

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

1. Introduction

Hurricane Katrina was a long-lived hurricane that made landfall three times along the United States coast and reached Category 5 at its peak intensity. The storm initially developed as a tropical depression in the southeastern Bahamas on August 23, 2005. Two days later, it strengthened into a Category 1 hurricane a few hours before making its first landfall between Hallandale Beach and North Miami Beach, Florida. After crossing the tip of the Florida peninsula, Katrina followed a westward track across the Gulf of Mexico before turning to the northwest towards the Gulf Coast.

Hurricane Katrina made its second landfall as a strong Category 4 hurricane in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana on August 29, 2005. Wind speeds of over 140 miles per hour (mph) were recorded in southeastern Louisiana and winds gusted to over 100 mph in New Orleans, just west of the eye. As Katrina made its third and final landfall four hours later along the Mississippi/Louisiana border, it was a Category 3 storm with wind speeds of approximately 125 mph. Hurricane-force winds extended up to 190 miles from the center of the storm and tropical storm-force winds extended for approximately 440 miles.

The strength and extent of Hurricane Katrina's wind field resulted in a storm surge greater than historical maximums. The combination of a storm surge of up to 30 feet, wave action, and high winds resulted in destruction of buildings and roads in the affected areas. Although recovery and reconstruction efforts will last several years, there is an urgent need for technical information to enable safer, sustainable redevelopment along the Gulf Coast.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) undertook this project to provide timely, up-to-date, and accurate coastal flood hazard information to local, regional, state and Federal officials to guide reconstruction in the portions of the Gulf Coast most severely affected by Hurricane Katrina. This information is being provided in the form of high-resolution maps that show coastal flood impacts from Hurricane Katrina. This report outlines the data sources and methods used to produce the Hurricane Katrina Surge Inundation and Advisory Base Flood Elevation maps (herein referred to as the "Katrina Recovery Maps").

2. Methodology

Katrina Recovery Maps provide the following essential elements of information:

- ✓ Preliminary surveyed coastal high water mark (HWM) flood elevations from Hurricane Katrina's storm surge (i.e., excluding HWMs reflecting surge plus local wave effects);
- ✓ Hurricane Katrina coastal surge inundation limits (outside of levees only); and
- ✓ Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs).

The methods for generating each of these essential elements of information are discussed in greater detail in the sections that follow. In addition to the detailed Katrina Recovery Map panels that show these elements, FEMA has also produced an overview map for the Lake Pontchartrain basin. This overview map, which is explained in greater detail in Section 3, shows some of the same essential elements as well as regional surge elevation contours derived from the surge-only HWMs.

2.1 Hurricane Katrina Coastal High Water Mark Collection

Under separate task orders, field-based flagger and survey crews from URS and URS Team subconsultants, ESP and PBS&J, were deployed to interview residents, find evidence of coastal high water levels, take digital photographs, and survey coastal HWMs from Hurricane Katrina. Coastal HWMs included mud lines, water stains, debris, wrack lines, and eyewitness testimony.

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

The coastal HWM flagging crews received notice to proceed on August 30, 2005. Deployment of flagging crews was delayed due to ongoing recovery efforts, the concern for obtaining gasoline and other essential supplies, the lack of lodging, and the approach of Hurricane Rita. Once conditions improved, the flaggers were deployed along the affected areas of Louisiana and began flagging HWMs on September 9, 2005.

For each HWM, the flaggers completed a form that contained detailed information about the mark. To the extent possible, field crews noted the coastal flooding characteristics captured by the coastal HWM, including storm surge, wave runup, and wave height. These designations represent the field crew's best estimate of this characteristic based on a combination of physical flood evidence and interviews with witnesses at the time of collection. These characteristics are described as follows:

- Surge - represents the rise in the normal water level, also called stillwater flooding
- Wave runup - represents the height of water rise above the stillwater level due to water rush up from a breaking wave
- Wave height - represents the coastal HWM elevation due to more direct wave action

Typically, storm surge coastal HWMs are associated with a slow-rising flood that causes more water damage than structural damage. Wave height usually results in a higher elevation than just storm surge. All attempts were made to flag storm surge elevations, but in areas where storm surge characteristics were not obvious, wave runup or wave height may have been captured. For example, witnesses might claim the flooding was associated with a storm surge when in fact the flooding was from wave runup or riverine flooding.

The survey crews followed the flaggers and used static Global Positioning System (GPS) methods to determine an accurate elevation for each coastal HWM. Since static GPS requires an area with no tree cover to return an accurate result, in some cases it was necessary to perform a short level loop survey from the GPS point to the coastal HWM. Coastal HWM locations were surveyed horizontally in the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83), State Plane – Louisiana South (1702) feet, and vertically in the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) US survey feet. Coastal HWM locations have been surveyed to within accuracies of ± 0.25 foot vertically and ± 10 feet horizontally, with a 95% confidence level.

A more detailed discussion of the coastal HWM collection and final results were published by FEMA in a separate report. To access this report, please see the following website:

http://www.fema.gov/pdf/hazard/flood/recoverydata/katrina/katrina_la_hwm_public.pdf

2.2 Hurricane Katrina Storm Surge Inundation Mapping

Flood inundation limits were created for the Louisiana coastal parishes by mapping the coastal HWM elevations onto digital, pre-storm topographic data. These inundation limits represent the estimated inland extent of flooding caused by the Hurricane Katrina storm surge. Because of ongoing studies of the impacts of Hurricane Katrina, include analyses by the Interagency Performance Evaluation Task Force (IPET), detailed surge inundation mapping in this project was limited to areas outside of levees.

Note: Hurricane Rita inundated many of the same Louisiana parishes in September 2005; areas where HWMs show that Rita's coastal flood levels exceeded those of Katrina are being mapped under a separate FEMA project. See the following FEMA Rita Recovery Mapping website for more information:
<http://www.fema.gov/hazard/flood/recoverydata/rita/index.shtm>

The HWMs surveyed by URS and its subconsultants were imported into a Geographic Information System (GIS) (ArcGIS 9.x) as points and pre-processed prior to analysis and mapping. The pre-

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

processing of the HWMs included the Anselin Local Moran's I statistical analysis to identify those points that did not match the general trend of elevations in each point's immediate area. These HWMs were not used for the inundation mapping. In addition, HWMs identified as including wave effects or described to be of poor quality or have a low confidence were also excluded from the surge inundation mapping. Once the HWMs to be used were identified, a three-dimensional, raster surface was created using a standard interpolation function (Second Power Inverse Distance Weighting).

In a parallel effort, a digital elevation model (DEM) was developed for this project using pre-Katrina topographic data. The data were derived from Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) measurements collected in 2003 by 3001, Inc., a remote-sensing firm operating under subcontract to Watershed Concepts; this work was funded jointly by the State of Louisiana and FEMA. The LIDAR data were obtained for the recovery mapping effort from Atlas, the Louisiana statewide GIS (<http://atlas.lsu.edu/>). The LIDAR data have a posting interval of five meters, and are referenced to NAVD 88.

To create the Hurricane Katrina surge inundation limit, the interpolated HWM raster surface was intersected with the LIDAR DEM and then smoothed using PAEK smoothing algorithms. The inundation limit was then refined to remove small-scale, isolated areas of inundated and non-inundated terrain based on knowledge of overland surge propagation and engineering judgment.

2.3 Hurricane Katrina Surge Elevation Contour Mapping

Surge contours were mapped at one-foot intervals across the southeastern Louisiana coastal parishes that were flooded by Hurricane Katrina. These contours, provided in one-foot increments, show the geographic variability of the storm's surge. To create the contours, the coastal HWMs were examined to find patterns in the coastal storm surge as it pushed against the open coast and into the inland bays and waterways. The known path and landfall location of Hurricane Katrina, together with the knowledge of how storm surge propagates inland, allowed surge contours to be drawn across the areas where the coastal HWMs indicate a change in storm surge elevation. Engineering judgment had to be applied in some locations to allow the contours to "step" up or down at one-foot intervals.

Because of the inherent uncertainty in and the random and irregular spacing of coastal HWMs, the surge contours represent a generalized maximum storm surge elevation, and required professional judgment in their creation. Within certain surge contours, coastal HWMs may be higher or lower than the contours if they did not fit the overall pattern discerned from the coastal HWMs. Local wave effects (wave heights and wave runup), which increase the total water surface above the surge elevation, were not considered in this contouring effort. Coastal HWMs that include wave effects may be several feet higher than the surge contours in a particular area.

Predictive numerical models of surge flooding, such as Hurricane Katrina forecasts from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) Sea, Lake and Overland Surge from Hurricane (SLOSH) computer model, were used qualitatively to help refine the surge contours in areas of complex topographic and bathymetric changes. NOAA will be developing hindcasts of Hurricane Katrina's surge in the coming months. Consequently, the results presented here should be viewed as preliminary and subject to update as additional data become available.

2.4 Advisory Base Flood Elevations

ABFEs are advisory flood hazard data that may be used by communities as best-available data when FEMA determines that the existing, effective Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) understate the true, 1%-annual-chance (100-year) flood risk. The general approach for mapping the ABFEs both outside and

**HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND
ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS –
SUMMARY OF METHODS**

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

inside of levees is described in the sub-sections below, including any explanations of parish-specific mapping techniques or zones where coastal engineering judgment had to be applied.

2.4.1 Procedures for Determining and Mapping ABFEs Outside of Levee-Protected Areas (Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, and Tangipahoa Parishes)

As explained in the Flood Recovery Guidance published by FEMA for each Louisiana parish (http://www.fema.gov/hazard/flood/recoverydata/katrina/katrina_la_resources.shtml), ABFEs for this project were determined by adding freeboard to the Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) shown on each community's FIRM for areas outside of levees. (Mapping of ABFEs for levee-protected areas is described in the next sub-section.) To apply the freeboard specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance for each parish, the flood zone boundaries and elevations were first transferred electronically from the FIRM into a GIS format, where necessary. In the GIS, the specified freeboard value (usually one foot) was then added to the BFE, and the combined value was placed on the Recovery Map in the form of "ABFE" followed by the flood zone designation (e.g., "Zone VE") and advisory elevation in feet (e.g., "EL 11"). In addition, wherever possible, ABFEs were determined for approximate A Zone areas shown on the effective FIRMs. Further, some A and AE Zones were changed to V or VE Zones, respectively, where the increased flood levels would make damaging waves possible. The methods used to develop ABFEs in approximate areas and to change the flood zone type are described in further detail below.

ABFEs are generally limited to portions of the current FIRM's Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) (or 100-year floodplain) that were inundated by Hurricane Katrina. A thick yellow line labeled, "Limit of ABFEs," marks the end of the area where the coastal ABFEs apply in St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, and Tangipahoa Parishes, where there are ground elevations that exceed the Katrina surge inundation levels. In addition, since these ABFEs pertain to the 1%-annual-chance (100-year) **coastal** flood elevations, a "Limit of ABFEs" line is also shown wherever detailed riverine flood elevations from the FIRM are higher than the coastal ABFEs. Lastly, areas shown on the effective FIRMs as shaded Zone X (0.2%-annual-chance or 500-year floodplain) or that are inside of certified levees (per the effective FIRM) are labeled as "See Effective FIRMs" on the Katrina Recovery Maps.

In some cases, supplemental data and coastal engineering judgment were also used to refine advisory flood zone delineations or to develop ABFEs where necessary (e.g., for approximate A Zones and AO Zones). Procedures applied in the development of the ABFEs for areas outside of levees are described below (organized by parish):

Jefferson Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, 1 foot of freeboard was applied to all Gulf of Mexico flood zones located outside of levees and south of Mississippi River. The only exception was for an approximate Zone A shown on the effective FIRM in the Metairie Outfall Canal (Katrina Recovery Map panels LA-DD30 and LA-EE30). An ABFE of 10 feet was assigned based on the treatment of the Orleans Outfall Canal and the London Avenue Outfall Canal in Orleans Parish, both of which show AE Zones with a BFE of 10 feet.

Orleans Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, 1 foot of freeboard was applied to all Gulf of Mexico flood zones located outside of levees.

Plaquemines Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, freeboard was applied to all Gulf of Mexico flood zones outside of levees. For areas located west of the Mississippi River and areas east of the

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

River from the Gulf of Mexico up to Parish ABFE Levee Sub-Basin “h”, 1 foot of freeboard was applied; north of Levee Sub-Basin “h,” 3 feet of freeboard was applied. The only exception was for a large approximate Zone A shown on the effective FIRM west of the hurricane protection levees on the west bank of the Mississippi River (Katrina Recovery Map panels LA-T33, LA-T34, LA-AA33, and LA-AA34). This area was assigned an ABFE of 7 feet based on the Zone AE elevation 8 feet located to the south (panels LA-T33 and LA-S33), and an adjacent Zone AE elevation 7 feet (panels LA-X31 and LA-Y31) in Jefferson Parish.

St. Bernard Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, freeboard was applied to all Gulf of Mexico flood zones outside of levees. For areas located for areas north and east of the Mississippi River Gulf Outlet (MRGO) Canal, 1 foot of freeboard was applied; for areas south and west of the MRGO Canal, 3 feet of freeboard was applied.

St. Charles Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, 1 foot of freeboard was applied to all flood zones outside of levees.

St. John the Baptist Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, 1 foot of freeboard was applied to all flood zones. Advisory elevations have also been determined in areas shown as approximate Zone A on the effective FIRMs. The large Zone A area in the center of the Parish was determined to have an ABFE of 12 feet, which is based on the effective coastal stillwater level of approximately 11 feet plus 1 foot of freeboard. On the north side of the Mississippi River (East Bank), the effective FIRM showed detailed riverine flood elevations that have now been converted to coastal approximate A Zones, with an ABFE of 12 feet. This is because the effective coastal stillwater elevation in this area is 11 feet, and once the freeboard is added, the ABFE of 12 feet is higher than any of the detailed riverine elevations in this area. This advisory A Zone is visible on many panels, starting with the GG series of maps.

St. Tammany Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, one foot of freeboard was applied to flood zones located north and west of Interstate 10. Initially, ABFEs for areas south and east of Interstate 10 were to be calculated using advisory stillwater elevations plus a simplified wave-height calculation. This simplified method was applied by FEMA in the Mississippi Katrina recovery mapping (http://www.fema.gov/hazard/flood/recoverydata/katrina/katrina_ms_index.shtm). Once applied to southeastern St. Tammany Parish, however, a large (5-10 foot) discontinuity appeared at the point where the two methods met (Interstate 10). Rather than forcing the simplified method-derived (or southeastern) ABFEs to step down rapidly to meet the freeboard-derived (northern) ABFEs across the interstate, FEMA elected to employ a hybrid approach – namely, the advisory stillwater elevations were used to estimate an appropriate, higher freeboard value for the southeastern area.

Generally, FEMA's advisory stillwater elevations reflected an increase of approximately 3 feet over the effective stillwater levels in the same area. An increase in stillwater flood depth of 3 feet will also result in an increase in wave heights above the surge (stillwater) level; this wave height increase would be on the order of 1 to 2 feet at the shoreline. Without detailed wave height analyses like those done in official Flood Insurance Studies, FEMA could not determine exactly what additional wave height would occur inland from the shoreline. As a result, FEMA conservatively estimated that a freeboard of 4 feet would be adequate to account for the increased stillwater level and additional wave effects. This four-foot freeboard was applied uniformly across the area south and east of Interstate 10.

**HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND
ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS –
SUMMARY OF METHODS**

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

Once freeboard of 1 foot or 4 feet was applied throughout the parish, each ABFE zone was evaluated to determine whether the potential for high velocity flow due to wave action should be a concern. Specifically, AE Zones bordering VE Zones on the effective FIRM would be areas where the ABFE's increased flood depth could mean that waves in excess of 3 feet in height are now possible. For example, in the northwestern part of the parish (along the Lake Pontchartrain shoreline), the flood elevation threshold where VE Zones give way to AE Zones on the FIRM was 11 feet. Thus, any ABFE zone where the new flood elevation was 11 feet or higher could be susceptible to V Zone wave conditions. As a result, where physical conditions concerning obstructions (or lack thereof) warranted, the flood zone designation was changed from AE to VE on the advisory maps.

Tangipahoa Parish:

As specified in the Flood Recovery Guidance, 1 foot of freeboard was applied to all flood zones. Advisory elevations have also been determined in areas shown as approximate Zone A on the effective FIRMs. This includes an elevation of 12 feet currently used by the Parish's local floodplain administrator based on information received by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), New Orleans District. The subject zone covers much of the northernmost Katrina Recovery Maps in Tangipahoa. In addition, this flood zone designation was changed from approximate Zone A to approximate Zone V due to the increased potential for damaging waves resulting from the increased flood depth.

2.4.2 Procedures for Determining and Mapping ABFEs in Levee-Protected Areas (Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, and St. Charles Parishes)

For levee-protected areas, the FEMA Flood Recovery Guidance states that the ABFE should be the higher of the effective BFE shown on the community's current FIRM or 3 feet above the highest existing adjacent grade (HEAG) on the property. The HEAG is defined as the highest natural elevation of the ground surface prior to construction next to the proposed walls of structure. To assist with the application of this guidance, three key datasets were shown inside levee-protected areas on the Katrina Recovery Maps for these parishes:

- (1) Locations of the levees used as the basis of the Flood Recovery Guidance;
- (2) Effective flood zone boundaries and BFEs from the current FIRMs; and
- (3) A separate data layer depicting where, based on an analysis of the BFEs and the available LIDAR topographic data, the 3-foot-above-HEAG elevation exceeds the BFE.

Each of these datasets is described in further detail below.

Levee Mapping

There are many riverine and coastal surge flood-control structures and devices located throughout the five subject parishes, some constructed and/or maintained by the Federal Government, others by state or local agencies. Identification of all such structures was far beyond the scope of this recovery mapping project. However, since FEMA's Flood Recovery Guidance was predicated on the eventual repair and/or enhancement of certain levees and related structures (e.g., flood gates or floodwalls), it was important that these key features be shown on the Katrina Recovery Maps to aid map users in interpreting the guidance.

At the time of this project, no comprehensive geospatial dataset of levee locations was available, which then required FEMA to compile information from existing resources. An initial dataset of levee locations in polyline Shapefile format was developed by FEMA's GIS staff at the Joint Field Office in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. In late May 2006, the USACE provided a preliminary GIS Shapefile containing the locations of

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

levees and other flood-control structures in southeastern Louisiana. Prior to recovery map production, all of these data were quality-checked against the LIDAR DEM (see Section 2.2) and pre-Katrina aerial imagery to ensure correct placement. In locations where a levee could not be identified clearly in the topographic DEM or on the aerial photography, the linework was omitted from the Katrina Recovery Map panel(s). Some levees not included in the Flood Recovery Guidance but otherwise identified by FEMA or local officials have been presented on the index map for each parish for orientation or informational purposes only; these levees had no impact on the ABFE mapping.

Please note: The levee data depicted on the Katrina Recovery Maps may still contain errors; therefore, these data are provided for informational purposes only.

Effective Flood Hazard Data

When digitizing effective flood hazard data from paper FIRMs that are upwards of 10 to 20 years old, flood zone boundaries must often be adjusted to preserve spatial relationships between these lines and base map features that are unlikely to have moved since the original flood map was produced (e.g., roads, railroads, other large infrastructure). While not an issue unique to the mapping of levee-protected areas, the higher density of development and the presence of numerous flood-control structures in the vicinity of New Orleans (which may have influenced some zone boundary locations) required great attention to detail during the digitization process.

Where necessary, the flood zone boundaries in the levee-protected parishes were adjusted to conform to the aerial imagery used as the base map for the Katrina Recovery Maps. Adjustment of flood zone boundaries was most often required around levee locations. In all cases of flood zone boundary adjustment, the revised linework was verified against the effective FIRM to ensure that the original intent of the FIRM was retained. That is, if a particular parcel of land (as defined by intersecting roads or other obvious features) appeared to be in a particular flood zone, care was taken to ensure that same parcel was shown in the same flood zone on the recovery map. In addition, adjacent ponding areas having the same flood zone type and BFE on the FIRM were merged into a single zone for recovery mapping purposes. For example, on Orleans Parish FIRM 225203, panel 0095E, ponding areas 15 and 19 have a BFE of -1.5 feet. On the corresponding Katrina Recovery Map (panel LA-DD32), these two areas were merged into one ABFE zone.

In addition to adjustments to the flood zone boundaries described above, some effective flood zones in levee-protected areas were modified to clarify the recommended elevation for rebuilding. Specifically, areas shown as Zones A, A99, AO, B, or X on the effective FIRMs lack BFEs (AO elevation values represent depths). This means there is no numeric elevation that can be compared against the 3-feet-above-HEAG elevation, which could be problematic for map users seeking a specific elevation that must be used to raise or rebuild a structure. As better data concerning anticipated flood elevations in these flood zones are not yet available, the recovery maps indicate that the 3-feet-above-HEAG guidance should be applied in these areas.

Comparing BFEs and the 3-Foot-Above-HEAG Requirements

FEMA recognized that property owners may not have the data necessary to properly determine whether the BFE or an elevation of 3 feet above the HEAG should be used as the elevation for rebuilding or repairing structures on a site. To aid map users, an overlay was calculated that depicts the areas where the 3-feet-above-HEAG criterion exceeds the current BFE shown on the FIRM (if any BFE is provided). First, a surface representing the elevation of the effective BFEs was created by constructing a Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) from the effective FIRM flood zone polygons. This BFE TIN was then converted to a DEM with a raster resolution equivalent to the topographic DEM (see Section 2.2). Next, the elevations in the topographic DEM were increased by 3 feet, and these modified elevations were

HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS – SUMMARY OF METHODS

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

converted to the vertical datum of the parish-specific FIRMs (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929). The BFE DEM and the modified topographic DEM were then intersected in the GIS environment, generating a polygon coverage that depicted areas where the modified topographic DEM surface was higher than the BFE DEM surface. These areas are where the 3-foot-above-HEAG criterion applies, and are shown on the Katrina Recovery Maps using light green shading.

2.5 National Geodetic Survey Monuments

Because of subsidence in the region, determining the elevation of a site or building relative to a reference datum (e.g., NDVD29 or NAVD88) can be challenging. For the five New Orleans-area parishes (Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, and St. Charles), National Geodetic Survey (NGS) Monument locations and elevations (in NAVD88) have been plotted on the Katrina Recovery Maps. These data were retrieved from station control sheets that are available on the NGS website (<http://www.ngs.noaa.gov>). The monuments shown reflect recent adjustments to orthometric heights in coastal Louisiana to correct for land subsidence. For more information, please see the following website: <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/heightmod/LouisianaControl.shtml>.

3. Presentation of Results

The results of the technical analysis are presented in a series of Katrina Recovery Maps, on a regional overview map, and in the form of GIS files. Each of these products is summarized below:

- **Hurricane Katrina Surge Inundation and ABFE Maps** (*399 panels covering Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, and Tangipahoa parishes*): When plotted at their native size (ANSI D, 34 inches by 22 inches), the data on these maps are shown at a scale of 1 inch = 500 feet. Each map depicts the coastal HWMs used in the inundation mapping, the surge inundation limit (outside levees only), and ABFEs (including areas where the HEAG criterion applies inside of levees), all shown on a base map of aerial photographs collected by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Agriculture Imagery Program in 2004. For Jefferson Parish, surge inundation limits for Hurricane Rita are shown outside of levees on the West Bank panels (south of the Mississippi River), since HWM data showed that Rita's coastal flooding was more severe than that of Katrina in the area.
- **Parish Index Maps** (*eight maps, one for each parish*): The maps' native size is ANSI E (44 inches by 34 inches), but can be scaled to print on smaller sheets. Each map depicts geographic boundaries of the parish, corporate limits, the Katrina Recovery Map paneling scheme (or index), the coastal HWM locations, the surge inundation limit, and the surge elevation contours (outside of levees only). In the case of Jefferson Parish, surge elevation contours and inundation limits for Hurricane Rita are shown on the West Bank (south of the Mississippi River).
- **Overview Map**: This map covers the initial four-parish study area, including Lake Pontchartrain. Like the parish index maps, its native size is ANSI E. The overview map shows geographic boundaries of the parishes, corporate limits, the Katrina Recovery Map paneling schemes, the coastal HWM locations, the surge inundation limit, and the surge elevation contours.
- **GIS Data**: GIS-compatible data for the following themes are provided for download on FEMA's Katrina Recovery Map site (www.fema.gov/hazards/floods/recoverydata/katrina_la_gis.shtml):
 - Surge inundation limits for each parish (outside of levees only);
 - ABFEs for each parish;
 - For Jefferson, Orleans, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, and St. Charles Parishes, areas inside of levees where the 3-feet-above-HEAG criterion applies;

**HURRICANE KATRINA SURGE INUNDATION AND
ADVISORY BASE FLOOD ELEVATION MAPS –
SUMMARY OF METHODS**

Contract No. EMW-2000-CO-0247

Task Order No. 436 (Louisiana)

- Surge elevation contours for the eight-parish region; and
- HWMs for the full, eight-parish Hurricane Katrina region, including both the surge-only points used in the inundation mapping and points with wave effects or quality concerns (as of the date of this report).