Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Record of Environmental Consideration

Project Name/Number: Plaquemines Sheriff's Detention Center / PW 1211

Project Location: Plaquemines Sheriff's Detention Center, 110 Prison Road, Braithwaite, Louisiana 70082 [N29.59859, W-89.82654]

Project Description: Demolish 800 bed 90 300sf detention center which was destroyed by 30 foot

flood surge and flying debris. Rebuild on existing slab, pile footings, and foundations. The building will be an identical structural system to pre-disaster condition. Replace fence, in kind.
Documentation Requirements
No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (xv) (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement Comments: Refer to Programmatic Categorical Exclusion Restoration and/or Improvement of External Facility Systems and Components dated 09/01/2005. See attached.
Reviewer and Approvals
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Amanda Duchek, Environmental Specialist Signature
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Mike Grisham, DELO

1

01/21/06

Reviewer Name: Amanda Duchek **Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR-1603-LA / Public Build	Applicant: Plaquemines Parish Sheriff OFC
productive by together together by the built	ings and Lacinicis, LW 1211
	_
Signature Multiple Signature	Date01/21/06
I. Compliance Review for Envi	ronmental Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act	
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic prope	rties. (Review Concluded)
Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement 12/04.	
Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # <u>I. E.</u> Are project conditions required? Yes (see s	ection V) No (Review Concluded)
Programmatic Agreement does not apply, must conduct S	
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTUR	
No historic properties listed on NRHP or 45/50 years or o ☐ Building or structure listed on NRHP or 45/50 years or ol	
	d (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see	e section V) No (Review Concluded)
	EMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
	and National Park Service was provided early notification
during the consultation process. If not, o	
	AA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Yes (see section V) \[\sum \] No \(\frac{(Review Concluded)}{\} \]
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA	
Resolution of Adverse Effect	
	ed Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
ADCHAEOLOGICAL DESOUDCES	
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ☐ Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review	v Constuded)
Project affects undisturbed ground.	Concluded
Project area has no potential for presence of arch	neological resources
	affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or
consultation on file). (Review Conclud	
Project area has potential for presence of archae	
	s affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Determination of historic properties af	es (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
<u> </u>	esent (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).
	red Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	nt in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence
on file)	
	mination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
	quired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	nation. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
	onditions required? \(\sumeq\) Yes (see section V) \(\sumeq\) No
(Review Con	
Comments Dailding constructed in the 1005 and	in 1000. The femore remain/newlesses and fellowed and the
Comments: Buildings constructed in the 1995, and expanded Programmatic Agreement: THIS SCOPE OF WORK HAS	
APPENDIX A, PROGRAMMATIC ALLOWANCES, ITEM	
AGREEMENT (PA) DATED DECEMBER 3, 2004. IN AC	
TO DETERMINE THE NATIONAL REGISTER ELIGIBIL	
MEETS THE APPENDIX A CRITERIA.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	

B. Endangered Species Act

Reviewer Name: Amanda Duchek Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR-1603-LA / Public	Applicant: Plaquemines Parish Sheriff OFC Buildings and Facilities/ PW 1211
 Review Concluded) ☐ Listed species and/or designated critical habitat prese ☐ No effect to species or designated critical had are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (sometimes) ☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affect determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on form and are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (sometimes) ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or designated ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Bit is a property of the pro	ee section V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) t species or designated critical habitat (FEMA rile) (Review Concluded) ee section V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \)
Piping Plover, Green Sea Turtle, Hawksbill Sea Turtle, F Turtle, Gulf Sturgeon, and Pallid Sturgeon. Project active habitat	rish include the Bald Eagle, Brown Pelican, West Indian Manatee, Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle, Leatherback Sea Turtle, Loggerhead Sea rities will have no adverse effect on any listed species or critical r/southeast/es/louisiana.htm, US Fish and Wildlife Service letter
file) Proposed action an exception under Section Proposed action not excepted under Section	se Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Revi ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of th ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section under Nationwide Permits.	ne U.S.
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
E. Coastal Zone Management Act Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects State administering agency does not require State administering agency requires consisted Are project conditions required? YES (so	s the coastal zone consistency review. (Review Concluded).
Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Any change to the a	nsistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act and the Louisiana approved scope of work will require re-submission to FEMA for re-npliance with this requirement may jeopardize the receipt of federal
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Ac Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body	y/body of water. (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Amanda Duchek Applicant: Plaquemines Parish Sheriff OFC Pisaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR-1603-LA / Public Buildings and Facilities/ PW 1211
Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is rebuilding a structure in kind, will not result in permanent air emissions. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☑ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf ,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None

Reviewer Name: Amanda Duchek Applicant: Plaquemines Parish Sheriff OFC Pisaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR-1603-LA / Public Buildings and Facilities/ PW 1211
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act ☑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
Identify relevant law or regulations, resolution and any consultation/references: None
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 1/21/2006 THE PARISH OF PLAQUEMINES IS ENROLLED IN THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM (NFIP) AS OF 5/1/85. FACILITY IS LOCATED WITHIN A "B" ZONE, AREA BETWEEN LIMITS OF 100-YR FLOOD AND THE 500-YEAR FLOOD, PER FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) PANEL NUMBER 220139 0450 B. DATED 5/1/85. PROJECT IS DEMOLITION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF SHERIFF'S DETENTION CENTER TO PRE-DISASTER FOOTPRINT WHICH IS NOT LIKELY TO AFFECT ANY FLOODPLAIN. PROHNE, CFM. Correspondence/Consultation/References: see PW for reference to floodplain report
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

	Name: Amanda Duchek Applicant: Plaquemines Parish Sheriff OFC mergency/Program/Project Title: DR-1603-LA / Public Buildings and Facilities/ PW 1211				
3					
Correspon	ts: None ndence/Consultation/References:				
Correspon	indence/consultation/references.				
	III. Other Environmental Issues				
	Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).				
Comments	ss: None ndence/Consultation/References:				
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances				
	n the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in ration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.				
sh	* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) we should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigatelease explain in comments. If no, leave blank.				
Yes	 (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. (x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves. 				
Comments: None					
V. Environmental Review Project Conditions					
Project Conditions: 1. This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion.					
Monito	oring Requirements: None				