Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: Gymnasium Classroom Building / PW 7244

Project Location: Pontiff Playground, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana (N29.98213, W-90.13746)

Project Description: Project activities include replacing the entire structure by the 50% rule under 44 CFR 206.226(F) 2 and upgrading to current Codes and Standards. Project includes replacing vinyl and acoustic tiles, light fixtures, sheetrock, wall paper, insulation, wood paneling and doors, metal doors, cabinets and shelving, compressors, pine fencing, and providing electrical service back to the facility.

Documentation Requirements

No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)

- (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
- (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination

	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)
	Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded)
	Categorical Exclusion - Category
	No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).
	Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)
	Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	Environmental Assessment
	Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)
X	Environmental Impact Statement
_	-

Comments: 7/26/2006 - This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Government and Court Administration Buildings) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other EHP laws.

Reviewer and Approvals

Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).

FEMA Environmental Reviewer.

Name: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist

Signature Brandon M. Clark .	Date	7/26/2006		
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated Name: Donald R. Fairley, ELO	l approvi	ing official.		
Signature P	Date	7/26/2006 .		
I. <u>Compliance Review for Environ</u>	mental	Laws (other than NEPA)		
 A. National Historic Preservation Act Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded) ☐ Applicable executed Programmatic AgreementOtherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review. ☐ Activity meets Programmatic Allowance #Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded) 				
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES	ea and activ MA finding on V) finding/SH Jational Par n in comme ading/SHPC ee section V g/SHPO/TH leted. (MO	vity not exempt from review. //SHPO/THPO concurrence on file) No <u>(Review Concluded)</u> HPO/THPO concurrence on file) *k Service was provided early notification ents D/THPO concurrence on file). /) \square No <u>(Review Concluded)</u> HPO concurrence on file)		
 NR eligible resources present in proj file) No Adverse Effect Determinat Are project conditions required Adverse Effect Determination. Resolution of Adverse 	gical resources ted (FEMA e section V) MA finding Yes (see se ject area. (F cion. (FEMA t:] Yes . (FEMA fin rse Effect c	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)) \[No (Review Concluded)		
Record of Environmental Consideration 2		07/26/06		

(Review Concluded)

Comments: 7/26/06 - Building was built in 1954.

7/25/2006 - Historic review complete: the property has been determined by FEMA to be not eligible for listing in the National Register or located within the boundaries of a National Register District. Concurrence with this determination was received from the SHPO dated 7/25/06 and is attached. In keeping with this determination, the proposed project will have no effect on historic resources. Scope of work indicates ground disturbing activities associated with the demolition and rebuild of the structure within its pre-disaster footprint only. Upon consultation of SHPO data, there is a known archaeological site adjacent to the project area; however, this site is not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Demolition must follow the lower impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the demolition contract. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA historic preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA historic preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (r.s. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the predisaster footprint of the building. - Joshua Barbee, Historic Preservation Specialist and Katherine Zeringue, Historic Preservation Specialist/Archaeologist

Correspondence/Consultation/References:

B. Endangered Species Act

No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action. (Review Concluded)

Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.

No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)

May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA

determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)

Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat

Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
Project is on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on
file)
Proposed action an exception under Section 3505.a.6? (Review Concluded)
Proposed action not excepted under Section 3505.a.6.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:

D. Clean Water Act

Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded)
 Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S.
 Record of Environmental Consideration
 3

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Gymnasium Classroom Building
 Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 E. Coastal Zone Management Act □ Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (<u>Review concluded</u>) ○ Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone ○ State administering agency does not require consistency review. (<u>Review Concluded</u>). □ State administering agency requires consistency review. Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (<u>Review Concluded</u>)
Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
 H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)

Project located within a flyway zone.
 Project located within a flyway zone.
 Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)

4

07/26/06

Project has potential to take migratory birds.

Contact made with USFWS

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met.

Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf

J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)

Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.

Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded)

Are project conditions required?
Yes (see section V)
No (Review Concluded)

Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)

NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).

Are project conditions required?
Yes (see section V)
No <u>(Review Concluded)</u>

NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s)

Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed.

Are project conditions required? [YES (see section V) [NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
 Project is along or affects WSR

Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. <u>FEMA cannot fund the action</u>. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (<u>Review Concluded</u>)

Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations

II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders

A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains

No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded) Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels

- No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
 - Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No <u>(Review Concluded)</u>
- Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
- Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain environment
 - 8 Step Process Complete documentation on file
 - Are project conditions required? XES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: 06/14/06 - The Parish of Jefferson enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) 10/01/1971. Per Flood Insurance Rate Rap (FIRM) 22051C 0040 E, dated 03/23/95, site is located in Zone "AE", area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevation determined. Project is replacement of building. Per 44 CFR 9.11, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must, where possible, be elevated to or above advisory base flood elevations. In compliance with EO11988, an 8-step process, listing alternatives, was completed and is attached. Elevation information, Pacaed of Environmental Consideration

Record of Environmental Consideration

Applicant: Jefferson (Parish) Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Gymnasium Classroom Building

signed and sealed by a licensed surveyor, engineer, or architect must be obtained and filed for verification of compliance. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LA GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files. Casey Barefield, FPM.

Correspondence/Consultation/References:

B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands

No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded) Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s) Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland Review completed as part of floodplain review 8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations

No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)

Low income or minority population in or near project area

- No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)
- Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population

Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)

Comments: None

Correspondence/Consultation/References:

III. Other Environmental Issues

Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).

Comments: None

Correspondence/Consultation/References:

IV. Extraordinary Circumstances

Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.

* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.

Yes	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
	(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental
	conditions;

- (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;
- Π (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
- (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Applicant: Jefferson (Parish) Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / Gymnasium Classroom Building

(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;

(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and

(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.

Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

 \square

Π

- 1. Per 44 CFR 9.11, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must, where possible, be elevated to or above advisory base flood elevations. IN compliance with EO 11988, an 8-step process, listing alternatives, was completed and is attached. Elevation information, signed and sealed by a licensed by a licensed surveyor, engineer, or architect must be obtained and filed for verification of compliance. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice id to be forwarded to the LA GOHSEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- Demolition must follow the lower impact demolition stipulations & additional protocols which 2. are attached. The stipulations and protocols should be explicit in the demolition contract. Failure to comply with these stipulations & additional protocols will jeopardize receipt of federal funding. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA historic preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA historic preservation staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (r.s. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twentyfour hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. If this scope of work and/or the footprint/location of the new building changes, this project will need to be resubmitted for further Section 106 review prior to ground disturbing activities taking place outside of the pre-disaster footprint of the building.

Monitoring Requirements: None