Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Dillard University

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University

- Mechanical

## Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

**Project Name/Number:** EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University – Mechanical / PW 11408

<u>Project Location</u>: 2601 Gentilly Boulevard, City of Gentilly, Louisiana, Orleans Parish (N29.59761, W-90.04037)

**Project Description:** Project activities include repairing and replacing 12,500 SF of first floor electrical system, 12,500 SF of first floor HVAC/plumbing/fire protection systems, 18,240 SF of second and third floor electrical systems, and second and third floor areas HVAC/plumbing/fire protection systems. Hazard Mitigation will be achieved by building a structural 4' concrete wall with reinforced steel, "wet flood proofing," around the water heating system. The wall will be built around the perimeter of the room containing the system's boiler, steam condensed pump, steam flash tank, and (2) water heaters covering approximately 20 CY. (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) **Environmental Assessment** Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) **Environmental Impact Statement** Comments: This project meets the criteria for an alternative arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) laws which are listed under the NEPA level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the State and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for compliance

funding.

with the National Environmental Policy Act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all local, state and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of federal

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Dillard University

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University

Mechanical

R	eviev	wer	and	Ap	prova	ls

Project is Non-Compliant (See	attached documentation jus	tifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: June R. Griffin, Environment	al Specialist	
Signature Glee K. Hill	Date	8/23/06 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Offi Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	icer or delegated approving	official.
Signature P 13	Date	8/23/06 .
I. Compliance Review	v for Environmenta	l Laws (other than NEPA)
	ect historic properties. (Review C	duct standard Section 106 review.
Are project conditions required  Determination of Historic Prop Property a National Hiduring the consultation No Adverse Effect De Are project conditions Adverse Effect Determ Resolution o	15/50 years or older in project area and active or older in project area and active operaties Affected (FEMA finding? Yes (see section V) erties Affected (FEMA finding/Slistoric Landmark and National Para process. If not, explain in commutermination (FEMA finding/SHPO required? Yes (see section initiation (FEMA finding/SHPO/T of Adverse Effect completed. (MC)	ivity not exempt from review.  g/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  No (Review Concluded)  HPO/THPO concurrence on file)  rk Service was provided early notification ents  O/THPO concurrence on file).  V) \[ \sum \text{No (Review Concluded)} \]  HPO concurrence on file)
consultation on file). Q  Project area has potential for project area has potential for project condition.  Are project condition.  Determination of histor.  NR eligible resonance.  NR eligible resonance.	r presence of archeological resour istoric properties affected (FEMA Review Concluded) resence of archeological resources nistoric properties affected (FEMA ns required Yes (see section Voric properties affected cources not present (FEMA finding conditions required Yes (see section Vorice properties affected cources not present (FEMA finding conditions required Yes (see section Vorice properties affected cources not present (FEMA finding conditions required Yes (see section Vorice properties affected Yes (see section Vorice present in project area. (In the properties affected Yes (see section Vorice present in project area. (In the properties affected Yes (see section Vorice present in project area. (In the properties affected Yes (see section Vorice properties affected Yes (see section Yes (see section Yes))	A finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or S A finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
		(see section V) No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane K – Mechanical	Applicant: Dillard University atrina /Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University
☐ Resolution of	ination. (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  f Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) conditions required?  Yes (see section V)  ncluded)
review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservat has been reviewed and meets the criteria outlined in Append document. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not require (SHPO) for review where the work performed meets these a proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match e of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Se	PA), dated December 3, 2004, provides for expedited project ion Act (NHPA). The scope of work as submitted in this PW ix A, Programmatic Allowances, Section II.B-1, II.B-2, of the d to submit projects to the State Historic Preservation Officer llowances. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope ection 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance ees the Section 106 review for this project. E.S. Thibodeaux, HP
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
(Review Concluded)  ☐ Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present if ☐ No effect to species or designated critical habitat ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see some set of the second secon	ection V) \( \sum \text{No (Review Concluded)}\) ecies or designated critical habitat (FEMA (Review Concluded) ection V) \( \sum \text{No (Review Concluded)}\)
Comments: None	
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act  ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Project is on or connected to CBRA U	rotected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on 05.a.6? (Review Concluded) 05.a.6.
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
D. Clean Water Act  ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or othe ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/1 under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see	S.S.  er exemption. (Review Concluded) 0 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification section V) \( \sum \) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of Correspondence/Consultation/References:	the U.S.

- Mechanical
E. Coastal Zone Management Act  ☐ Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) ☐ Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone ☐ State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded). ☐ State administering agency requires consistency review.  Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. La DNR has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the Coastal Zone may still require a Coastal Use Permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-276-4019. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act  Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)  Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.  Coordination with USFWS conducted  No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)  Recommendations provided by USFWS.  Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.  Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act    Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)   Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)   Project is located in a non-attainment area.   Coordination required with applicable state administering agency.   Are project conditions required?
Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated June 30, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative order.  **Correspondence/Consultation/References:**
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act  ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Dillard University

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin  Applicant: Dillard University  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University  - Mechanical
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act
☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone.
Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Project has potential to take migratory birds.  Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has
determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana
Endangered Species Summary are met.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
<ul> <li>☐ NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)</li> <li>☐ Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.</li> </ul>
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Correspondence/Consultation/References:  K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
<ul> <li>Correspondence/Consultation/References:</li> <li>K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</li> <li>         ∑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Correspondence/Consultation/References:</li> <li>K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</li> <li>         ∑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)</li> <li>         □ Project is along or affects WSR     </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Correspondence/Consultation/References:</li> <li>K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</li> <li>         ∑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)</li> </ul>
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  Comments: None
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  Comments: None
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act    Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)   Project adversely affects WSR   Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.   (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)   Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)   Are project conditions required?   YES (see section V)   NO (Review Concluded)   Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:   L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations   II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. ☐ (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations ☐ II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders  A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act    Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)   Project is along or affects WSR   Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.   (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)   Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)   Are project conditions required?   YES (see section V)   NO (Review Concluded)   Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:   L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations   II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders   A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains   No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act    Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)   Project is along or affects WSR   Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.   (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)   Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)   Are project conditions required?   YES (see section V)   NO (Review Concluded)   Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:   L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations   II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders   A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains   No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)   Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin  Applicant: Dillard University  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University  - Mechanical
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment
■ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file  Are project conditions required? ■ YES (see section V) ■ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 08/03/2006 - The City of New Orleans/Orleans Parish enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) on 08/03/70. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel Number 2252030095E dated 03/01/84, project is located in Zone "B", area protected from the 100-yr flood by levee, dike or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger floods. Project is repair of mechanical and electrical systems which per Flood Recovery Guidance, dated 04/12/2006, where possible, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the Highest Adjacent Grade Elevation. J. Schexnayder, Floodplain Management Specialist. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)  Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)
Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland  Review completed as part of floodplain review
8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations  No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)  Low income or minority population in or near project area  No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)  Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population  Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
III. Other Environmental Issues
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
IV. Extraordinary Circumstances
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.
* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes
(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy

	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
	conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;
	(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
	(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
	sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
_	protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

## **Project Conditions:**

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

- Mechanical

- 1. All proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding.
- 2. Where possible, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the Highest Adjacent Grade Elevation.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).

Applicant: Dillard University

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Dillard University

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/EOR 1176 Camphor Hall Dillard University

- Mechanical

5. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated June 30, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative order.

6. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure that may contain surfaces coated with Lead-Based Paint (LBP). Activities involving abrading (sanding, scraping, etc.), heating, stripping, or otherwise concentrating LBP shall comply with applicable provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1910 and 1926 (OSHA - Worker Safety), and 40 CFR 260 through 268 (EPA - Hazardous Waste). The applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for abatement activities and is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced regulations.

Monitoring Requirements: None