Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Department

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire

Department #51

Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.			
Project Name/Number: Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire Department #51 / PW 7455			
Project Location: 341 Heritage Avenue, Gretna, Louisiana, Jefferson Parish (N29.9114, W-90.0333)			
<u>Project Description</u> : Project activities include demolition of building. Hazard Mitigation will be achieved through reconstruction in compliance with the codes, standards and good construction practices.			
Documentation Requirements ☐ (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)			
(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.			
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination			
Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) Environmental Impact Statement			
Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement for (Permanent Police & Fire Stations) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under other EHP laws.			

Department #51 Reviewer and Approvals Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection). FEMA Environmental Reviewer. Name: June R. Griffin, Environmental Specialist Signature | FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official. Name: Donald Fairley, ELO Signature 2 . Date 7-14-06 Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA) I. A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement_____. Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. Activity meets Programmatic Allowance HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded) Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review. L Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file) Are project conditions required \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) Project affects undisturbed ground. Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded) Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required \(\subseteq \text{Yes (see section V)} \) \(\subseteq \text{No (Review Concluded)} \) Determination of historic properties affected NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file). Are project conditions required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) No Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Are project conditions required?

Yes (see section V)

No (Review Concluded) Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Department

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR Department #51	Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Deparment R1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Comments:	
Correspondence/Consultation/References	s:
Listed species and/or designated critic No effect to species or design Are project conditions requir May affect, but not likely to a determination/USFWS/NMFS co Are project conditions requir Likely to adversely affect spe	itical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. cal habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. nated critical habitat. (See comments for justification) red? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA concurrence on file) (Review Concluded) red? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) recies or designated critical habitat concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) ns required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None	
☐ Project is on or connected to CBRA U file) ☐ Proposed action an exception ☐ Proposed action not excepted	A Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded). Init or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on under Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References.	
☐ Project requires Section 404/4 under Nationwide Permits.	the U.S. (Review Concluded) wetlands, of the U.S. eplacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) 401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification ed? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
State administering agency do State administering agency reconstructions required to the comments: FEMA has determined	area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) a and/or affects the coastal zone es not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).

F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S. Correspondence/Consultation/References: G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency.. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions. Correspondence/Consultation/References: H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) Project located within a flyway zone. Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project has potential to take migratory birds. Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met. Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf,

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Department #51

Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Deparment

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Department
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina /Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire Department #51
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
☐ Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)
☐ Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
☐ Project is along or affects WSR
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded)
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
The second of th
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations
II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders
A F O 11000 Floodploins
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
environment
8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
Are project conditions required? XYES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: 07/10/2006 - Jefferson Parish enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program on 10/01/1971. Per Flood
Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel 22051C0145E, dated 03/23/1995, project is located in Zone AE, area of 100-year
flooding; Base Flood Elevation determined. Project is for the demolition and replacement of a volunteer fire department
main building and apparatus annex damaged by wind and wind-driven rain and is not likely to affect the floodplain. In
compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was completed and attached. Per 44 CFR 9.11, for the replacement of
building contents, materials and equipment, the building must be either flood proofed or future losses shall be eliminated by
relocation of those building contents, materials and equipment outside or above the Advisory Base Flood Elevation. Per 44
CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice
is to be forwarded to the LAOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files Kimberly R. Rogers,
Floodplain Management Specialist Correspondence/Consultation/References:
1 abram visuabentent obeetanst con espontaence/ consuttation/ Nelections.

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

	Name: June R. Griffin	Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Department		
Departmen	t #51	LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire		
	O. 11990 - Wetlands			
No El	frects on Wetland(s) and project loca red in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)	ted outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)		
	Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (R	Review Concluded)		
	Possible adverse effect associated	with constructing in or near wetland		
	☐ Review completed as pa☐ 8 Step Process Complete	rt of floodplain review		
	Are project conditions re	equired? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)		
		The second of th		
Comment Correspo	ts: None ndence/Consultation/References:			
No Lo	D. 12898 - Environmenta ow income or minority population in, ncome or minority population in or n	l Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)		
	No disproportionately high and ac	liverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) e effects on low income or minority population		
	Are project conditions required?	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)		
Comment	s: None			
1	ndence/Consultation/References:			
	III. O	ther Environmental Issues		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).				
Comments: None				
Comment				
	s: None ndence/Consultation/References:	traordinary Circumstances		
Correspon	s: None ndence/Consultation/References: IV. Ex n the review of compliance with			
Based or consider	s: None ndence/Consultation/References: IV. Ex the review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance ma	traordinary Circumstances other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. ty require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated.		
Based or consider	s: None ndence/Consultation/References: IV. Ex the review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease	traordinary Circumstances other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. ty require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated.		
Based or consider	s: None ndence/Consultation/References: IV. Ex the review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. The environmental issue is the circumstance can be mitigated, and ally experienced for a particular category of action		
Based or consider	s: None ndence/Consultation/References: IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lead (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of pu	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, are blank. The environmental issue is the circumstance can be mitigated, are blank.		
Based or consider	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with alease explain in comments. If no, lease in Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of put (iii) Potential for degradation, even	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. The environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. The environmental issue is the circumstance can be mitigated, and ally experienced for a particular category of action		
Based or consider	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease is considered in the conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease is conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease is conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease is conjunction with a high level of put (iii) Potential for degradation, even conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech	traordinary Circumstances other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. Ty require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. The project for extraordinary circumstances. The project for extraordinary circum		
Based or consider	ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fat A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease explain in comments if no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of pu (iii) Potential for degradation, ever conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech unique or unknown en (v) Presence of endangered or three	traordinary Circumstances other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. sy require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. nally experienced for a particular category of action blic controversy in though slight, of already existing poor environmental mology with potential adverse effects or actions involving avironmental risks; eatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological,		
Based or consider	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with alease explain in comments. If no, lease explain in comments if no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of pu (iii) Potential for degradation, even conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech unique or unknown end (v) Presence of endangered or three cultural, historical or of (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxice.	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. By require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, as blank. Bally experienced for a particular category of action blic controversy in though slight, of already existing poor environmental mology with potential adverse effects or actions involving avironmental risks; eatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, other protected resources; c substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local		
Based or consider * S. P Yes	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with alease explain in comments. If no, lease explain in comments. If no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of pu (iii) Potential for degradation, even conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech unique or unknown end (v) Presence of endangered or three cultural, historical or of (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic regulations or standard	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. By require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. Bally experienced for a particular category of action blic controversy in though slight, of already existing poor environmental mology with potential adverse effects or actions involving avironmental risks; eatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, other protected resources; in substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local dis requiring action or attention;		
Based or consider	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease explain in comments. If no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of put (iii) Potential for degradation, even conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech unique or unknown end (v) Presence of endangered or three cultural, historical or of (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic regulations or standard (vii) Actions with the potential to a such as wetlands, coass	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. By require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. Bally experienced for a particular category of action blic controversy in though slight, of already existing poor environmental mology with potential adverse effects or actions involving avironmental risks; catened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, other protected resources; consustances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local dis requiring action or attention; affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources stal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,		
Based or consider * S. P Yes	IV. Ex Ithe review of compliance with ation of other environmental fa A "Yes" under any circumstance mathould be applied in conjunction with lease explain in comments. If no, lease explain in comments. If no, lease (i) Greater scope or size than norm (ii) Actions with a high level of put (iii) Potential for degradation, even conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven tech unique or unknown end (v) Presence of endangered or three cultural, historical or of (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic regulations or standard (vii) Actions with the potential to a such as wetlands, coass	other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in actors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. By require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, ave blank. Bally experienced for a particular category of action blic controversy in though slight, of already existing poor environmental mology with potential adverse effects or actions involving avironmental risks; actened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, bother protected resources; a substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local dis requiring action or attention; affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources stal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, incipal drinking water aquifers;		

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin	Applicant: Terrytown Volunteer Fire Department
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurrical	ne Katrina /Public Assistance Program/Terrytown - Fifth District Volunteer Fire
Department #51	
(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, loca protection of the environment.	l or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
(x) Potential for significant cumulative impa other past, present and reasonal	act when the proposed action is combined with only foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the ay not be significant by themselves.
Community: None	

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

- 1. Demolition must follow the lower impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the demolition contract. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation Staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation Staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventytwo hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building.
- 2. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process was completed and attached. Per 44 CFR 9.11, for the replacement of building contents, materials and equipment, the building must be either flood proofed or future losses shall be eliminated by relocation of those building contents, materials and equipment outside or above the advisory base flood elevation. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LAOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.

Monitoring Requirements: None