Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten

## Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten / PW 12360

Project Location: 710 Amelia Street, Gretna, Louisiana, Jefferson Parish 70053 (N29.91522, W-90.05823)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project activities include repairing the school to pre-disaster condition and upgrading to current codes and standards. Cumulative project activities include removing and installing copper gutters, slate shingles, terra cotta ridge cap, roofing membrane, ceiling tiles and grid, wood flooring, window pane, gypsum board, vinyl soffit panels, steel gutters, vinyl composition tile, electrical conduit on top of walkway, and repairing the PA system and exterior speakers.

<u>D(</u>	cumentation Requirements
	No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)
	(Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)
$\boxtimes$	(Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.
<u>Na</u>	tional Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination
	Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)  Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded)  Categorical Exclusion - Category  No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).  Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Environmental Assessment  Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)  Environmental Impact Statement
<i>Com</i> This	ments: 7/27/2006 - This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent Schools) type of project. project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other EHP laws.

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark  Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten					
Reviewer and Approvals					
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).					
FEMA Environmental Reviewer.  Name: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist					
Signature Brandon M. Clark . Date 7/27/2006 .					
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official.  Name: Donald R. Fairley, ELO					
Signature					
I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)					
Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Concluded)  Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement December 3, 2004 Otherwise, conduct standard Section 106 review.  Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # Section {II-B1, II-E1, II-A4, II-A2, II-C2} Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES  No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Review Concluded)  Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not exempt from review.  Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Service was provided early notification during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments  No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)  Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)					
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES    Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded)   Project affects undisturbed ground. (Review Concluded)   Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources   Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded)   Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources   Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Are project conditions required   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Determination of historic properties affected   NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).   Are project conditions required   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)					

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten

## (Review Concluded)

Comments: Two building were built in 1923 and the other in 1960.
07/26/2006 - FEMA's Programmatic Agreement (PA), dated December 3, 2004, provides for expedited project review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The scope of work as submitted in this PW has been reviewed and meets the criteria outlined in Appendix A, Programmatic Allowances, Section {II-B1, II-E1, II-A4, II-A2, II-C2}, of the document. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not required to determine the National Register eligibility of properties or to submit projects to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for review where the work performed meets these allowances. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this project. V. Gomez, Historic Preservation Specialist Correspondence/Consultation/References:
B. Endangered Species Act
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded)
Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.  No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA
determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat
Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
<ul> <li>C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act</li> <li>         \[</li></ul>
Are project conditions required: 12.5 (see section v) 1.100 (Review concluded)
Comments: None
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
D. Clean Water Act  ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:
E. Coastal Zone Management Act  ☐ Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded)  ☐ Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone ☐ State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded).

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurric		pplicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System
State administering agency requires consis		ioc rrogiani / E3E02/6 Ordina No. 2 Kindergarten
Are project conditions required? YES		(Review Concluded)
Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is c	onsistent with the Coas	tal Zone Management Act and the Louisiana
Coastal Management Plan (LCMP).		
Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination A	ct	
Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterw		view Concluded)
Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/boo		
Coordination with USFWS conducted		
☐ No Recommendations offered by		ncluded)
Recommendations provided by U		. 🕳
Are project conditions required?	YES (see section \	/) NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any	waterways of the Unit	ed States.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
G. Clean Air Act		
Solution Signal Street ✓ Project will not result in permanent air emissions.	Review Concluded)	
Project is located in an attainment area. (Review C		
Project is located in a non-attainment area.	- Carolina (Carolina Carolina	
Coordination required with applicable state	e administering agency	<b></b>
Are project conditions required?  YES		
Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emi	esions	
Comments: Project will not result in permanent all enit Correspondence/Consultation/References:	5510115.	
☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Constant Farmland Conversion Impact Ranker Project conditions required?	servation Commission r ting, Form AD-1006, c	equired.
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act		
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review	Concluded)	
Project located within a flyway zone.		
Project does not have potential to take mig		
Are project conditions required? Yes		(Review Concluded)
Project has potential to take migratory bird	is.	
Contact made with USFWS	Dyrg (ti )	7)
Are project conditions required?	☐ YES (see section \	NO (Review Concluded)
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Wadetermined that restoration projects funded with federa		
fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are bas		
Endangered Species Summary are met.		<u> </u>
Correspondence/Consultation/References: <u>http://pacifi</u>	cflyway.gov/Documen	ts/Mississippi_map.pdf
I Manuacan Standard Evila C		o o A A
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conse		
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.	. (Review Concluded	)
Record of Environmental Consideration	4	07/27/06

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR 16031 A / Hurricane l	Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten				
Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish					
Are project conditions required? Yes (see					
	t (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)				
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation(s) (Review Concluded).  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)					
NOAA Fisheries provided recommen					
	eries recommendations completed.				
	red? \( \sum \text{YES} \) (see section V) \( \sum \text{NO} \) (Review Concluded)				
ino project conditions requi	TES (see section v) 110 (Action Concluded)				
Comments: None					
Correspondence/Consultation/References:					
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act					
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (WSR) - (Review Concluded)					
Project is along or affects WSR	•				
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by	by NPS/USFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.				
(NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file					
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS)					
Are project conditions required?  YES (see	section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Comments: None					
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environm	ental Regulations				
W 6 W 5 A					
II. Compliance Revi	ew for Executive Orders				
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains					
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outsi	de Floodplain - (Review Concluded)				
☐ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood	levels				
	sely affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),				
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see					
☐ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Va					
	ment in floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain				
environment	,				
☐ 8 Step Process Complete - documents	ation on file				
	YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)				
Comments: 07/26/2006 - The City of Gretna enrolled in the	National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) on 06/18/1971. Per				
	0145E, dated 03/23/1995, project is located in a "Shaded X"				
	her structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger				
	wind-driven rain) to pre-disaster footprint, which is not likely to				
affect any floodplain. Kara Knott, Environmental Specialis					
Correspondence/Consultation/References:					
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands					
No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside W	/etland(s) - (Review Concluded)				
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)					
Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)					
Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland					
Review completed as part of floodplain review					
8 Step Process Complete - documenta					
	ition on file				
	ution on file YES (see section V)				
Comments: None					
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:					

C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded) Low income or minority population in or near project area No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded) Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population Are project conditions required? 

YES (see section V) 

NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: III. Other Environmental Issues Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: IV. Extraordinary Circumstances Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances. \* A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank. Yes (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers; (viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and (ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. (x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves. Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0278 Gretna No. 2 Kindergarten

Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

## **Project Conditions:**

1. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding.

Monitoring Requirements: None