v	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 08/04/2006- The parish of St. Bernar	rd is enrolled in the National	Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), as of
03/13/70. Per 44 CFR part 9.5 (C) (13), project i		
Management Specialist	A STATE OF THE STA	The second secon
Correspondence/consultation/references:		
Record of Environmental Consideration	5	08/14/06

Disaster/En	ame: Letha Dawson Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board nergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle
School - Gro	
_). 11990 - Wetlands
	fects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) ed in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
	Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)
	Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
	Review completed as part of floodplain review
	8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments Correspon	s: None adence/Consultation/References:
No Lo ☐ Low it	D. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations we income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments	:: None
Correspon	ndence/Consultation/References:
	III. Other Environmental Issues other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or e order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
Comments	:: None adence/Consultation/References:
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
David on	A series from the state of the
	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
•	A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.
Yes	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
	(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving
	unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
	cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
	regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

	ame: Letha Dawson Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board nergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0180 P.G.T. Beauregard Middle
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments	: None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

General comments: None

Project Conditions:

1. Repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form.

2. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 ET SEQ.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding. If the scope of work changes to include ground disturbing activities outside of the existing fence line or dugout roofing materials will not be replaced in kind, this scope of work will need to be resubmitted for further historic review.

Monitoring Requirements: None