Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Applicant: Recovery School District

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School-Clinic Building

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Documentation Requirements

Project Name/Number: Alfred Lawless High School-clinic Building / PW 12736

<u>Project Location</u>: 5300 Law Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, Orleans Parish 70117 (N29.97746, W-90.01557)

Project Description: Project activities include gutting the building; pressure cleaning masonry wall; replacing aluminum store front system, ceramic tile floor, VCT flooring, acoustical ceiling grid system, fiberglass building insulation, wood base, metal stud/drywall partition wall, solid core stain grade wood doors with hardware, hollow metal interior door frames, flush steel full panel door/frame and hardware, hollow core steel entry doors/hardware with metal frame, fluorescent light fixtures, ceiling recessed can lights clip mounted, emergency interior light units, fire extinguishers cabinets-steel box with glass door, built in place desk, custom cabinet built-in pine drawer units, plastic laminate custom counter top, metal wall corner guards, and electrical conduit; painting interior walls and trim; removing and replacing motor disconnect switches, air handler, condensing units, main breaker, copper wire, flood lamps, aluminum ceiling HVAC diffusers, fabricated rectangular galvanized metal HVAC duct, HVAC flex duct, china toilets, urinal, plastic toilet partitions, plastic laminate wall screen, lavatory sink tops, soap towel dispensing units, toilet tissue, fixed temperature heat detectors, fire alarm and detection system, duplex outlets and cable, and single pole switches with cable; and insulating metal duct. Some hazard mitigation will be achieved by compliance with current codes and standards and by good construction practices.

□ No Documentation Required (Review Concluded) □ (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) □ (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)
	Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded)
	Categorical Exclusion - Category
	No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).
	Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Environmental Assessment
	Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
Reco	ord of Environmental Consideration 1 08/03/06

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Applicant: Recovery School District Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High Scho Clinic Building	юl-
Environmental Impact Statement	
Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) Laws.	
Reviewer and Approvals	
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).	
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: Letha Dawson, Environmental Specialist	
Signature 11 Date 08/03/2006 .	
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	
Signature Date Date	
A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement (12/03/2004) Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).	1
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
 ☑ Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects undisturbed ground. ☐ Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) ☐ Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) ☐ Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Determination of historic properties affected ☐ NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). 	e)

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawso			Applicant: Recovery School District
	/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurr	icane Katrina / Public As	sistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School-
Clinic Building	Are project condition	a required DVes (se	on section V)
			es section V) No (Review Concluded)
	file)	resent in project area	a. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on
		ot Determination (E)	EMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)

			Yes (see section V) \(\sum \) No \(\frac{(Review Concluded)}{(Review Concluded)} \)
			A finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
			ect completed. (MOA on file)
			uired? Yes (see section V) No
	(Revie	w Concluded)	
D 11.1 0001			
Comments: Built in 2001.			
Correspondence/Consulta	ation/References:		
B. Endangered S	necies Act		
		nrecent in areas affe	ected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded)	or designated erricar navitat	. present in areas art	acted directly of maneetly by the redefar action.
	designated critical habitat ne	acent in the areas aff	ected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
	species or designated critical		
	conditions required? Yes		•
			nated critical habitat (FEMA
	SFWS/NMFS concurrence of		
	conditions required? 🔲 Yes		
	versely affect species or design		
			ent and Biological Opinion on file)
Are	project conditions required?	YES (see section	on V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None			
Correspondence/Consulta	ation/References:		
C Coastal Barri	er Resources Act		
		at to the analysis	one Charles Carel 1.15
	onnected to CBRA Unit or O		
	cted to CBRA Unit or Other	wise Protected Area	. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on
file)			
	tion an exception under Secti		ew Concluded)
	tion not excepted under Secti		
Are project c	conditions required? TYES	S (see section V)	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None			
Correspondence/Consulta	ation/References:		
D. Clean Water	Act		
	ect any waters of the U.S. (R	eview Concluded)	
	vaters, including wetlands, or	***************************************	
	pted as in kind replacement		(Paviow Concluded)
			Harbors Act) permit, including qualification
		on 2/10 (Kivers and	maroors Act) permit, including quantication
	wide Permits.	C (and another 10) [NO (Paulam Carreledad)
Are project c	onditions required? [] 1E	s (see section v) [_	NO (Review Concluded)
Commenter Project is not	in or adjacent to any waterw	rave of the LIC	
Correspondence/Consulta		rays of the OS.	
Correspondence/Consum	MIOIN NEJEI EIICES.		
m a			
E. Coastal Zone I	_		
Project is not located in	in a coastal zone area and do	es not affect a coast	al zone area (Review concluded)
	coastal zone area and/or affe		
State adminis	stering agency does not requi	ire consistency revie	w. (Review Concluded).
	stering agency requires consi		
Record of Environmental	Consideration	3	08/03/06

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School-
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References:
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act ☐ Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. ☐ Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted ☐ No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) ☐ Recommendations provided by USFWS. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) ☐ Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☑ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☑ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met. Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf ,

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson Applicant: Recovery School District Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School- Clinic Building				
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act				
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)				
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.				
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)				
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)				
Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)				
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).				
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)				
NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)				
Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.				
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Comments: None				
Correspondence/Consultation/References:				
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act				
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)				
☐ Project is along or affects WSR				
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action .				
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)				
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)				
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Comments: None				
Correspondence/Consultation/References:				
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders				
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains				
☐ No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)				
☐ No Effect of Floodplains/Flood levels and project dustice Floodplain - (Review Concluded) ☐ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels				
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),				
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)				
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).				
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain				
environment				
Are project conditions required? XYES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Comments: 08/03/2006- The City of New Orleans / Orleans Parish enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program				
(NFIP) as of 08/03/1970. Facility is located within an "A2" zone, area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and flood				
hazard factors as determined per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 2252030115 E, dated 03/01/1984.				
Project is repair of building and replacement of equipment and components. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step				
process was completed and is attached. As per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where				
possible, building contents, materials, components and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above				
advisory base flood elevations. Per 44 CFR 9.12, a final public notice is to be published 15 days prior to the start of any				
construction activities. Documentation of completed public notice is to be forwarded to FEMA and LA GOHSEP for				
inclusion in the permanent project files. A. C. Clark, Floodplain Specialist.				
Correspondence/consultation/references:				

	ame: Letha Dawson Applicant: Recovery School District nergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School-
Clinic Buildi	ing
). 11990 - Wetlands fects on Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
	ed in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
Ļ	Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
	Review completed as part of floodplain review
	 ■ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded)
_	The project containous required. 125 (see section 7) 170 (income continued)
Comments	s: None ndence/Consultation/References:
Correspon	mence Consultation References.
). 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations
	w income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) acome or minority population in or near project area
	No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)
	☐ Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO_(Review Concluded)
<u> </u>	Are project conditions required: 125 (see section 4) 140 (Review Constituted)
Comments	s: None ndence/Consultation/References:
Correspon	naurica Companianoss rejer osces.
	III. Other Environmental Issues
_	other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or e order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
Comments	
Correspon	ndence/Consultation/References:
	IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
Dosed on	the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in
	ation of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
•	A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can
	be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.
Yes	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
Ħ	(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
LJ	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving
LJ	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources
	 (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;

Reviewer Nan	ne: Letha Dawson Applicant: Recovery School District
Disaster/Emer	rgency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR1853 Alfred Lawless High School-
Clinic Building	
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
	protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the
	proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments:	Nana
Comments.	INDIE I

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

General comments: None

Project Conditions:

1. As per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building contents, materials, components and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or Above Advisory Base Flood Elevations. Per 44 CFR 9.12, a final public notice is to be published 15 days prior to the start of any construction activities. Documentation of completed public notice is to be forwarded to FEMA and LA GOHSEP for inclusion in the permanent project files.

Monitoring Requirements: None

7