Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary

School-Grounds

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: Sebastian Roy Elementary School-Grounds / PW 11586

<u>Project Location</u>: 4301 Bayou Road, St. Bernard, Louisiana, St. Bernard Parish 70085 (N29.8444, W-89.76465)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project activities include replacing one hexagon shaped safety pad underneath playset, replacing mulch safety surface under playsets, replacing one 8 foot high 4 seat metal swingset, replacing 4 concrete tables, replacing 8 concrete benches, replacing corrugated metal roof and round metal support pole on a dugout, replacing corrugated metal roof, corrugated metal fascia and round metal support poles on the dugout that washed away, repairing one lighted school sign and steam cleaning playsets. Hazard mitigation will be achieved by compliance with current codes and standards and good construction practices.

Do	ocumentation Requirements
	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
Na	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement

Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) laws.

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary Reviewer and Approvals Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection). FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Letha Dawson, Environmental Specialist . Date 07/10/2006 FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Donald Fairley, ELO . Date 07/10/2006 Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA) I. A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement (12/03/2004) Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # Appendix A, Section I, A and I and Section II, H. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) Project affects undisturbed ground. Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Determination of historic properties affected NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)

No Adverse Effect Determination, (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title:	Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary
School-Grounds	
□ A	Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
replacement of metal support poles an SHPO data, there are no known archae Programmatic Agreement (12/3/2004) of work, archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a inform their Public Assistance (PA) coapplicant will not proceed with work a Louisiana State Historic Preservation Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Staw enforcement agency of the jurisdicapplicant shall also notify FEMA and Archeology at 225-342-8170) within state of the process of the supplicant shall also notify FEMA and Archeology at 225-342-8170) within state of the programmatic archieology at 225-342-8170) within state of the programmatic archieology at 225-342-8170) within state of the programmatic archieology at 225-342-8170) within state of the programmatic Agreement (12/3/2004) of work, archaeology and take a programmatic Agreement (12/3/2004) of work, archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the vicinity of the discovery and take a programmatic archaeological artifacts (preh the vicinity of the v	ew complete: scope of work indicates ground disturbing activities associated with the and return of school grounds to their pre-disaster condition. Upon consultation of seological sites within .25 miles of the project area. Therefore, scope of work meets a Allowances, Appendix A, Section I, A and I and Section II, H. If during the course historic or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation staff. The until FEMA Historic Preservation staff have completed consultation with the Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the cites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 ET SEQ.) is required. The applicant shall notify the ction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may - Katherine Zeringue, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist mices:
Review Concluded) ☐ Listed species and/or designated or ☐ No effect to species or de Are project conditions red ☐ May affect, but not likely determination/USFWS/NMF Are project conditions red ☐ Likely to adversely affect ☐ Formal consultations	d critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. ritical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. ritical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. ritical habitat. (See comments for justification) quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) rot oadversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA So concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) t species or designated critical habitat tion concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) ditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Referen	nces:
Project is on or connected to CBR. file) Proposed action an excep Proposed action not excep	CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). A Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on on on one of the other wise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on one of the other Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded) pted under Section 3505.a.6. quired? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Referen	nces:
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters ☐ Project would affect waters, includ ☐ Project exempted as in kin	s of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ling wetlands, of the U.S. nd replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) 104/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification

Are project conditions required?	Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Applicant: St. Bernard Parish Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian School-Grounds				
E. Coastal Zone Management Act Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded). State administering agency does not require consistency review. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References: F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References: G. Clean Air Act Project is located in an attainment area. Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded) Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Review Concluded Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project condition with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Revie	Are project conditions required?	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. State administering agency requires consistency review. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References: F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References: G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None		y waterways of the US.			
and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References: F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	☐ Project is not located in a coastal zone are ☐ Project is located in a coastal zone area an ☐ State administering agency does ☐ State administering agency require	ea and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) nd/or affects the coastal zone not require consistency review. (Review Concluded). ires consistency review.			
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US.	and the Louisiana Coastal Manageme	ent Plan (LCMP).			
Correspondence/Consultation/References: G. Clean Air Act ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None	Project does not affect, control, or modify Project affects, controls or modifies a wate Coordination with USFWS condu No Recommendations o Recommendations prov	a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) erway/body of water. ucted offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) vided by USFWS.			
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None					
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None	 ☑ Project will not result in permanent air em ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with application. 	Review Concluded) cable state administering agency			
 ☑ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None					
	☐ Project does not affect designated prime of ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible ☐ Coordination with Natural Resou ☐ Farmland Conversion Ir	or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. urce Conservation Commission required, mpact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.			
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:				
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. Record of Environmental Consideration 4 07/10/06	☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to Are project conditions required? ☐ Project has potential to take migra	take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) atory birds.			

School-Grounds	: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary
Contact made v	with USFWS additions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
determined that restoration projects fi fish and wildlife reserves. These deter	ey to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has unded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or othe rminations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisian
Endangered Species Summary are me Correspondence/Consultation/Refere	nces: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fig	shery Conservation and Management Act
Project not located in or near Esse	ential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Project located in or near Essentia	I Fish Habitat.
	y affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions re	quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Project adversely affects	Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
■ NOAA Fisherie	s provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
Are project con-	ditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	s provided recommendation(s)
	reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.
Are pro	oject conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/Referen	nces:
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLI ☐ Project does not adversel	WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. M consultation on file) (Review Concluded) y affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) quired? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/Referen	nces:
L. Other Relevant Laws a	and Environmental Regulations
II. Compliance R	eview for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla	ins
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects of	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects o	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels dplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects of No adverse effect on flooder project conditions recommended in Floodplain Effect on Floodplain Effect	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels dplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), quired? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) dplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects of No adverse effect on flooder project conditions recommended in Flooder Possible adverse effects a	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels dplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects or No adverse effect on flooder project conditions reconstituted in Flooder project conditions reconstituted in Flooder properties and properties and project conditions reconstituted in Flooder properties and project conditions reconstituted in Flooder project conditions and project conditions are project conditions.	vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels dplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) dplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). ssociated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodpla No Effect on Floodplains/Flood le Located in Floodplain or Effects of No adverse effect on flooder project conditions recomment Possible adverse effects a environment 8 Step Process C	ins vels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) n Floodplains/Flood levels dplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) dplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary School-Grounds elevations and flood hazard factors determined. Project is to restore playground and equipment to pre-disaster footprint which is not likely to affect the floodplain. A. Spann, CFM Correspondence/Consultation/References: B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: III. Other Environmental Issues Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological. cultural, historical or other protected resources;

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Disaster/Em School-Grou	ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / ESB0125 Sebastian Roy Elementary ands
	 (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comment	

Comments: None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

General comments: None

Project Conditions:

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

1. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 ET SEQ.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.

Monitoring Requirements: None

Applicant: St. Bernard Parish School Board