Record of Environmental Consideration REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number:	Sherwood Forest Elementary School- Modular 1 - PW 15027V3
Applicant Name:	Recovery School District
Project Location:	4801 Maid Marion Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70128
	Latitude: 30.02479 Longitude: -89.95495
	g a constant
Project Description:	Hurricane Katrina caused catastrophic damage on August 29, 2005 to Sherwood
Forest Elementary School. T	his project is one among a total of 22 contributing (donor) facilities approved by
FEMA for replacement. The	Recovery School District chooses to use eligible funds for this replacement project
(less demolition costs) towar	rds the renovation of another existing RSD school. This project scope of work
includes only the demolition	and disposal of Sherwood Forest Elementary School-Modular 1.
National Environmental Po	olicy Act (NEPA) Determination
Statutorily excluded fro	om NEPA review (Review Concluded)
Programmatic Categori	cal Exclusion
Categorical Exclusion -	Category
No Extraordinary	Circumstances exist.
Are project condit	tions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Extraordinary Circ	cumstances exist (see Section IV).
☐ Extraordinary	Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments)
Are project co	onditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Environmental Assess	ment
Supplemental Environ	mental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
Environmental Impact	Statement
Scope of work require	s public involvement plan
Comments: This project meets	s the criteria to utilize the Alternative Arrangements process within the National
	PA) approved by the Council on Environmental Quality, Department of Homeland Security,
	on documentation provided by the applicant, FEMA has determined that the Recovery School
	ctory process of public involvement and outreach in its project development and is otherwise
	Alternative Arrangements for NEPA compliance. The Recovery School District is involvement materials. This material will be available at close-out for authentication and
	de available for the closeout reviewer.
Such documentation will be muc	to uvulluole for the croseout feviewer.
Project is Non-Compli	ant (see attached documentation justifying selection)
	ant (ood anathon doors.not) the bottom kange
Reviewer and Approvals	
FEMA Environmental Rev	riewer:
	rophental Specialist, FEMA LA-TRO
- N	
Signature /	Date 4/2/05.
FEMA Environmental Lia	ison Officer or Delegated Approving Official:
Name: Cynthia Teeter, Depu	ity Environmental Liaison Officer, FEMA LA TRO
for (a)	\sim CO 1 $\sim 10^{-100}$
Signature Too	17 Cal Date 4131109000.
	in the second se

1000

I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)

A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic structures or archaeological resources (Review Concluded)
Activity meets Programmatic Agreement, December 3, 2004. Appendix A: Allowance No.
Are project conditions required?
Programmatic Agreement not applicable for historic structures or archeological sites, must conduct standard Section 106
Review (see comments).
Other Programmatic Agreement dated applies
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES
No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded)
Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review.
Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification
during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments
No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \)
ADCHEOLOGICAL DECOLIDORS
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES
Project scope of work has no potential to affect archeological resources (Review Concluded) Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded)
Project affects undisturbed ground or grounds associated with a historic structure Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources
Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
(Paviow Concluded)
Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPQ/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
Determination of historic properties affected
NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
NR eligible resources present in project area (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \subseteq \text{No} \)
(Review Concluded)
Comments: A review of Version3 of this PW was conducted on this date. Based on the information contained therein, the
Historic Preservation Determination of Version 2, dated 11/7/07, is unchanged and as such, is applicable to this version. All
previous comments and conditions are still applicable. No further review is required unless there is a change to the scope
of work.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: - R. Anchors, Historic Preservation Specialist
Supplied the state of the state
B. Endangered Species Act
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. □
(Review Concluded)
Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones FEMA-1603-DR-LA	Project Name: Sherwood Forest Elementary School Parish: Orleans
	ecies or designated critical habitat (FEMA (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is located in an urban or previously devenue this site, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to the Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS emerge September 15, 2005 for Katrina.	• •
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Pfile) Proposed action an exception under Section 350 Proposed action not excepted under Section 350 Are project conditions required? YES (see	Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on O5.a.6 (Review Concluded) O5.a.6.
Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coas	
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or othe ☐ Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water including qualification under Nationwide Permoder Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see) ☐ Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to the U.S. by discharging the U.S. by discha	J.S. er exemption. (Review Concluded) er Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit, its. Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: No jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including values of the U.S., including values on 04/21/09.	vetlands, occur in the project area. nal Wetlands Inventory map (http://www.fws.gov/nwi/) queried
federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal R	e coastal zone sistency review. (Review Concluded). Vereview. Section V) NO (Review Concluded) stal Management Zone. LA DNR has determined that receipt of esource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still
require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNI 800-276-4019. **Correspondence/Consultation/References:* Louisiana Coastal Coast	R. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-tal Zone maps queried 04/21/09.
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USF Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)	water. WS. <u>(Review Concluded)</u> /S.
	· Bull

Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)

NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)

Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.

Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Project Name: Sherwood Forest Elementary School Parish: Orleans

Comments: Project is not located in any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (http://wwwlamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) referenced 04/21/09. K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR). Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.html. L. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act State Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Laws and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Comments: The renovation activities associated with this project may result in various types of debris and wastes, some of which may be hazardous. Therefore, all debris and waste must be treated, stored, and disposed of in a proper manner and location. (See Section V) **II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders** A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This version is for the demolition of the facility. The remaining replacement funds will be de-obligated and applied to an alternate project involving another facility. No further floodplain review will be forthcoming for PW listed unless there should be a re-obligation of funds or changes to the scope of work. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Melanie Sibley, Environmental Specialist-Floodplain B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq \text{YES} \) (see Section V) \(\subseteq \text{NO} \) (Review Concluded) Comments: No wetlands were determined to be present by checking the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS NWI map accessed on-line. (http://wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/wtlnds/launch.html) 04/20/2009.

C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations

FEMA-1603-	3-DR-LA	Parish: Orleans	
Project	t scope of work has no potential to adversely impact a	ny population (Review Concluded)	
	w income or minority population in, near or affected b	y the project based on information gathered from	[add a
	(Review Concluded)		
	ncome or minority population in or near project area		
	☐ No disproportionately high and adverse impact on I		luded)
	Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low in Are project conditions required? XYES (see Sect		
	Are project conditions required: 2 1 ES (see Sect	on V) [] NO (Neview Concluded)	
	s The percent populations of 70128 are: 31.9% Black, 1999 was \$ 40,160 and 15.0% of families are below p	•	sehold
be applied demograph	ect involves the demolition and abandonment of a puble to the reconstruction of other schools throughout Orlehics as a result of the storm; the changed cultural envird functionality of the existing buildings. This action is	eans Parish. This action takes into consideration the comment subsequent to the age of school integration	ne changed n; and the
that is emb	e main goals of the RSD Master Plan was to ensure the braced by the public. Numerous public meetings were sly documented and public comments were correlated	held in developing the Master Plan. The meetings	
	very School District is responsible for archiving public for authentication and such documentation will be made		lable at
Correspond 04/21/09.	ndence/Consultation/References: U.S. Census bureau	2000 data at http://factfinder.census.gov, referen	ced
* ., in 1985	Company C	a flisham	
	ther Environmental Issues		
111. 00	ther Environmental issues		
Talamaife, a		somewant how not also also falling and an al-	
•	other potential environmental concerns in the e order (see environmental concerns scoping c	• •	aw or
	t order (see environmental concerns scoping e	recense for guidance).	
Comments			
Correspon	ndence/Consultation/Reference:		
IV. Ext	traordinary Circumstances		
	was selfe	1 to	
Yes		· Constant	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced	for a particular category of action	
	(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy		
ار لیا	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, o	already existing poor environmental	
· h	conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with pote	atial adverse effects or actions involving	
Ш.	unique or unknown environmental risks;	itial adverse effects of actions involving	
	(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species o	their critical habitat, or archaeological,	
	cultural, historical or other protected resources;		
	(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at le		
 1	regulations or standards requiring action or attention		
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special state		
	such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and sole or principal drinking water aquifers;	wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,	
П	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safet	v; and	
靣	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or trib		
	protection of the environment.	•	
į	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact wher		
· • • • • •	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable futur proposed action may not be significant by themselve		
	TREGUNEO METOD DIAV DOI DE SIVETOCARI DV IDEMSETV	ت.	

THE STATE

a quigett t Sacretic

Parish: Orleans

Comments:

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

150 400

This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion. In addition, the following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

- In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana parish of Orleans is under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- Applicant is responsible to ensure potential hazardous materials, if any, shall be removed, handled, transported and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal compliance requirements.
- If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO. In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of federal funding.
- The Recovery School District is responsible for archiving public involvement materials. This material will be available at close-out for authentication and such documentation will be made available for the closeout reviewer.