Record of Environmental Consideration

REVISED FOR FEMA ENVIRONMENTAL -- LOUISIANA -- 2006 See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10

Project Name/Number:	Camphor Hall Roff, Dillard University / PW#11389 FIPS#071-00010-00			
Project Location:	2601 Gentilly Blvd, New Orleans, LA Latitude: 29.59761, Longitude: -90.04037			
Project Description: Heavy rains and high winds from Hurricane Katrina (DR-1603) caused significant exterior damage to Camphor Hall Roff, Dillard University. This pw reimburses the eligible applicant for replacement of building roofing and components. The new building material will be replaced according to codes and standards upgrades. All work will be performed in a previously disturbed area with no indication of nearby waterways or other bodies of water.				
Documentation	Requirements			
	Il consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation cies Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply.			
	Il applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is and/or included in project files, as applicable.			
National Enviro	nmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination			
Programmatic Categor Categorical Exclusion No Extraordinar Are project con Extraordinary Ci Extraordinar Are project Environmental Asse	y Circumstances exist. ditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) recumstances exist (See Section IV). ry Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) t conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) ssment onmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)			
project has conditions and are listed under the NEPA work will require submission compliance with the Nation	neets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. This requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) laws which level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved scope of on to, and evaluation and approval by, the state and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for hal Environmental Policy Act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all local, state unirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of			
Reviewer and A	pprovals			
Project is Non-Comp	pliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).			
FEMA Environmental Re Name: Adam Border, FEM	eviewer: IA- Environmental Specialist Date R-25-06			

FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or Delegated Approving Official: Name: Howard R. Bush, Environmental Liason Officer ____ Date 8.25.06 Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA) I. A. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. Activity meets Programmatic Agreement, December 3, 2004. Appendix A: II.E-1

Are project conditions required? X Yes (see Section V) No Programmatic Agreement not applicable, must conduct standard Section 106 Review. HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) Project affects undisturbed ground. Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Determination of historic properties affected NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see Section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No} \) (Review Concluded)

Comments: FEMA'S Programmatic Agreement (PA), dated December 3, 2004, provides for expedited project review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The scope of work as submitted in this PW has been reviewed and meets the criteria outlined in appendix A, programmatic allowances, Section II.E-1, of the document. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not required to submit projects to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for review where the work performed meets these allowances. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair

Project Name/Env. Database No:Camphor Hall Roff, Dillard University
PW#13098

Parish: Orleans

activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Refer to the National Park Services' Preservation Brief #4 roofing for historic buildings and Preservation Brief #39 the preservation and repair of historic clay tile roofs. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this project.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: NHPA effect determinations made by E S Thibodeaux, HP Specialist

B. Endangered Species Act No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded) Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see Section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see Section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is located in an urban or previously developed area. Neither listed species nor their habitat occur in or near this site, thus FEMA finds there will be no effect to threatened or endangered species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS emergency consultation provisions determined in letters dated September 15, 2005 for Katrina.
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). ☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on file) ☐ Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6 (Review Concluded) ☐ Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not within a CBRA zone. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Coastal Barrier Resource System Maps referenced 08/25/06.
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: No jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including wetlands, occur in or near the project area. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory map (http://www.fws.gov/nwi/) queried on 08/25/06.
E. Coastal Zone Management Act ☐ Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) ☐ Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone ☐ State administering agency does not require consistency review. ☐ State administering agency requires consistency review. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)

F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. Review Concluded Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Review Concluded Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Review Concluded Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Review Concluded Recommendations provided by USFWS. Review Concluded Recommendations provided project area. Review Concluded Recommendations Review Concluded Review Concluded Recommendations Review Concluded Review Concluded	by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-276-4019.
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Review Concluded) Review Concluded Review Concl	
Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Review Concluded	
Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Review Concluded	E Fish and Wildlife Coordination Ant
Project affects controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations provided by USFWS. Review Concluded Review Concluded Review Concluded Project will not result in permanent air emissions. Review Concluded Project is located in an attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in an attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in an antainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded Project is project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project is project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Project dose not affect designated prime or unique farmland. Review Concluded	
Coordination with USFWS conducted Recommendations provided by USFWS. Review Concluded Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
No Recommendations provided by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: No streams or water bodies are located in or near the project area. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) queried 08/25/06. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an antainment area. (Review Concluded) No (Review Concluded) Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) No (Review Concluded) No (Review Concluded) Review Concluded Review Conclude	
Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO_(Review Concluded)	
Are project conditions required?	
Comments: No streams or water bodies are located in or near the project area. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) queried 48/25/06. G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ 'Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order' dated March 1, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map. (http://wwwlamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) queried 08/25/06.	Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map. (http://wwwlamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) queried 08/25/06.	
G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Review Concluded Project is located in a non-attainment area. YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project doses not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project dauses unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.	
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order' dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.1II.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project closes not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.	Correspondence/Consultation/References; Louisiana Map (http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) queried 08/25/06.
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Project is located in a non-attainment area. (Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order' dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.1II.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project closes not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.	
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Project is located in an non-attainment area. (Project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order' dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.1II.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland.	
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ 'Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order' dated March 1, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.1II.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. Review Concluded Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project located within a flyway zone. Review Concluded Project located within a flyway zone. Project located within a flyway zone. Review Concluded Project located within a flyway zone. Project located within a flyway zone. Review Concluded Project located w	
Project is located in a non-attainment area.	Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)
Coordination required with applicable state administering agency. Are project conditions required?	Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)
Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act	Project is located in a non-attainment area.
Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. □ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. □ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06.	Coordination required with applicable state administering agency.
applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Contact made with USFWS	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Contact made with USFWS	, , , , , , ,
applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Contact made with USFWS	Comments: This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the aspestos content, the
of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. □ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. □ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project located within a flyway zone. □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) □ Project and with USFWS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. **Correspondence/Consultation/References:** EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map.** **H. **Farmland Protection Policy Act** Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. □ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. □ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project tool located within a flyway zone. □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS	
(LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. □ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. □ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone. □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS	
applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders. Correspondence/Consultation/References: EPA Region 6 Non-attainment Map. H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	Correspondence/Consultation/References: EFA Region o Non-adamment Map.
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	H. Farmland Protection Policy Act
□ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. □ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. □ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS	
Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	
Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)	
Are project conditions required?	
Comments: The project site is in a developed urbanized area and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) is precluded. No prime or unique farmland present. Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Project located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	
prime or unique farmland present. *Correspondence/Consultation/References:* National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. **I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act** Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Project located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	Are project conditions required? \(\text{YES} (see section v) \(\text{INO} \) (Review Concluded)
prime or unique farmland present. *Correspondence/Consultation/References:* National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. **I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act** Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Project located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Resource Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone. □ Project located within a flyway zone. □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. □ Project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS	
 (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06. I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone. ☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☑ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. ☑ Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) ☑ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds. □ Contact made with USFWS 	
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	
☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	(http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/) referenced 08/25/06.
☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	
☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project located within a flyway zone. ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS	I Migratory Dind Treaty Act
 ☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☑ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☑ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS 	
 ✓ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) ✓ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☒ No (Review Concluded) ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds. ☐ Contact made with USFWS 	
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	
Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS	
Contact made with USFWS	
Are project conditions required? [] VEC (assesstion V) [] NO (Deview Constituted)	
Are project conditions required: [] 1 ES (see section v) [] NO [Review Concluded]	Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

PW#13098

Comments: The site is an existing disturbed area with little value to migratory birds and would not be included in the USFWS migratory bird management program.
Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS guidance letter dated September 15, 2005 for Katrina
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see Section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not located in or near any surface waters with the potential to affect EFH species. Correspondence/Consultation/References: Louisiana Map (http://www.lamap.doa.louisiana.gov/) referenced 08/25/06.
 K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act ☑ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: National Wild and Scenic Rivers http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.htm
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
State Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Laws Comments: Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC title 33 part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tire cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites. Failure to comply with applicable legal requirements if debris collection and/or disposal operations will jeopardize federal funding. The clean-up or restoration/repair of sites damaged as a result of such operations are ineligible for federal funding. Previously obligated funding is subject to de-obligation if a determination of ineligibility is made.
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see Section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded). Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file

Reviewer Name: Adam Borden, Env. Specialist Project Name/Env. Database No: Camphor Hall Roff, Dillard University FEMA-1603/1607-DR-LA

PW#13098

Are project conditions required? XYES (see Section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: The site is located in Zone A4. http://store.msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/FemaWelcomeView?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1 ,
The City of New Orleans/Orleans Parish enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program 08/03/70. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map 2252030095 E, dated 03/01/84, project is located in a zone "B" area, protected from the 100-yr flood by levee, dike or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger floods. Project is repair of a building and replacement of components to pre-disaster footprint, which per flood recovery guidance, dated 04/12/2006, all equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the highest grade elevation. In compliance with E0 11988, a completed 8-step process showing considered alternatives is attached. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LA GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files. A. C. Clark Floodplain Spec. **Correspondence/Consultation/References:** FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, Community Panel No. # 2252030095 E, dated 03/01/84
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review
■ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? ■ YES (see Section V) ■ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: No wetlands were observed during site visit or determined to be present by checking the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps. Correspondence/Consultation/References: USFWS NWI map accessed on-line (http://wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/wtlnds/launch.html) 08/25/06.
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations ☐ No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) ☐ Low income or minority population in or near project area ☐ No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population-(Review Concluded) ☐ Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see Section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments The percent populations of 70122 are: 73.2% Black, 23.0% White, and 3.2% Hispanic. The median household income in 1999 was \$ 31,104 and 19.9% of families are below poverty level. Correspondence/Consultation/References: U.S. Census bureau 2000 data at http://factfinder.census.gov , referenced 08/24/06.
III Other Environmental Issues

Other Environmental issues

Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).

State Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Laws

Comments: Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC title 33 part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from nonhazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites. Failure to comply with applicable legal requirements in debris collection and/or disposal operations will jeopardize federal funding. The clean-up or restoration/repair of sites damaged as a result of such operations are ineligible for federal funding. Previously obligated funding is subject to deobligation if a determination of ineligibility is made.

Correspondence/Consultation/Reference:

IV. Extraordinary Circumstances

Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.

* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.

Yes	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
	(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
	conditions;
	(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving
	unique or unknown environmental risks;
	(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,
	cultural, historical or other protected resources;
	(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
	regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources
	such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
	sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
	protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the
	proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments.	None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

The following conditions apply as a condition of FEMA funding reimbursement:

- This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Fifth Amended Declaration of Emergency and Administrative Order" dated March 31, 2006, and the LESHAP protocol dated March 1, 2006, incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative orders.
- Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC title 33 part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites. Failure to comply with applicable legal requirements in debris collection and/or disposal operations will jeopardize federal funding. The clean-up or restoration/repair of sites damaged as a result of such operations are ineligible for federal funding. Previously obligated funding is subject to de-obligation if a determination of ineligibility is made.

- In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Refer to the national park services' preservation brief #4 roofing for historic buildings and preservation brief #39 the preservation and repair of historic clay tile roofs. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this project.
- All equipment and contents should be elevated at least 3 ft. above the highest grade elevation. In compliance with
 E0 11988, a completed 8-step process showing considered alternatives is attached. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant
 must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be
 forwarded to the LA GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.