# Record of Environmental Consideration

REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA -- April 2007

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number: Seabrook Technology Training Center

PW# 13191 Version 2 FIPS#: 071-UFTI3-00

Applicant Name: Orleans Parrish School Board

2717 Athis St., New Orleans, Orleans Parish,

Latitude: 30.01861 Longitude: -90.02555

#### **Project Description:**

**Project Location:** 

Hurricane Katrina generated hurricane force winds, wind driven rains, storm surge, and flooding resulted in damage to the Seabrook Technology Training Center. The Center is a 3,430 square foot wood frame building built in 1937 that housed four classrooms. The building was substantially damaged by flooding and the latest 50% Rule calculation is 53.6% therefore the building is eligible for replacement. Previous versions were written for replacement of the building and raising the lowest floor elevation to the advisory BFE elevation of 1 foot (NGVD) above mean sea level.

Version 2 of the PW is written to add foundation piling to the replacement cost and scope of work.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review (Review Concluded)  Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Reference PCE in comments) (Review Concluded)  Categorical Exclusion - Category xii and xv  No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Extraordinary Circumstances exist (see Section IV).  Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (see Section IV comments)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Environmental Assessment  Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)  Environmental Impact Statement  Scope of work requires public involvement plan
from to (d)(2) state a require	ments: Based on information provided by the applicant, the scope of work for this project is categorically excluded the preparation of an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment under 44 CFR part 10.8 $\rho(xii)(xv)$ . Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with the national environmental policy act. The applicant is red to obtain and comply with all local, state and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the rements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding
	Project is Non-Compliant (see attached documentation justifying selection).

#### **Reviewer and Approvals**

FEMA Environmental Reviewer:

Name: Ted Murray Environmental Specialist

Signature Color

Date 7-29-09

Comments: A review of version 2 of this project was conducted in accordance FEMA's Programmatic Agreement dated December 3, 2004. FEMA has determined that no historic properties are affected by the proposed undertaking. SHPO concurrence with this determination was received, dated 05/28/2008. The applicant must comply with the Louisiana unmarked human burial sites preservation act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) and the inadvertent discovery clause, which can be found under the environmental review NHPA conditions.

\*Correspondence/Consultation/References: Amber Martinez, HP Specialist

(Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? 
Yes (see Section V) 
No

Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)

Resolution of Adverse Effect completed (MOA on file)

Seabrook Tech. Training Center/Env Database No: 13191-2
Parish: Orleans

**B. Endangered Species Act** No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? \( \subseteq \text{YES} \) (see Section V) \( \subseteq \text{NO} \) (Review Concluded) Comments: Listed species habitat does not occur in or near this site, thus this project will have no effect to threatened or endangered species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Specialist C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on file) Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (Review Concluded) Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barrier Resource System Maps referenced 6/5/09. D. Clean Water Act Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) Project requires Section 404/401 of Clean Water Act or Section 9/10 of Rivers and Harbors Act permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project would affect waters of the U.S. by discharging to a surface water body. Comments: The project will not impact waters of the U.S.. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Specialist E. Coastal Zone Management Act Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded). State administering agency should be contacted to determine if consistency review required... Are project conditions required? XYES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. Projects within the coastal zone may require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Prior to initiation of work, projects should be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at (225) 342-9232. If a permit or other authorization is required, applicant shall comply with all conditions of the permit. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Specialist F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)

Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.

Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)

3

Reviewer Name: Ted Murray FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA	Seabrook Tech. Training Center/Env Database No: 13191-2 Parish: Orleans
☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted ☐ No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Rev ☐ Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see	
Comments: Project will not affect, control or modify a waterway or be Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environment	
G. Clean Air Act  ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V)	g agency.
Comments: Applicant should ensure that best management practices a generation of fugitive dust during construction activities.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attain	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act  ☐ Project will not affect undisturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has a zoning classification that is other than agricultural or ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Rev ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designate ☐ Coordination with Natural Resources Conservation Serv ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see	view Concluded) d prime or unique farmland. ice required1006, completed.
Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environment	ntal Specialist
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V)	No (Review Concluded)
Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to m USFWS migratory bird management program.  Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter of the consultation of the con	•
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat (Review Conservation or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (In the Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA of the Noah Fisheries provided no recommendation (see Section V) Noah Fisheries provided recommendation(see Section V) Written reply to Noah Fisheries recommendation (see Section V)	nd Management Act cluded)  Review Concluded)

Record of Environmental Consideration (Version April 2007)

5

Comments: Project will have no impact on wetlands.   Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Scientist   C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations	FEMA-1603	/1607-DR-LA Parish: Orleans			
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Scientist  C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations	Are project conditions required?  YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact any population (Review Concluded)   No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project based on information gathered from [add a reference] (Review Concluded)   Low income or minority population in or near project area   No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population (Review Concluded)   Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required?   YES (see Section V)   NO (Review Concluded)   Comments:   Comments: Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Scientist   III. Other Environmental Issues   Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:					
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Ted Murray, Environmental Scientist   III. Other Environmental Issues   Comments: None	Project scope of work has no potential to adversely impact any population (Review Concluded)  No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project based on information gathered from [add a reference] (Review Concluded)  Low income or minority population in or near project area  No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population (Review Concluded)  Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population				
III. Other Environmental Issues  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;					
Ves  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;					
Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;					
<ul> <li>(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action</li> <li>(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy</li> <li>(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;</li> <li>(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;</li> <li>(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;</li> <li>(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;</li> <li>(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;</li> </ul>	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances				
(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.  (x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.  Comments:		<ul> <li>(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy</li> <li>(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;</li> <li>(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;</li> <li>(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;</li> <li>(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;</li> <li>(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;</li> <li>(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and</li> <li>(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.</li> <li>(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.</li> </ul>			

Seabrook Tech. Training Center/Env Database No: 13191-2

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Reviewer Name: Ted Murray

### The following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

1) This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. Projects within the coastal zone may require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Prior to initiation of work, projects should be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at (225) 342-9232. If a permit or other authorization is required, applicant shall comply with all conditions of the permit.

2) Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.

- 3) In accordance with the Formosan termite initiative act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s)
- 4) The applicant must comply with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) and the inadvertent discovery clause, which can be found under the environmental review NHPA conditions. Any change to the approved scope of work will require reevaluation under Section 106.
- 5) The applicant is required to coordinate with the local floodplain administrator regarding floodplain permit(s) prior to the start of any activities. As per 44 CFR 9.11 (d) (9), mitigation or minimization standards must be applied where possible. The replacement of building contents, materials and equipment should be, where possible, wet or dry-proofed, elevated, or relocated to or above the DFIRM elevation
- 6) If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA historic preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA historic preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana unmarked human burial sites preservation act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) Is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building.
- 7) Based on information provided by the applicant, the scope of work for this project is categorically excluded from the preparation of an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment under 44 CFR part 10.8 (d)(2)(xii)(xv). Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the state and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with the national environmental policy act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all local, state and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding.