Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School - Repair

## Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School - Repair / PW 12419

Project Location: 1101 East Judge Perez Drive, City of St. Bernard, Louisiana, St. Bernard Parish 70043 (N29.93996, W-89.95271)

Project Description: Project activities include repairing and replacing 150 LF of flashings and copings, 10,000 SF metal roof on second floor building, 100 doors and hardware, 1,880 SF of kitchen equipment, and air handling and HVAC systems including Siemens Energy Management System (EMS) HVAC controls. Hazard Mitigation will be achieved by installing miscellaneous iron painted steel angle framed platforms for mounting of the compressors at least one foot above the BFE. The existing concrete housekeeping pads previously used to support the compressors are to be used as bases and anchorage for the steel frame. Hazard Mitigation will also be achieved by installing a fully adhered built-up bituminous roof over fully adhered tapered rigid insulation over the entire roof area of Building A. The slope of the new roof will be a minimum of 1/4" per foot (insulation to be 2-1/2" minimum at edges to 10-1/2" minimum at center) with a continuous metal gutter at the roof edges (with metal downspouts down to grade).

	th metal downspouts down to grade).
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
Na	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)  Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded)  Categorical Exclusion - Category  No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).  Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Environmental Assessment  Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)

project has conditions and requires mitigation under other EHP laws.

Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement for (Permanent Schools) type of project. This

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School -Reviewer and Approvals Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection). FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: June R. Griffin, Environmental Specialist FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA) I. A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement, 12/03/04. Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowances, Appendix A, Section II (E1, C1, C2, H, & B1, A2, & A4). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required \( \subseteq \text{Yes (see section V)} \) \( \subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) Project affects undisturbed ground. Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required \( \subseteq \text{Yes (see section V)} \) \( \subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) Determination of historic properties affected NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)

file)

NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on

No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)

☐ Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on f☐ Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)  Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No  (Review Concluded)	ile)
Comments: 07/25/2006 – FEMA's Programmatic Agreement (PA), dated December 3, 2004, provides for expedited review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The scope of work as submitted in this has been reviewed and meets the criteria outlined in Appendix A, Programmatic Allowances, Section {II-E1, II-C1, II-H, II-B1, II-A2, II-A4}, of the document. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not required to determine the National Register Eligibility of Properties or to submit projects to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for review where work performed meets these allowances. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities are done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmore revealuation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this project. V. Gomez, Historic Preservation Specialist.	s PW II-C2, ional where s should mission
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal at Review Concluded)  Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal at No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)  Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)  ☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)  Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)  ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat  ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)  Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: None	
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act  ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation file) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded) ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)	on on
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
<ul> <li>Clean Water Act</li> <li>Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)</li> <li>Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.</li> <li>Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded)</li> <li>Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits.</li> <li>Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)</li> </ul>	n
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.  Correspondence/Consultation/References:	

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School -

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

E. Coastal Zone Management Act	
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded)	
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone	
State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded)	
State administering agency requires consistency review.	
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. La DNR has determine receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the Zone may still require a Coastal Use Permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated to the Contacting LA DNR at 1-800-276-4019. Correspondence/Consultation/References:	he Coastal
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)	
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)	
Coordination with USFWS conducted	
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)	
Recommendations provided by USFWS.	
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
G. Clean Air Act  ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions. Correspondence/Consultation/References:  H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded)	
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.	
Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required.	
☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.  Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)	
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  □ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)  □ Project located within a flyway zone. □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)  □ Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS  Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded)	

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School -

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School - Repair

Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met. Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi\_map.pdf, J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) Project is along or affects WSR Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School - Repair

Comments: 07/25/2006 - The Parish of St. Bernard enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) on 03/13/70. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel Number 2252040290 B dated 05/01/85, project is located in Zone "B", in area protected from the 100-year flood by levee, dike, or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger floods. Project is repair of building and replacement of equipment and components. As per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Per Flood Recovery Guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, replacement of equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 feet above the Highest Adjacent Grade Elevation. A. C. Clark Floodplain Spec. Correspondence/Consultation/References:

E.O. 11990 - Wetlands
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)
Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
Review completed as part of floodplain review
■ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file  Are project conditions required?  ■ YES (see section V) ■ NO (Review Concluded)    Review Concluded   Review
The project conditions required: TES (see section v) Tho (Review Concluded)
nments: None
respondence/Consultation/References:
E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Population No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area  No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)  Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
nments: None respondence/Consultation/References:
III. Other Environmental Issues
ntify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or cutive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
aments: None
respondence/Consultation/References:
IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
ed on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in sideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes
(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy

Disaster/Em Repair	ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/ESB 0137 Lasoste Elementary School -
	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
	<ul> <li>(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;</li> </ul>
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

Comments: None

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

## **Project Conditions:**

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

- All proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding.
- 2. Per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Per Flood Recovery Guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, replacement of equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 feet above the Highest Adjacent Grade Elevation.

Monitoring Requirements: None

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board