Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Recovery School District

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary

School Publisher C

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary School-Building C / PW 13717

<u>Project Location</u>: 3519 Trafalgar Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, Orleans Parish 70119 (N29.98831, W-90.08088)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project activities include replacing the facility by the 50% rule under 44 CFR 206.226(F)2 to restore it to pre-disaster condition, and upgrading the facility to current codes and standards. Cumulative project activities include removing and replacing flat roofing, ceiling beams, aluminum flashing, gutters, downspouts, flashing, wall framing, block walls, aluminum frame windows, sheetrock walls, sinks and lavatories, toilets, ceiling fans, electric water heater, HVAC system, lighting fixtures, electrical components, fire alarm systems, wet pipe sprinkler systems, and elevating the building three feet.

<u>Do</u>	cumentation Requirements
	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
Na	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement

Comments: 8/31/2006 - This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) Laws which are listed under the NEPA level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the state and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with the national environmental policy act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all local, state and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Applicant: Recovery School District Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary School-Building C
Reviewer and Approvals
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist
Signature Boardon M. Clark Date08/31/06
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO
Signature P P . Date08/31/06
I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic AgreementOtherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowance #Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required?
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ☐ Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects undisturbed ground. ☐ Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) ☐ Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Determination of historic properties affected ☐ NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required?

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane K. School-Building C	Applicant: Recovery School District atrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary
•	conditions required? Yes (see section V) No ncluded)
none of the structures associated with the proposed demolitic places (see attached correspondence dated 7/25/2006) and fi historic properties. Scope of work indicates ground disturbit structure within its pre-disaster footprint only. Upon consult archaeological sites within .5 miles of the project area. Demadditional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or hum vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmark Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is not the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twen FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work an project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106	ng activities associated with the demolition and rebuild of the tation of data provided by SHPO, there are no known solition must follow the low impact demolition stipulations & d protocols should be explicit in the demolition contract. Failure I jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of man remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation Staff. The applicant in Staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State and graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency ty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within
(Review Concluded) ☐ Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in No effect to species or designated critical habitat Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see so May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see so Likely to adversely affect species or designated) ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or designated ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Biolog	ection V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)}\) ccies or designated critical habitat (FEMA (Review Concluded) ection V) \(\sum \text{No (Review Concluded)}\)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Profile) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 350 ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 350 ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section 250)	rotected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on 5.a.6? (Review Concluded) 5.a.6.
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
D. Clean Water Act ⊠ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review of the U.S.) □ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. □ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other	S.

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Applicant: Recovery School District Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary School-Building C
Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under
Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the United States.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
E. Coastal Zone Management Act
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded)
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).
☐ State administering agency requires consistency review.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 08/31/2006 - This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. LA DNR has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-267-4019. Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist Correspondence/Consultation/References:
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)
☐ Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)
Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments Project is not in an discount to any protection of the United States
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the United States. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)
☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area.
Coordination required with applicable state administering agency
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded)
Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required.
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)
Project located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Record of Environmental Consideration 4 08/31/06

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Applicant: Recovery School District
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary
School-Building C Project has potential to take migratory birds.
Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
120 project conditions required:
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has
determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other
fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana
Endangered Species Summary are met.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi map.pdf
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)
Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
environment
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 08/29/06- The City of New Orleans / Orleans Parish is enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

Comments: 08/29/06- The City of New Orleans / Orleans Parish is enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) as of 08-03-70, as determined per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 2252030095E, dated 03-01-84. Facility is located within an "A1" Zone, area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and flood hazard factors. The project is the replacement of building, equipment, and components, as per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above

0.1. 10.21	ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary
School-Build	
	sory base flood elevations. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was completed and is attached. Per 44 applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice
	warded to the LAGOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files. A Cramer FPM Specialist.
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
R FO	. 11990 - Wetlands
	ects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
	d in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
	Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)
L	Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
	Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Correspon	None dence/Consultation/References:
Correspon	aence Consultation Rejerences.
C. E.O	. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations
No Lov	v income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)
Low in	come or minority population in or near project area
<u> </u>	No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population
L	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments.	
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
	TIT OU TO 1
	III. Other Environmental Issues
Identify o	
	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
executive	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
executive Comments:	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None
executive Comments:	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
executive Comments:	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None
executive Comments: Correspond	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References:
Comments: Correspond Based on	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
Comments: Correspond Based on considera	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
Comments: Correspond Based on considera	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which
Comments: Correspond Based on considera	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
Comments: Correspond Based on considera	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank.
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
Comments: Correspond Based on considera * sh pl	ther potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). None dence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,

(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources
 such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
 other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

- 1. Demolition must follow the low impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the demolition contract. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation Staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation Staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building.
- 2. The project is the replacement of building, equipment, and components, as per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above advisory base flood elevations. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was completed and is attached. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LAGOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC Title 33 Part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites.

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Recovery School District

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 2243 Langston Hughes Elementary

School-Building C

- 5. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- 6. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure that may contain surfaces coated with lead-based paint (LBP). Activities involving abrading (sanding, scraping, etc.), heating, stripping, or otherwise concentrating LBP shall comply with applicable provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1910 and 1926 (OSHA worker safety), and 40 CFR 260 through 268 (EPA hazardous waste). The applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for abatement activities and is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced regulations.
- 7. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of emergency and administrative order" dated June 30, 2006., incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative order.
- 8. Mercury containing devices This project potentially involves the disposal of metallic mercury containing electronic devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.

Monitoring Requirements: None