Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10. Project Name/Number: City of Kenner Wastewater Treatment Plant No. 3 / PW 13614 Project Location: 1 West 30th Street, Kenner, Louisiana, Jefferson Parish 70063 (N30.00769, W-90.27894) Project Description: Project activities include removing and replacing 528 SF of 198 LF X 32" W of vinyl skirting under administration office trailer, 405 SF of 152 LF X 32' W of vinyl skirting under foreman's office trailer, (2) 8' H X 1' W vinyl siding under foreman's office trailer and 65 LF of chain link fencing. Repair roof leak on administration office trailer and paint (1) metal 10' X 7' canopy for foreman's office trailer entrance. Hazard Mitigation will be achieved by compliance with codes and standards. (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. ___ Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) **Environmental Assessment** Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments) **Environmental Impact Statement**

Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Utilities & Wastewater Treatment Plant) type of project. This project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) laws which are listed under the NEPA level of environmental review in the project worksheet. Any changes to this approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the State and FEMA prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The applicant is required to obtain and comply with all local, state and federal permits and requirements. Non-compliance with the requirements noted above may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding.

Reviewer and Approvals

Project is Non-Compliant (See attached docume	entation inc	tifying selection)
	mation just	arying selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer.		
Name: June R. Griffin, Environmental Specialist		
$\alpha = \alpha / \alpha = $		
Signature (Chiff	Date	8/23/06 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated	annravina d	official
Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	approving c	Jinciai.
Communication and the second		
Signature B	Date	8/23/06 .
I. Compliance Review for Enviro	nmental	Laws (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act		
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties	s. (Review Co	oncluded)
Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement, 12/03/04. O	therwise, con	duct standard Section 106 review.
Activity meets Programmatic Allowances, Apper	ndix A, Section	on I (A, C, D, & E) & Section III (B & E).
Are project conditions required? X Yes (see s	section V)	No (Review Concluded)
THETODIC DITT DINCE AND STRUCTURES		
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES		(Davison Constants)
 No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project 	n project area	(Review Concluded)
Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (F	area and activ	/ity not exempt from review.
Are project conditions required? Yes (see sec	ction V)	No. (Paviaw Concluded)
Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEM	[A finding/SH	IPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Property a National Historic Landmark and	National Par	k Service was provided early notification
during the consultation process. If not, expl	ain in comme	ents
☐ No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA	finding/SHPC	D/THPO concurrence on file).
Are project conditions required? Yes	(see section V	/) No (Review Concluded)
Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA find	ing/SHPO/TH	HPO concurrence on file)
Resolution of Adverse Effect com		
Are project conditions required [Yes (see se	ection V) No (Review Concluded)
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES		
Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Co	neludad)	
Project affects undisturbed ground.	meluded)	
Project area has no potential for presence of archeole	ogical resourc	ces .
Determination of no historic properties affe	cted (FEMA	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or
consultation on file). (Review Concluded)		and strict of the concentence of
Project area has potential for presence of archeologic	cal resources	
Determination of no historic properties affer	ected (FEMA	finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditions required [] Yes (s	ee section V)	No (Review Concluded)
Determination of historic properties affected	ed	
☐ NR eligible resources not present (F	EMA finding	/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).
Are project conditions required [_]Yes (see se	ection V) No (Review Concluded)

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
D. Clean Water Act ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
E. Coastal Zone Management Act Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. State administering agency requires consistency review. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. La DNR has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the Coastal Zone may still require a Coastal Use Permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-276-4019. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded)
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted
No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded)
Recommendations provided by USFWS.
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the U.S. Correspondence/Consultation/References:
G. Clean Air Act ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:

No. 3

H. Farmland Protection Policy Act ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act □ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded) ⊠ Project located within a flyway zone. □ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? □ Yes (see section V) □ No (Review Concluded) □ Project has potential to take migratory birds □ Contact made with USFWS Are project conditions required? □ YES (see section V) □ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met. Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf ,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat. (Review Concluded) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded). Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation(s) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries recommendations completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Review Concluded) ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin

No. 3

L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations

II. Compliance Review for Executive Orders

A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
☐ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values (Review Concluded).
Possible adverse effects associated with investment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain
environment
Are project conditions required? XYES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
The factorial Continues of the factorial Continu
Comments: 08/16/06 - Jefferson Parish is enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), as of 10-01-71. Site is
located within an "AE" Zone, area of 100-yr flooding, Base Flood Elevation determined, as per Flood Insurance Rate Map
(FIRM) Panel Number 22051C0030E, dated 03-23-95.the project is the repair of building and the replacement of equipment
and components, as per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building
contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above Advisory Base Flood Elevations.
In compliance with EO1988, an 8-Step Process was completed and is attached. Per 44 CFR12, applicant must publish a
final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the
LAGOHS/OEP FEMA inclusion in the permanent project files. A. Cramer FPM Specialist.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Correspondence Consultation References.
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands ☑ No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)
Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland
Review completed as part of floodplain review
8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations
No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)
Low income or minority population in or near project area
No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population-(Review Concluded)
Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
The project conditions required: [] The (see section v) [] NO [Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
Correspondence Constitution Rejerences.

III. Other Environmental Issues

Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).

Reviewer Name: June R. Griffin	Applicant: City of Kenner
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrin	a /Public Assistance Program/City of Kenner Wastewater Treatment Plant
No. 3	•
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	

IV. Extraordinary Circumstances

Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.

* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.

Yes	
	(i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action
	(ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy
	(iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;
	(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;
	(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
	(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local
	regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources
	such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers,
_	sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
ᆜ	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the
_	protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the
	proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments:	None

V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

Project Conditions:

1. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA's Historic Preservation Staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA's Historic Preservation Staff have completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.

- 2. Per 44 CFR 9.11, mitigation or minimization standards must be applied. Where possible, building contents, materials, and equipment (mechanical or electrical) must be elevated to or above Advisory Base Flood Elevations. In compliance with EO 11988, an 8-Step Process was completed and is attached. Per 44 CFR 9.12, applicant must publish a final public notice 15 days prior to the start of construction activities. Final public notice is to be forwarded to the LAGOHS/OEP and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- 3. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 4. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).

Monitoring Requirements: None