# Record of Environmental Consideration

## REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number:	Woodson Carter G. Middle School - PW 13998V4			
Applicant Name: Project Location:	Recovery School District 2514 Third Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Latitude: 29.93560 Longitude: -90.09008			
Project Description: Hurricane Katrina caused catastrophic damage on August 29, 2005 to Woodson Carter G. Middle School. This project is one among a total of 22 contributing (donor) facilities approved by FEMA for replacement. The Recovery School District chooses to use eligible funds for this replacement project (less demolition costs) towards the renovation of another existing RSD school. This project scope of work includes only the demolition and disposal of Woodson Carter G. Middle School School.				
8 Statutorily excluded fro Programmatic Categoric Categorical Exclusion No Extraordinary Are project condit Extraordinary Circ Extraordinary Are project co	Category Circumstances exist. ions required?  Yes (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) umstances exist (see Section IV). Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments) onditions required?  Yes (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) ment mental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)			
Environmental Policy Act (NEP and FEMA on 3/23/06. Based on District has conducted a satisfact eligible for consideration under responsible for archiving public	the criteria to utilize the Alternative Arrangements process within the National (A) approved by the Council on Environmental Quality, Department of Homeland Security, in documentation provided by the applicant, FEMA has determined that the Recovery School story process of public involvement and outreach in its project development and is otherwise Alternative Arrangements for NEPA compliance. The Recovery School District is involvement materials. This material will be available at close-out for authentication and le available for the closeout reviewer.			
	ant (see attached documentation justifying selection)			
Reviewer and Approvals	(1984년) - 전문 - 1987년 -			
FEMA Environmental Reviewer:  Name: Catherine Jones, Environmental Specialist, FEMA LA-TRO  Signature  Date  Date  FEMA Environmental Liaison Officer or Delegated Approving Official:  Name: Cynthia Teeter, Deputy Environmental Liaison Officer, FEMA LA TRO  Signature  Date  Date				

\$ 5°

Project Name: Woodson Carter G. Middle School Parish: Orleans

## I, Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)

A DI AL TITLE A CONTROL AND A					
A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)					
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic structures or archaeological resources (Review Concluded)					
Activity meets Programmatic Agreement, December 3, 2004. Appendix A: Allowance No.					
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see Section V) No					
Programmatic Agreement not applicable for historic structures or archeological sites, must conduct standard Section 10					
Review (see comments).					
Other Programmatic Agreement datedapplies					
applies					
HYOMODIC BYIN DINGO AND CORPYCONYING					
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES					
No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded)					
Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review.					
Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification					
during the consultation process. If not explain in comments					
No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
140 Adverse Effect Determination (1 EMA minding 5111 0/1111 0 concentration of the					
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)					
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES					
Project scope of work has no potential to affect archeological resources (Review Concluded)					
Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded)					
Project affects undisturbed ground or grounds associated with a historic structure					
Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources					
Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
(Review Concluded)					
Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources					
Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
Determination of historic properties affected					
☐ NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
NR eligible resources present in project area (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file					
No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPQ/THPO concurrence on file)					
Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)					
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)					
Are project conditions required? \( \subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \subseteq \text{No} \)					
(Review Concluded)					
Comments: - A review of version #3 of this project was conducted in accordance FEMA's Programmatic Agreement dated					
December 3, 2004. FEMA has determined that No Historic Properties are affected by the demolition of Woodson Carter G					
Middle School SHPO concurrence with this determination was received, dated 08/12/2008. The review of the Alternate					
project associated with this PW will be documented in PW 18597. The applicant must comply with the Louisiana					
Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) and the Inadvertent Discovery Clause, which can be					
Control of The Control of Strips of Strips					
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Rusty Anchors, Historic Preservation Specialist.					
Correspondence Consumunou References. Rusty Anchors, Thistoric Preservation Specialists					
R Endangared Species Act					
B. Endangered Species Act					
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.					
(Review Concluded)					

Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.

Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)

<ul> <li>No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)         Are project conditions required?</li></ul>				
Comments: Project is located in an urban or previously developed a near this site, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to threatened a Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS emergency con September 15, 2005 for Katrina.	or endangered species. sultation provisions determined in letters dated			
	5.64 (66)			
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act  ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected file) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (E	Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on			
Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6.	contraded)			
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section	V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barri	er Resource System Mans referenced 04/23/09			
Correspondences Consumations Registrates Boulding Consumations				
D. Cl W. A. A. A.	144.00			
D. Clean Water Act	29-A			
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Conclude	led)			
Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.				
Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemp	tion. (Review Concluded)			
Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water Act or	Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit,			
including qualification under Nationwide Permits.				
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section	V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to a surface				
<u></u>				
Comments: No jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including wetlands, Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS National Wetlands on 04/23/09.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
E. Coastal Zone Management Act				
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a				
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal	gram as in t			
State administering agency does not require consistency	review. (Review Concluded).			
State administering agency requires consistency review.	- 4 27			
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section '	V) \( \sum \text{NO (Review Concluded)} \)			
Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. LA DNR has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-276-4019.				
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Zone	maps queried 04/25/07.			
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	(Parion Cardo I. I)			
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of w	ater. (Review Concluded)			
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.				
Coordination with USFWS conducted	wiew Complieded)			
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Re	view Concinued)			
Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)	. 3			
7 1 10F				
	anel:			

Project Name: Woodson Carter G. Middle School

Parish: Orleans

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

FEMA-1603-DR-LA

FEMA-1603-DR-LA	Parish: Orleans
Recommendations provided by USFV	
Are project conditions required?	YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
<u> </u>	<u></u>
Comments: No streams or water bodies are located in or ne	ar the project area.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map	A .
Correspondence Consumations Rejerences. Education Map	(integrit with manage and another integrit of the control of the c
G. Clean Air Act	
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review	ew Concluded)
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concl	uded)
Project is located in a non-attainment area.	
Coordination required with applicable state adm	ninistering agency.
Are project conditions required? YES (see	
Frederican durant Total Contraction	(======================================
Comments. This project involves the reposition of a public	atmetice. The managed majest includes estimities that would
	structure. The proposed project includes activities that would
produce a minor, temporary, and localized impact on air qua	ality from fugitive dust particles. No long-term air quality
impact is anticipated.	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6	Non-attainment Map.
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act	₹ <del>8</del> 1
Project will not affect undisturbed ground. (Review Cor	adudad)
Project has a zoning classification that is other than agric	
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farml	
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of	
Coordination with Natural Resources Conserva	•
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating,	
Are project conditions required?	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments. The project site is in a developed urbanized are	IP I ID DI A . /PDDAY I I I IN
Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized are	a and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No
• -	a and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No
prime or unique farmland present.	
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey
prime or unique farmland present.	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey /09.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey /09.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  /09.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concl.)	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  /09.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.	luded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclete National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  Project not located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator	luded)  (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.	luded)  (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclete National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  Project not located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator	luded)  ry birds (Review Concluded) section V) No (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see see see see see see see see see	luded)  (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concless) Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Afe project conditions required? Yes (see some project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS	rce Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  /09.  luded)  ry birds (Review Concluded) section V) No (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concless) Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Afe project conditions required? Yes (see some project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS	luded)  ry birds (Review Concluded) section V) No (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclete Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see a Project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS  Are project conditions required?	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Try birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Try birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see some project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.	Inded)  Inded)  Ty birds (Review Concluded)  Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Ty value to migratory birds and would not be included in the
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?	Inded)  Inded)  Ty birds (Review Concluded)  Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Ty value to migratory birds and would not be included in the
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclete Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Afe project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated.	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Try birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Try value to migratory birds and would not be included in the lince letter dated September 27, 2005.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclete Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Afe project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated.	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Try birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Try value to migratory birds and would not be included in the lince letter dated September 27, 2005.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see some project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Ty birds (Review Concluded)  Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Ty value to migratory birds and would not be included in the ence letter dated September 27, 2005.  Intion and Management Act
Project not located within a flyway zone.    Project located within a flyway zone.   Project does not have potential to take migratory Are project conditions required?   Yes (see some project condition	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Inded)  Ty birds (Review Concluded)  Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Ty value to migratory birds and would not be included in the ence letter dated September 27, 2005.  Intion and Management Act
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclest Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Are project conditions required? Yes (see some Project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS  Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation or near Essential Fish Habitat (Remark) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey    1/09.     1/09.
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conclest Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Are project conditions required? Yes (see some Project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS  Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conserva  Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish	Ince Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey  //09.  Indeed)  Try birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  Try value to migratory birds and would not be included in the section and Management Act (Neview Concluded)  Interview Concluded)  Habitat (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Afe project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservated  Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Reconditions) Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Are project conditions required? Yes (see a see a project conditions requi	ry birds (Review Concluded) section V) No (Review Concluded) YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded) value to migratory birds and would not be included in the ince letter dated September 27, 2005.  Ation and Management Act view Concluded)  Habitat (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Afe project conditions required? Yes (see a project conditions required? Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated Description of the project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat	Inded)  Ty birds (Review Concluded) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Ty value to migratory birds and would not be included in the Ince letter dated September 27, 2005.  Intion and Management Act (Neview Concluded)  Habitat (Review Concluded)  Section V) No (Review Concluded)  (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23/  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migratory Afe project conditions required? Yes (see some project conditions required? Are project conditions required?  Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservated  Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Rec.)  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat.  NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendary Yes (see Stephen Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat.	Inded)  Inded)
Project located within a flyway zone.   Project has potential to take migratory birds.   Are project conditions required?   Descriptions   Correspondence/Consultation/References:   USFWS guidant   Description   Project located within a flyway zone.   Project has potential to take migratory birds.   Contact made with USFWS   Are project conditions required?   Project located within a flyway zone.   Project has potential to take migratory birds.   Contact made with USFWS   Are project conditions required?   Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat   Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.   Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat   Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat   NOAA Fisheries provided no recomm   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see State Project conditions required?   NOAA Fisheries provided no recomm   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see State Project Con	Inded)  Inded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Are project conditions required? Yes (see some project conditions required? Are project conditions required?  Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservated  Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Rec.)  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat.  NOAA Fisheries provided no recommand Are project conditions required?  NOAA Fisheries provided recommend	Inded)  Inded)
prime or unique farmland present.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resou (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 04/23.  I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Conc.)  Project located within a flyway zone.  Project does not have potential to take migrator Afe project conditions required? Yes (see some project conditions required? Are project conditions required? Are project conditions required?  Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required?  Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidated  J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservated Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Recompleted for project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat.  NOAA Fisheries provided no recommand Are project conditions required?  NOAA Fisheries provided recommend Written reply to NOAA Fisheries	Inded)  Inded)

Project Name: Woodson Carter G. Middle School

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Project Name: Woodson Carter G. Middle School Parish: Orleans

Parish: Orleans

Comments: Project is not located in any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) referenced 04/23/09.			
Correspondence Consultation References. Louistana Map ( <u>int.p.//www.namap.doa.touisiama.gov/</u> ) referenced 04/25/09.			
<ul> <li>K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</li> <li>         □ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)         □ Project is along or affects WSR         □ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.         (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)         □ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)</li> </ul>			
Are project conditions required?   YES (see Section V)   NO (Review Concluded)			
Comments: Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR).  Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.html.			
L. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act			
State Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Laws and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Comments: The renovation activities associated with this project may result in various types of debris and wastes, some of which may be hazardous. Therefore, all debris and waste must be treated, stored, and disposed of in a proper manner and location. (See Section V)			
H. Compliance Review for Executive Orders			
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains  No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)  Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels  No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),  Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)  Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).  Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment  8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file  Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Comments: This version is for the demolition of the facility. The remaining replacement funds will be de-obligated and applied to an alternate project involving another facility. No further floodplain review will be forthcoming for PW listed unless there should be a re-obligation of funds or changes to the scope of work.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: Melanie Sibley, Environmental Specialist-Floodplain			
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands  No Effects on Wetland(s) and/or project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)  Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)  Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)  Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland  Review completed as part of floodplain review  8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file  Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)			
Comments: No wetlands were determined to be present by checking the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS NWI map accessed on-line.  (http://wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/wtlnds/launch.html) 04/23/2009.			

No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project by	
reference] (Review Concluded)	based on information gathered from [add a
□ Low income or minority population in or near project area	18. (1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or	minority population (Review Concluded)
Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minor	
Are project conditions required? XYES (see Section V) NO	
Comments The percent populations of 70113 are: 31.9% Black, 64% White, a	and 3.0% Hispanic. The median household
income in 1999 was \$ 60,374 and 15.0% of families are below poverty level.	
This are in the control of the desired and the control of a control of the contro	Annuary of Araban Sandah and hadd the call to such a selection
This project involves the demolition and abandonment of a public school. Cos be applied to the reconstruction of other schools throughout Orleans Parish. The	
demographics as a result of the storm; the changed cultural environment subse	
substandard functionality of the existing buildings. This action is considered to	
students. Colo Colo	:
One of the main goals of the RSD Master Plan was to ensure the maximization	
that is embraced by the public. Numerous public meetings were held in develo	
meticulously documented and public comments were correlated for considerat	ion in the plan.
The Recovery School District is responsible for archiving public involvement	materials. This material will be available at
close-out for authentication and such documentation will be made available fo	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: U.S. Census bureau 2000 data at	http://factfinder.census.gov, referenced
04/21/09.	
III. Other Environmental Issues	
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for	
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be	
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for   Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular	guidance).
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for   Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse experiences.	guidance).  ar category of action  ing poor environmental
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;	ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for  Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse equique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical	ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;	ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological,
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;  (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceptions are successive to the control of the protected resources;	ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological,
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;	ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological, ceed Federal, state or local
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exerciplations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas advensuch as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness are	guidance).  ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological, ceed Federal, state or local sely or other critical resources
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;  (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which extregulations or standards requiring action or attention;  (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas advensuch as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness are sole or principal drinking water aquifers;	guidance).  ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological, ceed Federal, state or local sely or other critical resources
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for Comments: None  Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;  (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exregulations or standards requiring action or attention;  (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversuch as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness are sole or principal drinking water aquifers;  (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and	guidance).  ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological, ceed Federal, state or local sely or other critical resources eas, wild and scenic rivers,
III. Other Environmental Issues  Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment be executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already exist conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse e unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical cultural, historical or other protected resources;  (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which extregulations or standards requiring action or attention;  (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas advensuch as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness are sole or principal drinking water aquifers;	guidance).  ar category of action ing poor environmental effects or actions involving habitat, or archaeological, ceed Federal, state or local sely or other critical resources eas, wild and scenic rivers,

Reviewer Nan FEMA-1603-1	ne: Catherine Jones OR-LA	Project Name: Woodson Carter G. Middle School Parish: Orleans	
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future proposed action may not be significant by themselves	actions, even though the impacts of the	
Comments:		. ;	

### V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

#### **Project Conditions:**

This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion. In addition, the following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

- In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana parish of Orleans is under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- Applicant is responsible to ensure potential hazardous materials, if any, shall be removed, handled, transported and disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal compliance requirements.
- If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO. In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Division of Archaeology at 225-342-8170 within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of federal funding.
- The Recovery School District is responsible for archiving public involvement materials. This material will be available at close-out for authentication and such documentation will be made available for the closeout reviewer.

with one, co office of Cal

Aug of Other

HAM.

At the

p. 151.4

1 43,