Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: City of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power

Generator for New Orleans Police Department

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: 639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power Generator for New Orleans Police Department / PW 10569 Project Location: 639 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana, Orleans Parish 70113 (N29.94921, W-90.0766447) **Project Description:** Project activities include removing and replacing one damaged temporary generator from the roof and connecting the three replacement 35 KW generators in the original location. Hazard Mitigation Proposal will achieve mitigation by providing a factory air/sound enclosure with upward or downward air intake and providing a metal cover protecting the radiator portion of the generator. (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) **Environmental Assessment** Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) **Environmental Impact Statement** Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement for (Permanent Police & Fire Stations) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under other EHP laws.

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: City of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power

Generator for New Orleans Police Department

Reviewer and Approvals

Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: June Raffin, Environmental Specialist
Signature June J. Date 7/27/06 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Donald Fairley, ELO
Signature Date
I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowances Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Ruilding or attractive listed on 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not expense from review.
 □ Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. □ Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required \(\sum \) Yes (see section V) \(\sum \) No \(\frac{(Review Concluded)}{(Review Concluded)} \) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES
Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) Project affects undisturbed ground.
 Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded)
☐ Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)
 □ Determination of historic properties affected □ NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded)
NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
 No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Applicant: City of New Orleans
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power Generator for New Orleans Police Department
Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No
(Review Concluded)
Comments: Building constructed in post 1960s. James Crouch, Historic Preservationist.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
D. Endangered Species Act
 B. Endangered Species Act ☒ No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded)
Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA)
determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat
Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? \(\) 1 ES (see section \(\) \(\) 1 NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act
Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on
file) Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
D. Clean Water Act
 ☑ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)
Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. [Review Concluded]
Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded)
Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification
under Nationwide Permits.
Are project conditions required?
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
E. Coastal Zone Management Act
Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded)
Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).
State administering agency does not require consistency review. [Review Concluded] .
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act and the Louisiana
Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: City of New Orleans

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power

Generator for New Orleans Police Department

F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water.
Coordination with USFWS conducted
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)
Recommendations provided by USFWS.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
And project conditions required: The (see section v) The two their concluded
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act
Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded)
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded)
Project is located in a non-attainment area.
Coordination required with applicable state administering agency
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)
Project located within a flyway zone.
Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds.
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No <u>(Review Concluded)</u> Project has potential to take migratory birds.
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assi Generator for New Orleans Police Department	Applicant: City of New Orleans stance Program/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and M	
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Conclude	<u>led)</u>
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.	
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Rev	
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determined)	
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (R	
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section	
NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)	
☐ Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommen	dations completed.
Are project conditions required? YES (s	ee section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) -	Review Concluded)
Project is along or affects WSR	CONTRACT OF A CO
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Con	
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/	
Are project conditions required? \(\subseteq \text{YES} \text{ (see section V)} \)	
120 project continue required:	
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regu II. Compliance Review for Executive C	
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains	
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain -	(Review Concluded)
Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels	the fleedulein (Deview Constuded)
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V)	
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review C	
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodpla	
environment	•
☐ 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file	_
Are project conditions required? YES (see section	n V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 07/25/2006 - The City of New Orleans and Orleans Parish enro	alled in the National Flood Insurance Program
(NFIP) 08/03/1970. Per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 225203 01601	
"A1", area of 100-year flood; Base Flood Elevations and Flood Hazard Fac	
equipment to remove both the damaged generator (1) and the temporary ge	nerator (2) from the roof and place and connect
the replacement 35kw generator (3) in the original location at a critical faci	
of building contents, materials, and equipment, where possible, the building	
losses shall be eliminated by relocation of these building contents, material	
Base Floodplain. Dana Mehlman, Env. Spec. Correspondence/Consultation	v Nejerences.

	Applicant: City of New Orleans
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Progra Generator for New Orleans Police Department	m/639 Loyola Avenue Emergency Power
•	
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands	
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Conc	luded)
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)	
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)	
Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland	
Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file	
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V)	(O (Review Concluded)
The project contained required. — 125 (see Section 1)	C INCOME CONTINUES.
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income	
No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Revie	w Concluded)
Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority	y nanulation. (Paview Cancluded)
Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority po	
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Revi	
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
III Other Environmental Issue	_
III. Other Environmental Issue	<u>\$</u>
Identify other retential arriver mental acreases in the assument has not	alaanin falling undan a law au
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidar	• •
executive of der (see environmental concerns scoping enecklist for guidal	ice).
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstance	<u>es</u>
	<u>es</u>
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance	ecutive Orders, and in
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances.
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances.
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances.
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances.
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessmental should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances.
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. at (EA) with the exception of (ii) which if the circumstance can be mitigated,
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extrematical environmental and the extrematical environmental environmental environmental environmental environmental experience of extrematical environmental environmental experience explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which if the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project factors and review the project fact	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which if the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project factors and factors are project fac	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which if the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmen	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which if the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extrematical factors, review the project for extrematical for the project for extrematical for the project for extrematical factors, review the project for extrematical for extrematical for the project for extrematical for extrematical for the project for extrematical for extrematical for the project for extrematical for extrematical for extrematical for the project for extrematical for extrematical for extrematical for extrematical for extrematical for the project for extrematical for	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it fithe circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action If environmental it actions involving
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmen	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it fithe circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action If environmental it actions involving
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extrespond of the environmental factors, review the	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it (EA) it the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action in environmental in actions involving or archaeological,
IV. Extraordinary Circumstance Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extr * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular categy (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects of unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Feregulations or standards requiring action or attention;	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it (EA) with the exception of (iii) which it
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of the project	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it (EA) with the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action If the circumstance can be mitigated, or environmental If actions involving or archaeological, deral, state or local other critical resources
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extres * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular catege (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing pool conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects of unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Feregulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderned.	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it (EA) with the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action If the circumstance can be mitigated, or environmental If actions involving or archaeological, deral, state or local other critical resources
Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and E consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of other environmental factors, review the project for extremation of the project	xecutive Orders, and in aordinary circumstances. It (EA) with the exception of (ii) which it (EA) with the circumstance can be mitigated, ory of action If the circumstance can be mitigated, or archaeological, deral, state or local other critical resources

itor for	New Orleans Police Department
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

Reviewer Name: June R Griffin

1. Per 44 CFR 9.11(d)(9), for the replacement of building contents, materials, and equipment, where possible, the building shall be disaster proofed and/or such future losses shall be eliminated by relocation of these building contents, materials, and equipment outside or above the Advisory Base Floodplain.

Monitoring Requirements: None

Annlicant: City of New Orleans