Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0169 L.W. Higgins High School

## Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

Project Name/Number: L.W. Higgins High School / PW 10232

<u>Project Location</u>: 7201 Lapalco Blvd., Marrero, Louisiana, Jefferson Parish 70072 (N29.88348, W-90.12912)

**Project Description:** Project activities include repairing fire alarms, burglar alarms, communications systems, intercom systems, roof fan disconnect switch, aluminum cooling door, and wall louvers; replacing floodlights, downspouts, roof mounted gas line supports, roof mounted air handlers, mushroom roof ventilators, roof mounted satellite dish, roof mounted antenna, aluminum louver vanes, aluminum soffit vent, VCT floor tile, wood louvers, corrugated fiberglass edge trim, and portable aluminum bleachers; clearing roof drains; removing and replacing conduit, roof mounted conduit supports, roof mounted cable tray, wood storage cabinet, ceiling tiles, insulation, aluminum gravel stop, fascia, gypsum board, fluorescent light fixtures, stucco finish, aluminum wall cap flashing, parapet roof membrane and gravel, corrugated fiberglass panel, asphalt shingles, asphalt felt underlayment, roof sheathing, metal drip edge, carpet, and pre-molded base; painting canopy face; cleaning and painting CMU walls; and cleaning and disinfecting plywood subfloors. Three hazard mitigation proposals (HMP) are included. HMP 1 proposes installing two, 12"x12"x8" concrete footings with three anchor bolts in each footing to secure the bleacher s to the ground. HMP 2 proposes installing 20 gauge metal edge flashing at the roof perimeter and increase the fastening system with screws at 6" O.C. HMP 3 proposes installing steel angle straps to anchor down three roof top air handlers and two 24" air vent hoods.

## **Documentation Requirements** No Documentation Required (Review Concluded) (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded) (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded) Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (Review Concluded) Categorical Exclusion - Category No Extraordinary Circumstances exist. Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV). Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments) Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Environmental Assessment

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson  Applicant:  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program	Jefferson Parish Public School System
Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA	**
Environmental Impact Statement	,
Community This project mosts the criteria for an Albanatics American (Roman	Cabada Ama a Causiant This
Comments: This project meets the criteria for an Alternative Arrangement (Permanent project has conditions and requires mitigation under the other Environmental and Hist	
Reviewer and Approvals	
Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying	selection).
FEMA Environmental Reviewer.	
Name: Letha Dawson, Environmental Specialist	
Signature Likhoullauler. Date 08/0	02/2006 .
FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO	
Signature . Date 08/0	02/2006 .
I. Compliance Review for Environmental Law	vs (other than NEPA)
A. National Historic Preservation Act  Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. (Review Conclude Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement (12/03/2004) Otherwise, conduct so Activity meets Programmatic Allowance # Appendix A, Items (I-), (I-J), 6), (II-E-1), (II-F-1), AND (II-H)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review)	standard Section 106 review. (II-A-2 & 4), (II-B-1), (II-C-1), (II-D-
HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES  No historic properties that are listed or 45/50 years or older in project area. (Revie Building or structure listed or 45/50 years or older in project area and activity not Determination of No Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (E) Determination of Historic Properties Affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/TH Property a National Historic Landmark and National Park Servic during the consultation process. If not, explain in comments No Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) Adverse Effect Determination (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO con Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file Are project conditions required Yes (see section V)	exempt from review. /THPO concurrence on file) Review Concluded) IPO concurrence on file) ce was provided early notification concurrence on file). No (Review Concluded) incurrence on file) e)
ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES  ☐ Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects undisturbed ground. ☐ Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding consultation on file). (Review Concluded) ☐ Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources ☐ Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding Are project conditions required ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ N	g/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson	Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System
	ane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0169 L.W. Higgins High School
☐ Determination of historic propert	
	present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).
Are project conditions	required Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
☐ NR eligible resources pre	esent in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on
file)	·
☐ No Adverse Effect	Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
Are project conditi	ons required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
	termination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)
☐ Resoluti	on of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)
Are pro	ject conditions required?  Yes (see section V) No
	v Concluded)
•	
Comments: 8/2/2006- This scope of work has been rev	riewed and meets the criteria in Appendix A, Programmatic
	-C-1), (II-D-6), (II-E-1), (II-F-1), and (II-H) of FEMA's
	4. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not required to determine
	rk performed meets the Appendix A Criteria. In keeping with the
	eing performed in previously disturbed or archaeologically surveyed
	pair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and
	ject. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts
	ed, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and
1 2	n to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance
	A Historic Preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with
1, ,	leted consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation
	esent, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites
	e applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction
	of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the
	iana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two
	pulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding Chelsea Klein
Historic Preservation Specialist	paramona ana, jeopa ana 1000.po or 1 = 1.21 ana 22.g. Carata ana 22.g.
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
B. Endangered Species Act	
No listed species and/or designated critical habitat p	present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
(Review Concluded)	, , ,
	sent in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.
No effect to species or designated critical !	
	• • •
Are project conditions required:     res	nabitat. (See comments for justification)
	nabitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded)
May affect, but not likely to adversely affe	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA)
May affect, but not likely to adversely affed determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on	nabitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA file) (Review Concluded)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affed determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affed determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (nated critical habitat
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Formal consultation concluded.)	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (anated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Formal consultation concluded.)	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (nated critical habitat
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affed determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Formal conditions required?	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (anated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (E Are project conditions required?    Comments: None	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (a file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (anated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affed determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Formal conditions required?	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (a file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (anated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
May affect, but not likely to adversely afferd determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes ☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Early Are project conditions required?    Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (see section V) \( \sum \) No (Review Concluded) (anated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)
☐ May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (☐ Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (E Are project conditions required?    Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:     C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V) \Bigcup No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V) \Bigcup No (Review Concluded) (nated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file) (In YES (see section V) \Bigcup NO (Review Concluded)
May affect, but not likely to adversely afferd determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required?	habitat. (See comments for justification)  (see section V)  No (Review Concluded)  ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA  a file) (Review Concluded)  (see section V)  No (Review Concluded)  hated critical habitat  Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)  YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded)  herwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required?   Yes or Likely to adversely affect species or design   Formal consultation concluded. (Formal consultation concluded.)    Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (nated critical habitat (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)  YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded)
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required?	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA a file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) hated critical habitat Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)   YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded) herwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).  Are revise Protected Area (Review Concluded).
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes to Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Formal conditions required?    Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA a file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) hated critical habitat Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)   YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded) herwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).  Are Protected Area (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on the second section of the second se
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes to Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Example 1997)  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the Comments of the CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the CBRA Unit or Oth	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (see section I NO (Review Concluded) (
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes to Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Example 1997)  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the Comments of the CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the CBRA Unit or Oth	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA a file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) hated critical habitat Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)   YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded) herwise Protected Area (Review Concluded).  Are Protected Area (FEMA determination/USFWS consultation on the second section of the second se
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required?	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (see section I NO (Review Concluded) (
May affect, but not likely to adversely affer determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes to Likely to adversely affect species or design ☐ Formal consultation concluded. (Example 1997)  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act ☐ Project is not on or connected to CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the Comments of the CBRA Unit or Otherwatele From the CBRA Unit or Oth	habitat. (See comments for justification) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (ct species or designated critical habitat (FEMA (file) (Review Concluded) (see section V)  No (Review Concluded) (see section I NO (Review Concluded) (

D. Clean Water Act Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References: E. Coastal Zone Management Act Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone State administering agency does not require consistency review. (Review Concluded). State administering agency requires consistency review. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: FEMA has determined that this project is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) and the Louisiana Coastal Management Plan (LCMP). Correspondence/Consultation/References: F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. Coordination with USFWS conducted No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) Recommendations provided by USFWS. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) No (Review Concluded) Comments: Project is not in or adjacent to any waterways of the US. Correspondence/Consultation/References: G. Clean Air Act Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) Project is located in a non-attainment area. Coordination required with applicable state administering agency... Are project conditions required? \( \subseteq \text{YES} \) (see section V) \( \subseteq \text{NO} \) (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References: H. Farmland Protection Policy Act Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded) Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR 1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0169 L.W. Higgins High School

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson

Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System

Reviewer Name: Letha Dawson	Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System
Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katr	ina / Public Assistance Program / EJEU169 L.W. Higgins High School
I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act	
Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Conclu	<u>ided)</u>
☑ Project located within a flyway zone. ☑ Project does not have potential to take migratory	hirds (Review Concluded)
Are project conditions required?  Yes (see sec	
Project has potential to take migratory birds.	
Contact made with USFWS	_
Are project conditions required?   YF	ES (see section V) NO <u>(Review Concluded)</u>
Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson w	with LISE&WS dated 00/14/2005 Specifically FEMA has
determined that restoration projects funded with federal resour	
fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on t	
Endangered Species Summary are met.	
Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflywa	y.gov/Documents/Mississippi_map.pdf,
J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservati	
Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Rev	iew Concluded)
Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish H	(abitat (Paviow Concluded)
Are project conditions required? Yes (see sec	
	FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file)
NOAA Fisheries provided no recommen	
	s (see section V) No (Review Concluded)
NOAA Fisheries provided recommendat	
Written reply to NOAA Fisheri	es recommendations completed. ?
rate project conditions required	: TES (See Section V) 110 (Review Continued)
Comments: None	
Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	
Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic Riv	/er (WSR) - (Review Concluded)
Project is along or affects WSR	NIDO/LICEC TERMA
Project adversely affects WSR as determined by I (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file)	
Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/US	
Are project conditions required? YES (see se	
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:	
Correspondence Consultation References.	
I Other Delevent Laws and Environmen	atal Dagulations
L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmen	ital Regulations
II Compliance Devices for Eve	autivo Ordora
II. Compliance Review for Exe	cutive Orders
A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains	
No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside	
The Effect of Procedure 1 to the life project of the	Floodplain - (Review Concluded)
☐ Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood lev	Floodplain - <u>(Review Concluded)</u> els
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely	els y affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),
No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see sec	els y affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), ction V) No (Review Concluded)
<ul> <li>✓ No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely</li> <li>Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see see</li> <li>☐ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Value</li> </ul>	els  y affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), ction V) No (Review Concluded) es (Review Concluded).
<ul> <li>✓ No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see see</li> <li>☐ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Value</li> <li>☐ Possible adverse effects associated with investme</li> </ul>	els y affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), ction V) No (Review Concluded)
<ul> <li>✓ No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely</li> <li>Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see see</li> <li>☐ Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Value</li> </ul>	rels of affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), of affected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded), of the floodplain occupancy or modification of floodplain

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0169 L.W. Higgins High School		
Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V)  NO (Review Concluded)		
Comments: 07/26/06 - The Parish of Jefferson enrolled in the National Flood Insurance Program 10/01/71. Per Flood Insurance Rate 22051C0135E, dated 03/23/1995, project is located in zone B, area protected from the 100-yr flood by levee, dyke or other structure subject to failure or overtopping during larger floods. Project is repair of a wind and wind driven rain damaged building which is generally not regulated by local floodplain ordinance. A. Spann CFM Correspondence/consultation/references:		
<u> </u>		
B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands  ☑ No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)		
Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)		
☐ Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded) ☐ Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland		
Review completed as part of floodplain review		
8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file		
Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)		
Comments: None		
Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Populations  No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)  Low income or minority population in or near project area		
<ul> <li>☐ No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)</li> <li>☐ Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population</li> </ul>		
Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)		
Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
Con aponacies consumations rays chose.		
III. Other Environmental Issues		
111. Other Environmental issues		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  • A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  • A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes		
Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  • A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii) which should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mitigated, please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.		

	ne: Letha Dawson  Applicant: Jefferson Parish Public School System rgency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EJE0169 L.W. Higgins High School
	(iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;
	(v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;
	(vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention;
	(vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers;
	(viii) Potential for adverse effects on health or safety; and
	(ix) Potential to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
	(x) Potential for significant cumulative impact when the proposed action is combined with
	other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions, even though the impacts of the proposed action may not be significant by themselves.
Comments:	None

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

General comments: None

## **Project Conditions:**

1. All proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form.

2. If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) or human remains are discovered, the applicant shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The applicant shall inform their Public Assistance (PA) contacts FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation staff. The applicant will not proceed with work until FEMA Historic Preservation staff has completed consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In addition, if unmarked graves are present, compliance with the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act (R.S. 8:671 et seq.) is required. The applicant shall notify the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the remains are located within twenty-four hours of the discovery. The applicant shall also notify FEMA and the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Board (call the Louisiana Division of Archeology at 225-342-8170) within seventy-two hours of the discovery. Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.

Monitoring Requirements: None