Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: Orleans Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School Building A/PW 13028

<u>Project Location:</u> 5552 Read Road, New Orleans, Louisiana Orleans Parish 70127 (N30.02947 W-89.97108)

Project Description: Project activities include replacing 4,404 SF of floor vct, 1,168 SF of vinyl base, 3,754 SF of ceiling act and grid, 980 SF of ceiling drywall, 1,504 SF of wood paneling, 6,912 SF of drywall, 8 hollow metal doors, 30 metal door frames, 24 SF of vision panel, 2 toilet and flush valve, 2 lavatory and faucets, 2 mirrors, 16 aluminum double windows, 16 insulated panels, 15 ton compressor condensing unit, heat pump, air handling unit, 5,750 SF of distributions, 5,750 SF of equipment connections and disconnections, fire alarm systems (5,750 SF), intercom system (5,750 SF), sound system security system (5,750 SF), clock system (5,750 SF) and video distribution system (5,750 SF). Hazard mitigation will be achieved by compliance with codes and standards.

Documentation Requirements

	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
\boxtimes	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
Na	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement
the d	ments: Although this project would have qualified as a CATEX (xv) under 44 CFR part 10.8 (d) (2), this project meets efinition of critical infrastructure (Permanent Schools) under the Alternative Arrangements for NEPA compliance. project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) laws

which are listed under the NEPA level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the state and FEMA prior to initiation of any

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Building A	Public Assistan	Applicant: Orleans Parish School Board nce Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School
compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The appl and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the re federal funding.		
Reviewer and Approvals		
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documen	tation just	ifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Catherine Jones, Environmental Specialist		
Signature Cathy John	. Date	09/05/2006 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated ap Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	proving o	official.
Signature PS	_ Date	09/05/2006 .
I. Compliance Review for Environ	mental	Laws (other than NEPA)
	project area rea and active MA finding/SH National Parin in commending/SHPO/THOleted. (MOA	In the section 106 review. In
	gical resources cted (FEMA e section V) i EMA finding Yes (see se	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) \sum_{\text{No (Review Concluded)}}

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School
Building A No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No
(Review Concluded)
Comments: 9/05/2006 - Main building was built in 1969 (Portable in 1985).
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
B. Endangered Species Act
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA)
determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat
Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act \[
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
D. Clean Water Act
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)
☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded)
Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification
under Nationwide Permits.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments, Project is not in an adjacent to any ventamical of the LIC
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
E. Coastal Zone Management Act
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded)
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone
State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).
☐ State administering agency requires consistency review. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
The project conditions required: [] The (see section 1) [] No therien concluded

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurric Building A	Applicant: Orleans Parish School Board ane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School
Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coas	Coastal Management Zone. La DNR has determined that receipt of tal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination A Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/bod Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/bod Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by Recommendations provided by U Are project conditions required? Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any	ay/body of water. (Review Concluded) y of water. USFWS. (Review Concluded) USFWS. YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	· ·
G. Clean Air Act ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. ☐ ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review C) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state Are project conditions required? ☐ YES	oncluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique for Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion Coordination with Natural Resource Cons Farmland Conversion Impact Rate Are project conditions required?	on of designated prime or unique farmland. ervation Commission required.
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Project has potential to take migratory bird Contact made with USFWS	ratory birds. (Review Concluded) see section V) \overline{\overline{N}} No (Review Concluded)
determined that restoration projects funded with federal	atson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other ed on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana of the louis

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act ☑ Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA	Applicant: Orleans Parish School Board A / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School
Building A Project located in or near Essential Fish Hab Project does not adversely affect Es	oitat. ssential Fish Habitat. <u>(Review Concluded)</u>
Are project conditions required?	Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
T	Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
	uired? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
NOAA Fisheries provided	· ·
	NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. tions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	
Project is not along and does not affect Wild	or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
Project is along or affects WSR	etermined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consulta	
	SR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
Are project conditions required?	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
L. Other Relevant Laws and En	
ii. Compilation iteritor	TOT DATEMENT OF THE STATE OF TH
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains	
☐ No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and page 1	roject outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplain and	ains/Flood levels I not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
	Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	cupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
environment	with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
Are project conditions requ	uired? XES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
	/ Orleans Parish is enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 2252030115e, dated 03-01-84.
Facility is located within an "A4" zone, area of 1	100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and flood hazard factors. The ment of equipment and components, as per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or
	possible, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or
	base flood elevations. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process paper and public notice 15 days prior to the start of
construction activities. Final public notice is to	be forwarded to the LaGOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the
permanent project files. A Cramer, FPM Specia Correspondence/Consultation/References:	list.
Correspondence Consultation References:	
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands	
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located	d outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)	
☐ Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Rev	view Concluded)
Record of Environmental Consideration	5 09/05/06

Building A_	ergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School
Г	_
L	Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
	Review completed as part of floodplain review
	8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments	
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
No Lov	. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations of income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) come or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Correspon	None dence/Consultation/References:
Солгарол	Situation Light Critical.
	III. Other Environmental Issues
Identify a	other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or
•	order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
Comments.	
Correspon	dence/Consultation/References:
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in tion of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
	A "Was" under one circumstance may require an Engironmental Accessment (EA) with the execution of (ii) which
	A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank.
	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated,
	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
pl	ould be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ease explain in comments. If no, leave blank. (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

6

Reviewer Name: Catherine Jones

Applicant: Orleans Parish School Board

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR 1854 Abramson Senior High School

Comments: None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

- 1. Per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above advisory base flood elevations. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was completed and is attached. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LaGOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- 2. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 3. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- 4. This project potentially involves the disposal of Metallic Mercury Containing Electronic Devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.
- 5. Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC Title 33 Part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites. Failure to comply with applicable legal requirements in debris collection and/or disposal operations will jeopardize federal funding. The clean-up or restoration/repair of sites damaged as a result of such operations are ineligible for federal funding. Previously obligated funding is subject to de-obligation if a determination of ineligibility is made.

Monitoring Requirements: None